



On the variation of maximal operators of convolution type

Emanuel Carneiro*, Benar F. Svaiter

IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina, 110, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 22460-320, Brazil

Received 3 April 2013; accepted 1 May 2013

Available online 22 May 2013

Communicated by J. Bourgain

Abstract

In this paper we study the regularity properties of two maximal operators of convolution type: the heat flow maximal operator (associated to the Gauss kernel) and the Poisson maximal operator (associated to the Poisson kernel). In dimension $d = 1$ we prove that these maximal operators do not increase the L^p -variation of a function for any $p \geq 1$, while in dimensions $d > 1$ we obtain the corresponding results for the L^2 -variation. Similar results are proved for the discrete versions of these operators.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Maximal functions; Heat flow; Poisson kernel; Sobolev spaces; Regularity; Bounded variation; Discrete operators

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Let $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a non-negative function such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \, dx = 1.$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: carneiro@impa.br (E. Carneiro), benar@impa.br (B.F. Svaiter).

We let $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-d}\varphi(t^{-1}x)$ and consider the maximal operator \mathcal{M}_φ associated to this approximation of the identity

$$\mathcal{M}_\varphi f(x) = \sup_{t>0}(|f| * \varphi_t)(x). \tag{1.1}$$

The Hardy–Littlewood maximal function, henceforth denoted by M , occurs when we consider $\varphi(x) = (1/m(B_1))\chi_{B_1}(x)$, where B_1 is the d -dimensional ball centered at the origin with radius 1 and $m(B_1)$ is its Lebesgue measure. In a certain sense, one could say that M controls other such maximal operators of convolution type. In fact, if our φ admits a radial non-increasing majorant in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with integral A , from [15, Chapter III, Theorem 2] we know that

$$\mathcal{M}_\varphi f(x) \leqslant AMf(x) \tag{1.2}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and thus we obtain the boundedness of \mathcal{M}_φ from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $p > 1$, and from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the case $p = 1$.

Over the last years there has been considerable effort in understanding the effects of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M , and some of its variants, in Sobolev functions. In [9] Kinnunen showed that $M : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is bounded for $p > 1$. The paradigm that an L^p -bound implies a $W^{1,p}$ -bound was later extended to a local version of M in [10], to a fractional version in [11] and to a multilinear version in [5]. The continuity of $M : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $p > 1$ was established by Luiro in [13]. When $p = 1$ the issues become more subtle. The question on whether the operator $f \mapsto \nabla Mf$ is bounded from $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, posed by Hajlasz and Onninen in [7], remains open in its general case (see also [6]). Partial progress was achieved in the discrete setting in the work [3] for dimension $d = 1$ and in the work [4] for general dimension $d > 1$. In the continuous setting the only progress has been in dimension $d = 1$. For the right (or left) Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, which we call here M_r (corresponding to $\varphi(x) = \chi_{[0,1]}(x)$ in (1.1)) Tanaka [17] was the first to observe that, if $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$, then $M_r f$ has a weak derivative and

$$\|(M_r f)'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leqslant \|f'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \tag{1.3}$$

which led to the bound for the *non-centered* Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator \tilde{M} ,

$$\|(\tilde{M}f)'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leqslant 2\|f'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{1.4}$$

This was later refined by Aldaz and Pérez-Lázaro [1] who obtained, under the assumption that f is of bounded variation on \mathbb{R} , that $\tilde{M}f$ is absolutely continuous and

$$V(\tilde{M}f) \leqslant V(f), \tag{1.5}$$

where $V(f)$ denotes here the total variation of f . More recently, in the remarkable work [12], Kurka showed that if f is of bounded variation on \mathbb{R} , then

$$V(Mf) \leqslant CV(f), \tag{1.6}$$

for a certain $C > 1$ (see [16] for the discrete analogue).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4590362>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4590362>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)