Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpaa

Harish-Chandra invariants and the centre of the reduced enveloping algebra

Lewis W. Topley

Dipartimento di Matematica, Universita degli Studi di Padova, via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 February 2016 Received in revised form 23 May 2016 Available online 19 July 2016 Communicated by S. Donkin

MSC: Primary: 17B50; secondary: 17B30; 17B05

ABSTRACT

In this article we consider the centre of the reduced enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of a reductive algebraic group in very good characteristic p > 2. The Harish-Chandra centre maps to the centre of each reduced enveloping algebra and, using a combination of induction and deformation arguments, we describe precisely for which *p*-characters this map is surjective: it is if and only if the chosen character is regular. This provides the converse to a theorem of Mirković and Rumynin.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Throughout we take k to be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p > 2 and G a connected reductive algebraic group of rank ℓ with simply connected derived subgroup. We also assume that p is very good for G. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is restricted in a natural way and the representation theory of \mathfrak{g} is governed by that of the reduced enveloping algebras $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. When the stabiliser of χ in \mathfrak{g} is a torus the reduced enveloping algebra is semisimple whilst, at the other end of the spectrum, the simple $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules are precisely the differentials of simple G-modules with restricted highest weights.

Since $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ plays a key role in the representation theory of \mathfrak{g} it seems important to understand the centre $Z_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}) := Z(U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and here we make some progress towards that end. For $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ we consider the map

$$\varphi_{\chi}: Z(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow Z_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$$

obtained by restricting the projection $U(\mathfrak{g}) \twoheadrightarrow U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$. Recall that the centre $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is well understood, thanks to the work of several authors. We know that $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by the *p*-centre $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Harish-Chandra invariants $U(\mathfrak{g})^G$, and the latter has a similar description in characteristic zero and very good positive characteristic. This leads immediately to the question, when is φ_{χ}







E-mail address: lewis@math.unipd.it.

surjective? The two extremal cases of this question are known: when χ is regular a theorem of Mirković and Rumynin says that φ_{χ} surjects [11, Theorem 12], whilst in the case $\chi = 0$ the map φ_{χ} does not surject, by an argument due to Premet [2, §3.17]. Apart from this very little is known about the cokernel of φ_{χ} as a linear map, and the goal of this article is to describe precisely when it is zero.

We remind the reader that an element $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is called regular if the stabiliser $\mathfrak{g}_{\chi} := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \chi[x, \mathfrak{g}] = 0\}$ has the minimal possible dimension rank(\mathfrak{g}). The following is our main result.

Theorem. $Z_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varphi_{\chi}(Z(\mathfrak{g}))$ if and only if χ is a regular element.

The idea behind the proof is quite simple. To start with we observe that isomorphism type and hence the dimension of the centre of $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ only depends upon the orbit $\operatorname{Ad}^*(G)\chi$. In the base case $G = SL_2$ or \mathbb{G}_m and coadjoint orbits are either regular or trivial. In these cases the theorem follows from previously established results. When $\ell > 1$, once again the regular orbits are dealt with by [11], whilst every non-regular orbit $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$ lies in the closure of a non-nilpotent subregular decomposition class. If χ lies in such a decomposition class we show that $Z_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong Z_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_{\chi_s})$ for $\chi \in \mathcal{O}$ and apply an inductive argument, whilst if χ lies in the boundary of this decomposition class we apply a deformation argument to show that the dimension of the centre is larger than dim $\varphi_{\chi}(Z(\mathfrak{g}))$.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we fix our notation and recall the elements of the theory. We then explain that $\dim \varphi_{\chi}(Z(\mathfrak{g})) = p^{\ell}$ and go on to recall an important category equivalence due to Kac–Weisfeiler which allows us to relate the centre $Z_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ to $Z_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_{\chi_s})$. In Section 3 we recall the two known cases of the theorem and in Section 4 we recall the theory of decomposition classes. It is here that we show that $\dim Z_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ does not change as we vary χ over such a class and prove a useful result which states that $\mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$; $\chi \mapsto \dim(Z_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is upper semicontinuous. In the final section we combine the ingredients and complete the proof using induction on the reductive rank of G.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank James Humphreys, David Stewart and Rudolf Tange for reading a preliminary version of this article and making suggestions to improve the exposition. I would also like to thank Giovanna Carnovale for useful discussions about reduced enveloping algebras and related topics. Whilst carrying out the research that led to these results the author benefited from funding from the European Commission, Seventh Framework Programme, under Grant Agreement number 600376, as well as grants CPDA125818/12 and 60A01-4222/15 from the University of Padova.

2. Notations and preliminaries

Our notations and assumptions are the following:

- (1) G is a reductive algebraic group over \Bbbk ;
- (2) k is algebraically closed of characteristic p > 2 and p is very good for G;
- (3) G is connected and the derived subgroup [G, G] is simply connected.

We warn the reader that not every Levi subgroup satisfies these same hypotheses. In particular p will not necessarily be a very good prime for every Levi subgroup. Nonetheless, Lie algebras of Levi subgroups are precisely the centralisers of semisimple elements (see [8, 2.2(4)] and [3, Lemma 2.1.2]).

We always write $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie}(G)$, write $U(\mathfrak{g})$ for the enveloping algebra and $S(\mathfrak{g})$ for the symmetric algebra. We shall denote the centre of \mathfrak{g} by $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and we observe that, since the characteristic of \Bbbk is very good for G, we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \bigoplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and, more generally, $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l}) \bigoplus [\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]$ for every Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l} = \operatorname{Lie}(L) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ such that p is very good for L (see [15, §2.1] for slightly more detail).

The maximal ideal of the *p*-centre corresponding to $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ shall be written $I_{\chi} := (x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p : x \in \mathfrak{g})$. Then the reduced enveloping algebra is Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4595717

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4595717

Daneshyari.com