



Projective modules over overrings of polynomial rings and a question of Quillen



Manoj K. Keshari*, Swapnil A. Lokhande

Department of Mathematics, IIT Bombay, Mumbai 400076, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 March 2013

Received in revised form 3 September 2013

Available online 5 November 2013

Communicated by R. Parimala

MSC:

13C10

ABSTRACT

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, K) be a regular local ring containing a field k such that either $\text{char } k = 0$ or $\text{char } k = p$ and $\text{tr-deg } K/\mathbb{F}_p \geq 1$. Let g_1, \dots, g_t be regular parameters of R which are linearly independent modulo \mathfrak{m}^2 . Let $A = R_{g_1 \dots g_t}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m, f_1(l_1)^{-1}, \dots, f_n(l_n)^{-1}]$, where $f_i(T) \in k[T]$ and $l_i = a_{i1}Y_1 + \dots + a_{im}Y_m$ with $(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{im}) \in k^m - (0)$. Then every projective A -module of rank $\geq t$ is free. Laurent polynomial case $f_i(l_i) = Y_i$ of this result is due to Popescu.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we will assume that rings are commutative Noetherian, modules are finitely generated, projective modules are of constant rank and k will denote a field.

Let R be a ring and P a projective R -module. We say that P is cancellative if $P \oplus R^m \xrightarrow{\sim} Q \oplus R^m$ for some projective R -module Q implies $P \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$. For simplicity of notations, we begin with a definition.

Definition 1.1. A ring $A = R[Y_1, \dots, Y_m, f_1(l_1)^{-1}, \dots, f_n(l_n)^{-1}]$ is said to be of type $R[d, m, n]$ if R is a ring of dimension d , Y_1, \dots, Y_m are variables over R , each $f_i(T) \in R[T]$ and either each $l_i = Y_{i_j}$ for some i_j , or R contains a field k and $l_i = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}Y_j - b_i$ with $b_i \in R$ and $(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{im}) \in k^m - (0)$.

Let A be a ring of the type $R[d, m, n]$. We say that A is of type $R[d, m, n]^*$ if $f_i(T) \in k[T]$ and $b_i \in k$ for all i .

Let $A = R[Y_1, \dots, Y_m, f_1(Y_1)^{-1}, \dots, f_n(Y_n)^{-1}]$ be a ring of type $R[d, m, n]$ with $n \leq m$ and $l_i = Y_i$. If P is a projective A -module of rank $\geq \max\{2, d+1\}$, then Dhorajia and Keshari [5, Theorem 3.12], proved that $E(A \oplus P)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}(A \oplus P)$ and hence P is cancellative. This result was proved by Bass [2] in case $n = m = 0$; Plumstead [12] in case $m = 1$, $n = 0$; Rao [16] in case $n = 0$; Lindel [8] in case $f_i = Y_i$. Gabber [6] proved the following result: Let k be a field and A a ring of type $k[0, m, n]$. Then every projective A -module is free. We prove the following result (Theorema 3.4) which generalizes [5, Theorem 3.12] and is motivated by Gabber's result.

Theorem 1.2. Let $A = R[Y_1, \dots, Y_m, f_1(l_1)^{-1}, \dots, f_n(l_n)^{-1}]$ be a ring of type $R[d, m, n]$ and P a projective A -module of rank $\geq \max\{2, d+1\}$. Then $E(A \oplus P)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}(A \oplus P)$. In particular, P is cancellative.

The Bass–Quillen conjecture [3,15] says: If R is a regular ring, then every projective module over $R[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ is extended from R . In B–Q conjecture, we may assume that R is a regular local ring, due to Quillen's local-global principal [15]: For a ring B , projective module P over $B[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ is extended from B if and only if $P_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is free for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of B . We remark

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: keshari@math.iitb.ac.in (M.K. Keshari), swapnil@math.iitb.ac.in (S.A. Lokhande).

that Quillen's local global principal is also true for projective modules over positive graded rings [19, Theorem 3.1], whereas it is not true for Laurent polynomial rings [4, Example 2, p. 809].

Lindel [9] gave an affirmative answer to B-Q conjecture when R is a regular k -spot, i.e. $R = R'_p$, where R' is some affine k -algebra and p is a regular prime ideal of R' . Using Lindel's result, Popescu [13] proved B-Q conjecture when R is any regular local ring containing a field k .

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a regular local ring. We say that $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ is a *regular parameter* of R if f is part of a minimal generating set of \mathfrak{m} . This is equivalent to $f \in \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$. Further, let $g_1, \dots, g_t \in \mathfrak{m}$ be regular parameters. Then g_1, \dots, g_t are linearly independent modulo \mathfrak{m}^2 if and only if g_1, \dots, g_t are part of a minimal generating set of \mathfrak{m} .

Quillen [15] had asked the following question whose affirmative answer would imply that B-Q conjecture is true: Assume (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a regular local ring and $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ a regular parameter of R . Is every projective R_f -module free?

Bhatwadekar and Rao [4] answered Quillen's question when R is a regular k -spot. More generally, they proved: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a regular k -spot with infinite residue field and f a regular parameter of R . If B is one of R , $R(T)$ or R_f , then projective modules over $B[X_1, \dots, X_r, Y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, Y_s^{\pm 1}]$ are free.

Rao [17] generalized above result as follows: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a regular k -spot with infinite residue field. Let g_1, \dots, g_t be regular parameters of R which are linearly independent modulo \mathfrak{m}^2 . If $A = R_{g_1 \dots g_t}[X_1, \dots, X_r, Y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, Y_s^{\pm 1}]$, then projective A -modules of rank $\geq \min\{t, d/2\}$ are free.

Popescu [14] generalized Rao's result as follows: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, K) be a regular local ring containing a field k such that either $\text{char } k = 0$ or $\text{char } k = p$ and $\text{tr-deg } K/\mathbb{F}_p \geq 1$. Let g_1, \dots, g_t be regular parameters of R which are linearly independent modulo \mathfrak{m}^2 . If $A = R_{g_1 \dots g_t}[X_1, \dots, X_r, Y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, Y_s^{\pm 1}]$, then projective A -modules of rank $\geq t$ are free.

We generalize Popescu's result as follows (Theorem 5.8):

Theorem 1.3. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, K) be a regular local ring containing a field k such that either $\text{char } k = 0$ or $\text{char } k = p$ and $\text{tr-deg } K/\mathbb{F}_p \geq 1$. Let g_1, \dots, g_t be regular parameters of R which are linearly independent modulo \mathfrak{m}^2 . If $A = R_{g_1 \dots g_t}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m, f_1(I_1)^{-1}, \dots, f_n(I_n)^{-1}]$ is a ring of type $R_{g_1 \dots g_t}[d-1, m, n]^*$, then every projective A -module of rank $\geq t$ is free.

Note that we can not expect (1.3) for rings of type $R[d, m, n]$. For example, let R be either $\mathbb{R}[X, Y]_{(X, Y)}$ or $\mathbb{R}[[X, Y]]$ and $A = R[Z, f(Z)^{-1}]$ a ring of type $R[2, 1, 1]$, where $f(T) = T^2 + X^2 + Y^2$. Then stably free A -module P of rank 2 given by the kernel of the surjection $(X, Y, Z) : A^3 \rightarrow A$ is not free. This will follow from the fact that P over the rings $\mathbb{R}[X, Y, Z]_{(X, Y, Z)}[f(Z)^{-1}]$ or $\mathbb{R}[[X, Y, Z]][f(Z)^{-1}]$ is not free [4, p. 808] and [11, p. 366].

2. Preliminaries

Let A be a ring and M an A -module. We say $m \in M$ is *unimodular* if there exist $\phi \in M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ such that $\phi(m) = 1$. The set of all unimodular elements of M is denoted by $\text{Um}(M)$. For an ideal $J \subset A$, we denote by $E^1(A \oplus M, J)$, the subgroup of $\text{Aut}_A(A \oplus M)$ generated by all the automorphisms

$$\Delta_{a\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a\phi \\ 0 & \text{id}_M \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ m & \text{id}_M \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a \in J, \phi \in M^*$ and $m \in M$. In particular, if $E_{r+1}(A)$ is the group generated by elementary matrices over A , then $E_{r+1}^1(A, J)$ denotes the subgroup of $E_{r+1}(A)$ generated by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{a} \\ 0 & \text{id}_F \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{\mathbf{b}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{b} & \text{id}_F \end{pmatrix},$$

where $F = A^r, \mathbf{a} \in JF$ and $\mathbf{b} \in F$. We write $E^1(A \oplus M)$ for $E^1(A \oplus M, A)$.

By $\text{Um}^1(A \oplus M, J)$, we denote the set of all $(a, m) \in \text{Um}(A \oplus M)$ with $a \in 1 + J$, and $\text{Um}(A \oplus M, J)$ denotes the set of all $(a, m) \in \text{Um}^1(A \oplus M)$ with $m \in JM$. We write $\text{Um}_r(A, J)$ for $\text{Um}(A \oplus A^{r-1}, J)$ and $\text{Um}_r^1(A, J)$ for $\text{Um}^1(A \oplus A^{r-1}, J)$.

Let $p \in M$ and $\varphi \in M^*$ be such that $\varphi(p) = 0$. Let $\varphi_p \in \text{End}(M)$ be defined as $\varphi_p(q) = \varphi(q)p$. Then $1 + \varphi_p$ is a (unipotent) automorphism of M . An automorphism of M of the form $1 + \varphi_p$ is called a *transvection* of M if either $p \in \text{Um}(M)$ or $\varphi \in \text{Um}(M^*)$. We denote by $E(M)$, the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(M)$ generated by all transvections of M .

The following result is due to Bak, Basu and Rao [1, Theorem 3.10]. In [5], we proved results for $E^1(A \oplus P)$. Due to this result, we can interchange $E(A \oplus P)$ and $E^1(A \oplus P)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let A be a ring and P a projective A -module of rank ≥ 2 . Then $E^1(A \oplus P) = E(A \oplus P)$.

The following result follows from the definition.

Lemma 2.2. Let $I \subset J$ be ideals of a ring A and P a projective A -module. Then the natural map $E^1(A \oplus P, J) \rightarrow E^1(A \oplus P, I)$ is surjective.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4596563>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4596563>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)