

On excess in finite Coxeter groups <sup>☆</sup>Sarah B. Hart <sup>a,\*</sup>, Peter J. Rowley <sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Economics, Mathematics and Statistics, Birkbeck, University of London, Malet Street, London WC1E 7HX, United Kingdom<sup>b</sup> School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 16 July 2013

Received in revised form 27 May 2014

Available online 16 July 2014

Communicated by S. Donkin

## MSC:

20F55

## ABSTRACT

For a finite Coxeter group  $W$  and  $w$  an element of  $W$  the *excess* of  $w$  is defined to be  $e(w) = \min\{\ell(x) + \ell(y) - \ell(w) \mid w = xy, x^2 = y^2 = 1\}$  where  $\ell$  is the length function on  $W$ . Here we investigate the behaviour of  $e(w)$ , and a related concept reflection excess, when restricted to standard parabolic subgroups of  $W$ . Also the set of involutions inverting  $w$  is studied.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

This paper, continuing the investigations begun in [6] and [7], studies further properties of excess in Coxeter groups. First we recall the definition of excess.

Suppose  $W$  is a Coxeter group with length function  $\ell$ , and set

$$\mathcal{W} = \{w \in W \mid w = xy \text{ where } x, y \in W \text{ and } x^2 = y^2 = 1\}.$$

Then for  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ , the excess of  $w$  is

$$e(w) = \min\{\ell(x) + \ell(y) - \ell(w) \mid w = xy, x^2 = y^2 = 1\}.$$

The length function is not additive in general. The relationship between  $\ell(w_1 w_2)$  and  $\ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$  for various special cases of  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  appears in several well-known results. For example, it is an important fact that if  $W_J$  is a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W$ , then there is a set  $X_J$  of so-called distinguished right coset representatives for  $W_J$  in  $W$  with the property that  $\ell(wx) = \ell(w) + \ell(x)$  for all  $w \in W_J, x \in X_J$ .

<sup>☆</sup> The authors wish to acknowledge partial support for this work from the Department of Economics, Mathematics and Statistics at Birkbeck and the Manchester Institute for Mathematical Sciences (MIMS).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: s.hart@bbk.ac.uk (S.B. Hart), peter.j.rowley@manchester.ac.uk (P.J. Rowley).

[9, Proposition 1.10]. There is a parallel statement to this for double cosets of two standard parabolic subgroups of  $W$  [5, Proposition 2.1.7]. Also, when  $W$  is finite it possesses an element  $w_0$ , the longest element of  $W$ , for which  $\ell(w_0) = \ell(w) + \ell(w w_0)$  for all  $w \in W$  [5, Lemma 1.5.3]. Another special feature of finite Coxeter groups is that every element can be written (in possibly many ways) as a product  $xy$  where  $x^2 = y^2 = 1$ , and it seems natural to ask the extent to which additivity of length, as measured by excess, is achieved. The hope is that investigation into excess will yield useful additions to the techniques available for the study of Coxeter groups.

The main result in [6] asserts that every element in  $\mathcal{W}$  is  $W$ -conjugate to an element whose excess is zero (see also [8]). In a similar vein, [7] shows that if  $W$  is a finite Coxeter group, then every  $W$ -conjugacy class possesses at least one element which simultaneously has minimal length in the conjugacy class and excess equal to zero. The present paper explores other properties of excess in finite Coxeter groups. So from now on we assume  $W$  is finite, which implies that  $\mathcal{W} = W$ . Moreover, every element  $w \in W$  may be written as  $xy$ , where  $x^2 = y^2 = 1$  and  $L(w) = L(x) + L(y)$ , where  $L$  is the reflection length function on  $W$ . This fact is established for finite Weyl groups in Carter [3] (and easily verified for the remaining finite Coxeter groups). This leads to the related notion of reflection excess. For  $w \in W$  its reflection excess  $E(w)$  is defined by

$$E(w) = \min\{\ell(x) + \ell(y) - \ell(w) \mid w = xy, x^2 = y^2 = 1, L(w) = L(x) + L(y)\}.$$

Clearly  $E(w) \geq e(w)$ . However  $E(w)$  and  $e(w)$  can be markedly different – see for example Proposition 3.3 of [7].

The first issue we address here is how excess and reflection excess behave on restriction to standard parabolic subgroups of  $W$  – as is well-known, such subgroups are Coxeter groups in their own right. If  $W_J$  is a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W$  and  $w \in W_J$ , we let  $e_J(w)$  (respectively  $E_J(w)$ ) be the excess of  $w$  (respectively reflection excess of  $w$ ) considered as an element of  $W_J$ .

Our main results are as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $W_J$  be a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W$  and let  $w \in W_J$ . Then  $E_J(w) = E(w)$ .*

We remark that the proof of Theorem 1.1 is very short and elementary, whereas its sister statement for excess requires a lengthy case-by-case analysis. More than that there is a shock in store as we now see.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $W_J$  be a standard parabolic subgroup of  $W$  and let  $w \in W_J$ . If  $W$  has no irreducible factors of type  $D_n$ , then  $e_J(w) = e(w)$ .*

The assumption that there be no direct factors of type  $D_n$  in Theorem 1.2 cannot be omitted. In Section 3 we give an example with  $W$  of type  $D_{12}$ ,  $W_J$  of type  $D_{11}$  and an element  $w$  of  $W_J$  for which  $e_J(w) = 60$  but  $e(w) = 46$ . However there are a number of positive results, to be found in Section 3, for  $W$  of type  $D_n$  provided we restrict  $W_J$ .

For  $w \in W$ , the set  $\mathcal{I}_w$ , which is defined as follows,

$$\mathcal{I}_w = \{x \in W \mid x^2 = 1, w^x = w^{-1}\}$$

is intimately connected with  $e(w)$  and  $E(w)$ . This is because if  $x$  and  $y$  are elements of  $W$ , with  $x^2 = y^2 = 1$ , such that  $xy = w$ , then  $w^x = (xy)^x = yx = w^{-1}$  and similarly  $w^y = w^{-1}$ . Therefore  $x, y \in \mathcal{I}_w$ . Thus  $\mathcal{I}_w$  is always non-empty.

For  $X \subseteq W$ , we define in Section 2 a certain subset  $N(X)$  of the positive roots of  $W$ . The Coxeter length (or just length) of  $X$ , denoted by  $\ell(X)$ , is defined to be  $|N(X)|$  (see [10]). A consequence of our next theorem is that for all  $w \in W$ ,  $\ell(w) \leq \ell(\mathcal{I}_w)$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4596000>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4596000>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)