

A uniform Artin–Rees property for syzygies in rings of dimension one and two

Janet Striuli

Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588-0130, USA

Received 12 July 2006; received in revised form 25 September 2006

Available online 28 December 2006

Communicated by A.V. Geramita

Abstract

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local Noetherian ring, let M be a finitely generated R -module and let $I \subset R$ be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. Let $\mathbf{F} = \{F_i, \partial_i\}$ be a free resolution of M . In this paper we study the question whether there exists an integer h such that $I^n F_i \cap \ker(\partial_i) \subset I^{n-h} \ker(\partial_i)$ holds for all i . We give a positive answer for rings of dimension at most two. We relate this property to the existence of an integer s such that I^s annihilates the modules $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, R/I^n)$ for all $i > 0$ and all integers n .

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 13C10

1. Introduction

In this paper $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ denotes a local Noetherian ring, and all modules are finitely generated. As general reference we refer to [1,4].

Let I be an ideal of R , let M be an R -module and N a submodule of M . The Artin–Rees lemma states that there exists an integer h depending on I , M and N such that for all $n \geq h$ one has

$$I^n M \cap N = I^{n-h} (I^h M \cap N). \quad (1.0.1)$$

A weaker property, which is often the one used in applications, is

$$I^n M \cap N \subset I^{n-h} N. \quad (1.0.2)$$

Much work has been done to determine whether h can be chosen uniformly, in the sense that (1.0.2) would be satisfied simultaneously for every ideal belonging to a given family; see [3,6,8–11]. We study another kind of uniformity.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local Noetherian ring with $\dim R \leq 2$. Let M a finitely generated R -module and $I \subset R$ an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. There exists an integer h such that for every free resolution $\mathbf{F} = \{F_i, \partial_i^{\mathbf{F}}\}$ of M there are inclusions*

$$I^n \mathbf{F}_{i-1} \cap \ker(\partial_i^{\mathbf{F}}) \subseteq I^{n-h} \ker(\partial_i^{\mathbf{F}}) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1 \text{ and all } n > h. \quad (1.1.1)$$

E-mail address: jstriuli2@math.unl.edu.

The main motivation for this work is a theorem due to Eisenbud and Huneke [5, Theorem 3.1]: Let M be an R -module and let $\mathbf{F} = \{F_i, \partial_i^{\mathbf{F}}\}$ be a free resolution of M . If for every non-maximal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R the $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has finite projective dimension and its rank is independent of $\mathfrak{p}$, then there exists an integer h such that (1.1.1) holds.

To prove Theorem 1.1 we study the annihilators of the modules $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, R/I^n)$; see also [5, Proposition 4.1].

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local Noetherian ring, let r be an integer and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of ideals. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $\dim R = 1$, $r = 2$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is the family of all $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals;
- (2) $\dim R = 2$, $r = 3$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is the family of all parameter ideals.

Then there exists an integer h such that

$$I^h \mathrm{Tor}_j^R(M, R/I^n) = 0$$

for every R -module M , every integer n , every $j \geq r$ and every $I \in \mathcal{F}$.

In the next section we define syzygetically Artin–Rees modules and study the case where the ring is Cohen–Macaulay. In Section 3 we study uniform annihilators for certain Tor-modules. In Section 4 we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.1 (see Theorems 4.4 and 4.5) for rings of dimension one, and in Section 5 we prove them (see Theorems 5.4 and 6.1) for rings of dimension two.

2. Syzygetically Artin–Rees modules

Given an R -module M and $\mathbf{F} = \{F_i, \partial_i^{\mathbf{F}}\}$ a minimal free resolution of M , we define $\Omega_i^R(M) := \ker(\partial_{i-1}^{\mathbf{F}})$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be an R -module and let I be an ideal of R . Let h be an integer. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *for every free resolution $\mathbf{G} = \{G_i, \partial_i^{\mathbf{G}}\}$ one has:*

$$I^n G_i \cap \ker(\partial_i^{\mathbf{G}}) \subset I^{n-h} \ker(\partial_i^{\mathbf{G}}) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1 \text{ and all } n > h; \quad (2.1.1)$$

- (2) *for some free resolution $\mathbf{G} = \{G_i, \partial_i^{\mathbf{G}}\}$ inclusion (2.1.1) holds.*

Proof. For every free resolution $\mathbf{G} = \{G_i, \partial_i^{\mathbf{G}}\}$, we can write $G_i = F_i \oplus C_i \oplus D_i$, where $\partial_i^{\mathbf{G}}|_{F_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}F_{i-1}$, $\partial_i^{\mathbf{G}}(D_i) = 0$ and $\partial_i^{\mathbf{G}}(C_i) = C_{i-1}$. In particular, the inclusion $I^n G_i \cap \ker(\partial_i^{\mathbf{G}}) \subset I^{n-h} \ker(\partial_i^{\mathbf{G}})$ holds for all $i > 0$ and $n > h$ for a free resolution $\mathbf{G}$ of M if and only if it holds for the minimal free resolution $\mathbf{F}$ of M . $\square$

Definition 2.2. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local Noetherian ring. Let M be a finitely generated R -module, let I be an ideal of R and let h be an integer. An R -module M is *syzygetically Artin–Rees* of level h with respect to I if one of the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2.1 holds.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of ideals. If there exists an integer h such that (2.1.1) holds for every ideal $I \in \mathcal{F}$ then we say that M is *syzygetically Artin–Rees* with respect to $\mathcal{F}$, or simply *syzygetically Artin–Rees* if $\mathcal{F}$ is the family of all ideals.

2.3. Uniform Artin–Rees

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local Noetherian ring. Given an R -module M and a submodule N , there exists an integer $h = h(M, N)$ such that $I^n M \cap N \subset I^{n-h} N$, for every ideal I of R and every $n > h$. See [6, Theorem 4.12].

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be an R -module and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of ideals. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *M is syzygetically Artin–Rees with respect to $\mathcal{F}$ if and only if $\Omega_i^R(M)$ is syzygetically Artin–Rees with respect to $\mathcal{F}$ for some integer $i > 0$.*
- (2) *Let $R \rightarrow S$ be a faithfully flat extension. If $M \otimes_R S$ is syzygetically Artin–Rees with respect to the family of ideals IS where $I \in \mathcal{F}$, then M is syzygetically Artin–Rees with respect to $\mathcal{F}$.*

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4598368>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4598368>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)