



How to recognize convexity of a set from its marginals

Alessio Figalli^{a,*}, David Jerison^b

^a *The University of Texas at Austin, Mathematics Dept. RLM 8.100, 2515 Speedway Stop C1200, Austin, TX 78712-1202, USA*

^b *Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave, Room 2-247, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA*

Received 1 May 2013; accepted 29 May 2013

Available online 3 June 2013

Communicated by C. De Lellis

Abstract

We investigate the regularity of the marginals onto hyperplanes for sets of finite perimeter. We prove, in particular, that if a set of finite perimeter has log-concave marginals onto a.e. hyperplane then the set is convex. Our proof relies on measuring the perimeter of a set through a Hilbertian fractional Sobolev norm, a fact that we believe has its own interest.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Marginals; Convexity; Log-concavity

1. Introduction

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a log-concave function, that is, φ is of the form e^{-V} for some convex function $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. A well-known consequence of the Prékopa–Leindler inequality states that the marginals of φ onto any hyperplane are log-concave (see for instance [6, Sections 10–11]): more precisely, for any direction $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ let $\pi_{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^\perp$ denote the orthogonal projection onto the hyperplane $\mathbf{e}^\perp := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{e} \cdot x = 0\}$, and define

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e}^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi_{\mathbf{e}}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x + t\mathbf{e}) dt.$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: figalli@math.utexas.edu (A. Figalli), jerison@math.mit.edu (D. Jerison).

Then φ_e is log-concave, i.e., $\varphi_e = e^{-W_e}$ for some convex function $W_e : e^\perp \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. In particular, if E is a convex set and we denote by $\mathbf{1}_E$ the characteristic function of E (that is $\mathbf{1}_E(x) = 1$ if $x \in E$, $\mathbf{1}_E(x) = 0$ if $x \notin E$), then $\mathbf{1}_E$ is log-concave, which implies that, for any $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$w_e : e^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad w_e(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_E(x + te) dt \tag{1.1}$$

is log-concave. Actually, by the Brunn–Minkowski inequality, an even stronger result is true, namely w_e is concave. (We refer to [6] for more details.)

In [5], Falconer proved that a converse of the previous statements is true: if a compact set E has concave marginals onto a.e. hyperplane e^\perp , then it is convex. The aim of this paper is to show that (under rather weak regularity assumptions on E) this converse statement is still true under weaker assumptions on the marginals: namely, we prove that if the marginals have convex support and are uniformly Lipschitz strictly inside their support, then the set is convex. In particular, this implies that if a set has log-concave marginals onto a.e. hyperplane e^\perp then it is convex. As we will also discuss below, this fact is false if we do not restrict to characteristic functions of sets: it is possible to construct examples of functions whose marginals are log-concave (actually, even concave) but the functions themselves are not.

To state our result, let us introduce some notation. Given a Borel set E , let w_e be as in (1.1), and define the set $A_e := \{w_e > 0\} \subset e^\perp$. (Notice that if w_e is log-concave then A_e is convex.) Also, for any $\delta > 0$ we set $A_e^\delta := \{x \in A_e : \text{dist}(x, \partial A_e) \geq \delta\}$. We recall that a set E is of *finite perimeter* if the distributional derivative $\nabla \mathbf{1}_E$ of $\mathbf{1}_E$ is a finite measure, that is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathbf{1}_E| < \infty.$$

Also, we use \mathcal{H}^k to denote the k -dimensional Hausdorff measure. Here is our main result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded set of finite perimeter and assume that A_e is convex for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Suppose further that w_e is locally Lipschitz inside A_e for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and the following uniform bound holds: for any $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant C_δ such that*

$$|\nabla w_e| \leq C_\delta \text{ a.e. inside } A_e^\delta, \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\text{-a.e. } e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Then E is convex (up to a set of measure zero).

Notice that, since log-concave functions are Lipschitz in the interior of their support, our assumption is weaker than asking that w_e is log-concave for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Hence our theorem implies the following¹:

¹ To be precise, since the bound on the Lipschitz constant of a convex function depends on its L^∞ norm in a slightly larger domain, the bound on the Lipschitz constant for a log-concave function depends on a lower bound on the function itself in a slightly larger domain. Although in general there is no universal bound for a general class of log-concave functions, in our case all the functions w_e arise as marginals of a bounded set, which implies that $A_{e_k} \rightarrow A_e$ whenever

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4590547>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4590547>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)