



Motivic cohomology of the simplicial motive of a Rost variety

Alexander Merkurjev^{a,*}, Andrei Suslin^b

^a Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA

^b Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, 2033 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208-2370, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 October 2009

Received in revised form 31 December 2009

Available online 21 February 2010

Communicated by E.M. Friedlander

MSC:

Primary: 14F42

Secondary: 11S25; 19D50

ABSTRACT

We compute the motivic cohomology groups of the simplicial motive \mathcal{X}_θ of a Rost variety for an n -symbol θ in Galois cohomology of a field. As an application we compute the kernel and cokernel of multiplication by θ in Galois cohomology. We also show that the reduced norm map on K_2 of a division algebra of square-free degree is injective.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Motivic cohomology of \mathcal{X}_θ

1.1. Introduction

Let l be a prime integer, F a field of characteristic different from l . The Galois cohomology group $H_{\text{et}}^1(F, \mu_l)$, where μ_l is the Galois module of all l th roots of unity, is canonically isomorphic to the factor group $F^\times / F^{\times l}$. We write (a) for the class in $H_{\text{et}}^1(F, \mu_l)$ corresponding to an element $a \in F^\times$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in F^\times$ for some $n \geq 1$ and $\chi \in H_{\text{et}}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$. We consider the n -tuple of 1-dimensional cohomology classes

$$\theta = (\chi, (a_1), \dots, (a_{n-1})).$$

Abusing notation we shall also write θ for the cup-product $\chi \cup (a_1) \cup \dots \cup (a_{n-1})$ in $H_{\text{et}}^n(F, \mu_l^{\otimes(n-1)})$ and call this element a *symbol*.

Note that if $\mu_l \subset F^\times$, the choice of a primitive l th root of unity identifies $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ with μ_l and, therefore, χ with (a_0) for some $a_0 \in F^\times$. Thus, θ is given by the n -tuple $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ of elements in F^\times .

A *Rost variety* for θ is a smooth projective variety X_θ over F satisfying the conditions given in [20, Def. 1.1] or [3, Def. 0.5].

Example 1.1 (See [20]). (1) If $n = 1$, then $X_\theta = \text{Spec}(L)$, where L/F is a cyclic field extension of degree l splitting θ , is a Rost variety for θ .

(2) If $n = 2$, the Severi–Brauer variety $X_\theta = \text{SB}(A)$ of a central simple F -algebra A of dimension l^2 with the class θ in $H^2(F, \mu_l) \subset \text{Br}(F)$ is a Rost variety for θ .

An inductive process given in [13] allows us to construct a Rost variety for any θ . Denote further by \mathcal{X}_θ the Čech simplicial scheme $\check{C}(\mathcal{X}_\theta)$ of X_θ (see [17, Appendix B]) and by $M(\mathcal{X}_\theta)$ the motive of \mathcal{X}_θ in the triangulated category $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$ (see [6]).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: merkurev@math.ucla.edu (A. Merkurjev), suslin@math.northwestern.edu (A. Suslin).

The motive of \mathcal{X}_θ in $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$ is independent of the choice of the Rost variety X_θ ([16, Section 5]). If $\theta = 0$, then $\mathcal{X}_\theta = \mathbb{Z}$, so in general, \mathcal{X}_θ is a “twisted form” of \mathbb{Z} . We write $H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ for the motivic cohomology group $H^{p,q}(M(\mathcal{X}_\theta), \mathbb{Z})$.

The triviality of the motivic cohomology group $H^{n+1,n}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ is the essential step in the proof of Bloch–Kato Conjecture (see [16, Prop. 6.11]). In this paper we compute the motivic cohomology $H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ for all p and q (Theorem 1.15).

In the second part of the paper some applications are given. We compute the kernel and cokernel of multiplication by θ in Galois cohomology. We also show that the reduced norm map on K_2 of a division algebra of square-free degree is injective.

We use the following notation:

$K_s(F)$ is the Milnor ring of a field F .

If X is a variety over F , we write $A_0(X, K_p)$ for the cokernel of the residue homomorphism (see [11]):

$$\coprod_{x \in X_{(1)}} K_{p+1}F(x) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X_{(0)}} K_pF(x),$$

where $X_{(i)}$ is the set of all points of X of dimension i .

$n \geq 2$ an integer,

$$b = (l^{n-1} - 1)/(l - 1) = 1 + l + \dots + l^{n-2},$$

$$c = (l^n - 1)/(l - 1) = 1 + l + \dots + l^{n-1} = bl + 1 = b + l^{n-1},$$

$$d = l^{n-1} - 1 = b(l - 1) = c - b - 1.$$

1.2. The Bloch–Kato Conjecture and the motivic cohomology of \mathcal{X}_θ

The Bloch–Kato Conjecture asserts that the norm residue homomorphism

$$h_{n,l} : K_n(F)/lK_n(F) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^n(F, \mu_l^{\otimes n}),$$

taking the class of a symbol $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ to the cup-product $(a_0) \cup (a_1) \cup \dots \cup (a_{n-1})$, is an isomorphism. This conjecture was proved in [16] (see also [3, 13, 19–21]). In view of [14], the natural maps

$$H^{p,q}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^{p,q}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

are isomorphisms for a smooth projective variety Y over F and $p \leq q + 1$. Moreover, the natural map

$$H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{1}$$

is an isomorphism if $p \leq q + 1$. By [17, Lemma 7.3],

$$H_{\text{et}}^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{\text{et}}^{p,q}(F, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{2}$$

for all p and q .

For every $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$ and every $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z})$ the order of α is the integer $\text{ord}(\alpha) = p - q - 1$. The subgroup of $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z})$ of elements of non-negative (respectively, non-positive) order will be denoted by $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0}$ (respectively, $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z})^{\leq 0}$).

1.3. The motive $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta$

The motive $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta$ is defined by the exact triangle

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta \rightarrow M(\mathcal{X}_\theta) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta[1] \tag{3}$$

in $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that the motive $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta$ differs by a shift from the one defined in [17].

It follows from (1) and (2) that

$$H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{\text{et}}^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{\text{et}}^{p,q}(F, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^{p,q}(F, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{4}$$

if $p \leq q + 1$. As $H^{p,q}(F, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ when $p > q$, the exact triangle (3) yields:

Proposition 1.2. *There are canonical isomorphisms:*

$$H^{*,*}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0} \simeq H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0},$$

$$H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\leq 0} \simeq H^{*,*}(F, \mathbb{Z})^{\leq 0},$$

$$H^{*,*}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\leq 0} = 0.$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4597089>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4597089>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)