



## Review

## A survey of routing and data dissemination in Delay Tolerant Networks

Sobin CC<sup>a</sup>, Vaskar Raychoudhury<sup>a</sup>, Gustavo Marfia<sup>b</sup>, Ankita Singla<sup>a</sup><sup>a</sup> IIT Roorkee, India<sup>b</sup> University of Bologna, Italy

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 25 September 2015

Received in revised form

6 December 2015

Accepted 11 January 2016

Available online 18 January 2016

## Keywords:

Delay Tolerant Networks

Routing

Data dissemination

MANET

## ABSTRACT

Delay Tolerant Networks (DTNs) have practical applications in various fields. DTNs have been studied in-depth by many researchers and multiple high quality survey papers have been produced which analyzes DTN features, taxonomies, and applications. In recent years, interest in DTN research has rekindled as there are several emerging network-based application domains that require delay tolerance support and thus can use DTN specific routing and data dissemination techniques. Examples of such novel areas are Information Centric Network (ICN), Mobile ICN, Named Data Network (NDN), Internet of Things (IoT), etc. In this paper, we have surveyed those applications briefly and have proposed an alternate taxonomy for classifying existing DTN routing algorithms. The objective of this survey is to help future researchers to identify DTN specific properties in the new applications and to apply appropriate routing protocols whenever necessary. We have studied the relation between message replication and individual or group communication semantics of DTN routing protocols considering both social-based and opportunistic message forwarding techniques. We have also introduced an in-depth coverage of data dissemination protocols in DTN which can be adapted to content-centric networking domains. We conclude our survey by identifying a set of open challenges for future researchers.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1. Introduction	129
2. Performance metrics for DTN routing strategies	131
3. Existing routing and data dissemination taxonomies	131
4. A novel taxonomy of routing and data dissemination in DTNs	132
4.1. Taxonomy of routing in DTNs	133
4.1.1. Taxonomy of social-based routing in DTN	133
4.1.2. Taxonomy of pure opportunistic routing in DTN	133
4.2. Taxonomy of data dissemination in DTNs	134
5. Social-based routing protocols in DTN	135
5.1. Single copy, unicast, social	135
5.1.1. Social metrics	135
5.1.2. Interest profile based	136
5.1.3. History of interaction	136
5.1.4. Hierarchical routing	136
5.2. Multi copy, unicast, social	136
5.2.1. Social metrics	136
5.2.2. Flooding based	137
5.2.3. Hierarchical routing	137
5.2.4. History of interaction	137
5.3. Multi copy, multicast, social	137
5.3.1. Social metrics	137
5.3.2. History of interaction	137
6. Social-based data dissemination in DTN	137

E-mail addresses: [sobincc@gmail.com](mailto:sobincc@gmail.com) (S. CC), [vaskar@ieee.org](mailto:vaskar@ieee.org) (V. Raychoudhury), [gustavo.marfia@unibo.it](mailto:gustavo.marfia@unibo.it) (G. Marfia), [ankitajnd@gmail.com](mailto:ankitajnd@gmail.com) (A. Singla).<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2016.01.002>

1084-8045/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

6.1.	Using Pub/Sub paradigm . . . . .	137
6.1.1.	Social metric based . . . . .	137
6.1.2.	Utility based. . . . .	137
6.2.	Using other techniques . . . . .	138
6.2.1.	Social metric based . . . . .	138
6.2.2.	Utility based. . . . .	138
7.	Pure opportunistic routing protocols in DTN . . . . .	138
7.1.	Single copy, unicast, pure opportunistic. . . . .	138
7.1.1.	Routing criteria and metric based . . . . .	138
7.1.2.	Prediction based . . . . .	138
7.1.3.	Probabilistic . . . . .	139
7.1.4.	Coding based . . . . .	139
7.1.5.	Clustering based. . . . .	139
7.1.6.	History of encounters based . . . . .	139
7.2.	Single copy, multicast, pure opportunistic . . . . .	139
7.2.1.	Hierarchical routing. . . . .	139
7.2.2.	History of encounters . . . . .	139
7.3.	Single copy, anycast, pure opportunistic. . . . .	140
7.3.1.	Routing criteria and metric based. . . . .	140
7.3.2.	Probabilistic . . . . .	140
7.4.	Multi-copy, unicast, pure opportunistic . . . . .	140
7.4.1.	Routing criteria and metric based. . . . .	140
7.4.2.	Prediction based . . . . .	140
7.4.3.	Probabilistic based. . . . .	140
7.4.4.	History of encounters based . . . . .	141
7.4.5.	Using extra nodes . . . . .	141
7.4.6.	Flooding based. . . . .	141
7.5.	Multi-copy, multicast, pure opportunistic . . . . .	142
7.5.1.	Coding based . . . . .	142
7.5.2.	Probabilistic based. . . . .	142
7.5.3.	History of encounters based . . . . .	142
7.5.4.	Hierarchical routing. . . . .	143
8.	Pure opportunistic data dissemination in DTN . . . . .	143
8.1.	Reactive methods. . . . .	143
8.1.1.	(Using) Common nodes. . . . .	143
8.1.2.	Popularity of data items . . . . .	143
8.1.3.	Prediction based . . . . .	143
8.1.4.	Coding based . . . . .	143
8.2.	Proactive methods. . . . .	143
9.	Open problems . . . . .	143
10.	Conclusion . . . . .	144
	Acknowledgment . . . . .	144
	References . . . . .	144

## 1. Introduction

Delay tolerant networks (DTNs) or opportunistic networks (Bol-drini et al., 2008) are sparse mobile networks in which an end-to-end path may not exist. The underlying principle behind DTN to route data is *store-carry and forward*, in which intermediate mobile nodes store data to be transmitted till it finds an appropriate relay node (to forward the message) in the path towards the destination. DTNs have applications in several ad hoc networking and data dissemination operations, like crisis management, battlefield, wildlife monitoring (Juang et al., 2002), transportation engineering (UMassDieselNet, 2015), Pocket Switched Networks (Hui et al., 2005), etc. Recently, several emerging and novel network-based application domains are coming up that also require delay tolerance support. Examples of such novel areas are Mobile Information Centric Network (M-ICN), Named Data Network (NDN) (*a class of ICN*), Internet of Things (IoT), Mobile Social Networks (MoSoN), etc. In this paper, we have looked into DTN specific routing and data dissemination techniques which can be adapted for the aforementioned application domains.

DTN evolved from the Mobile Ad hoc Networks (MANET) by relaxing some of the requirements of MANET and by allowing a high degree of mobility to the participating nodes (Fig. 1). MANET and

DTN share many common aspects, such as, lack of infrastructure, resource constrains, node mobility resulting in frequent network partitioning, etc. However, they have multiple differences as well. While MANET nodes communicate using standard TCP/IP protocol suite, DTN nodes use application-layer Bundle protocols, designed to support the store-carry-forward communication paradigm of DTN, to communicate with peers. Bundle layer protocol span across transport and network layers: storing limited bundles inside the network and facilitating late binding of DTN address to subnet address (Franck, 2015). Network partition caused by node mobility results in packet loss in MANET whereas, it stretches the span of DTN as routing through frequent disconnection is an inherent property of DTN routing protocols. Finding the appropriate relay node may take long time in DTN which requires message carriers to store the message in their buffers for considerably long time.

Routing in DTNs is non-trivial due to the following challenges. Firstly, DTNs has no pre-existing infrastructure like wired and wireless infrastructure-based networks. Even infrastructure-less MANETs computes end-to-end routing paths which are, instead, inherently non-existent in DTNs. So, traditional MANET protocols like AODV (Perkins et al., 2003), DSR (Johnson et al., 1996), etc. cannot be directly applied to DTN because they require the

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/459286>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/459286>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)