

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt

The optimal constants of the mixed (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) -Littlewood inequality



Daniel Pellegrino¹

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900 - João Pessoa, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 March 2015 Received in revised form 1 August 2015 Accepted 1 August 2015 Available online 22 October 2015 Communicated by David Goss

Dedicated to Noêmia Pellegrino

MSC: 11Y60 32A22 47H60

Keywords: Mixed (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) -Littlewood inequality Absolutely summing operators

1. Introduction

In the recent years a lot of papers (see, for instance, [4,6,9,15] and the references therein) have been dedicated to the search of best (or even optimal constants) for a class of famous inequalities, including the Littlewood's 4/3 inequality, the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality and the multilinear Hardy–Littlewood inequality (see [5,12,13]). The search

E-mail addresses: pellegrino@pq.cnpq.br, dmpellegrino@gmail.com.

ABSTRACT

Text. In this note, among other results, we find the optimal constants of the generalized Bohnenblust–Hille inequality for *m*-linear forms over \mathbb{R} and with multiple exponents $(1, 2, \ldots, 2)$, sometimes called mixed (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) -Littlewood inequality. We show that these optimal constants are precisely $(\sqrt{2})^{m-1}$ and this is somewhat surprising since a series of recent papers have shown that similar constants have a sublinear growth. This result answers a question raised by Albuquerque et al. in a paper published in 2014 in the Journal of Functional Analysis.

Video. For a video summary of this paper, please visit https://youtu.be/KnKtjbvsbW0.

@ 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

 $^{^{1}\,}$ The author is supported by CNPq Grant 401735/2013-3-PVE-Linha 2 and INCT-Matemática.

of these constants, besides its intrinsic interest, have been shown to be important in different areas of Mathematics and even in Physics (see [6,14]). In this paper we find the optimal constants of a class of inequalities that encompasses the sometimes called mixed (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) -Littlewood inequality, which plays an important role in the recent development of the theory related to the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality.

The Khinchine inequality (see [8]) asserts that for all $0 , there exist positive constants <math>A_p$ and B_p such that

$$A_p \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(\int_0^1 \left|\sum_{n=1}^N a_n r_n(t)\right|^p dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le B_p \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(1.1)

for every positive integer N and all real scalars a_1, \ldots, a_N (here, r_n denotes the *n*-th Rademacher function, which is defined in [0, 1] by $r_n(t) = sgn(\sin 2^{n+1}\pi t)$).

The optimal constants of the Khinchine inequality are known. It is simple to observe that the optimal value of A_p is 1 for all $p \ge 2$ and $B_p = 1$ for all $p \le 2$. For real scalars, U. Haagerup (see [11]) proved that the optimal constants A_p are (see also [8, page 23])

$$A_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text{for } 1.85 \approx p_0$$

and

$$A_p = 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text{for } 1 \le p \le p_0 \approx 1.85.$$
 (1.3)

The exact definition of p_0 is the following: $p_0 \in (1,2)$ is the unique real number satisfying

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{p_0+1}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}.$$

Note that the Khinchine inequality tells us that

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} \left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} r_{n}\left(t\right)\right|^{p} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq B_{p} A_{r}^{-1} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} r_{n}\left(t\right)\right|^{r} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
(1.4)

regardless of the $0 < p, r < \infty$. From now on, as usual, c_0 denotes Banach space, endowed with the sup norm, of the sequences of scalars converging to zero. If $U : c_0 \times c_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bilinear form, from the Khinchine inequality (and noting that from (1.3) we have $A_1 = 2^{-1/2}$) we have, for all positive integers N,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} |U(e_i, e_j)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} r_j(t) U(e_i, e_j) \right| dt$$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4593395

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4593395

Daneshyari.com