



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt)



# On the distribution of squarefree numbers



H.-Q. Liu

*Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 150001, China*

## ARTICLE INFO

### *Article history:*

Received 15 January 2015

Received in revised form 27 July 2015

Accepted 27 July 2015

Available online 3 September 2015

Communicated by David Goss

### *MSC:*

11L07

11B83

### *Keywords:*

Squarefree numbers

Riemann hypothesis

Exponential sums

## ABSTRACT

We develop estimates for multiple exponential sums, by which we can improve the result of 1993 on the distribution of squarefree numbers (under RH, as usual).

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $Q(x)$  be the number of squarefree (2-free) numbers not exceeding  $x$ , and  $x$  is a sufficiently large positive number. It is elementary to prove that

$$Q(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} |\mu(n)| = 6\pi^{-2}x + \Delta(x),$$

*E-mail address:* [teutop@163.com](mailto:teutop@163.com).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2015.07.013>

0022-314X/© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

where  $\Delta(x)$  is the error term, and  $\Delta(x) = O(x^{1/2})$ . The problem of estimating  $\Delta(x)$  by assuming RH (the Riemann hypothesis of the zeta-function) was studied by Montgomery and Vaughan [MV] using exponential sums, and their result is

$$\Delta(x) = O(x^{9/28+\varepsilon}),$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a sufficiently small given positive number. Graham [G] improved their result to

$$\Delta(x) = O(x^{8/25+\varepsilon}).$$

Baker and Pintz [BPi] utilized the method of Heath-Brown [HB] to get

$$\Delta(x) = O(x^{7/22+\varepsilon}).$$

Jia [J] presented a difficult new estimate for double exponential sums, which enabled him to get the improvement

$$\Delta(x) = O(x^{17/54+\varepsilon}).$$

Although this important result seems to be the limitation of the current methods of exponential sum methods, a reader familiar with van der Corput’s method would still ask the question as whether we can get a new improvement. Recently [BPo] got hitherto best result for the distribution of  $k$ -free numbers for  $3 \leq k \leq 5$ , and [L] got some improvements on results of [BPo] and [BPi] for  $k \geq 5$ , but their methods cannot yield an improvement of Jia’s result of [J] for squarefree (2-free) numbers. The purpose of our paper is to improve Jia’s result. We are able to present new estimates for multiple exponential sums, from which we get a new result as follows (we only use the decomposition of the Möbius function of [J]).

**Theorem 1.** *Assuming RH, there holds*

$$\Delta(x) = O(x^{11/35+\varepsilon}).$$

It is notable that we shall derive a result for counting lattice points (see Lemma 6) by using a similar method of proving Lemma 1.1 of [L1], which will play an important role in our arguments. Our Lemma 7 may constitute the novel aspect in the theory of exponential sums, for in its proof we are unable to deal directly with the complicated functions on the exponent.

**Notations.**  $e(\xi) = \exp(2\pi i\xi)$  for a real number  $\xi$ . For two positive numbers  $t$  and  $T$ ,  $t \sim T$  means  $1 < t/T \leq 2$ , and  $t \approx T$  means that  $C \leq t/T \leq C'$  for suitable constants  $C > 0$ ,  $C' > 0$ .  $C_i$  ( $i \geq 1$ ) denotes a suitable constant.  $[\xi]$  is the largest integer not exceeding  $\xi$ ,  $\|\xi\| = \min(\xi - [\xi], 1 - \xi + [\xi])$ , and  $\psi(\xi) = \xi - [\xi] - \frac{1}{2}$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4593451>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4593451>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)