



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Linear Algebra and its Applications

[www.elsevier.com/locate/laa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/laa)



## Free semidefinite representation of matrix power functions



J. William Helton, Jiawang Nie, Jeremy S. Semko\*

University of California San Diego, United States

### ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received 17 May 2013

Accepted 26 September 2014

Available online 10 October 2014

Submitted by T. Damm

*MSC:*

primary 90C22, 15-XX

secondary 47H07

*Keywords:*

Matrix convexity

Epigraph

Hypograph

Free semidefinite representation

Linear matrix inequalities

Linear pencil

Semidefinite programming

### ABSTRACT

Consider the matrix power function  $X^p$  defined over the cone of positive definite matrices  $\mathcal{S}_{++}^n$ . It is known that  $X^p$  is convex over  $\mathcal{S}_{++}^n$  if  $p \in [-1, 0] \cup [1, 2]$  and  $X^p$  is concave over  $\mathcal{S}_{++}^n$  if  $p \in [0, 1]$ . We show that the hypograph of  $X^p$  admits a free semidefinite representation if  $p \in [0, 1]$  is rational, and the epigraph of  $X^p$  admits a free semidefinite representation if  $p \in [-1, 0] \cup [1, 2]$  is rational.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{S}^n$  be the space of real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices, and  $\mathcal{S}_+^n$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}_{++}^n$ ) be the cone of positive semidefinite (resp. definite) matrices in  $\mathcal{S}^n$ . For  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , the matrix power function  $X^p$  on  $\mathcal{S}^n$  is defined as  $X^p = Q^T \Lambda^p Q$  when this makes sense, with  $X = Q^T \Lambda Q$  an orthogonal spectral decomposition. It is well known (cf. [3, p. 147]) that

\* Corresponding author.

- (1)  $X^p$  is convex over  $\mathcal{S}_{++}^n$  if  $p \in [-1, 0] \cup [1, 2]$ , and
- (2)  $X^p$  is concave over  $\mathcal{S}_+^n$  if  $p \in [0, 1]$ .

Here, the concavity and convexity are defined as usual for functions of matrices. The goal of this paper is to give a *free semidefinite representation* (i.e., in terms of linear matrix inequalities whose construction is independent of the matrix dimension  $n$ ) for the epigraph or hypograph of the matrix power function  $X^p$  for a range of rational exponents  $p$ .

*1.1. Convex and concave matrix-valued functions*

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a convex subset of the space of the Cartesian product  $(\mathcal{S}^n)^g$ , with  $g > 0$  an integer. A matrix-valued function  $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n$  is **convex** if

$$f(tX + (1 - t)Y) \preceq tf(X) + (1 - t)f(Y), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$$

for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ . If  $-f$  is convex, we say that  $f$  is **concave**. The **epigraph** (resp. **hypograph**) of  $f$  is then defined as

$$\{(X, Y) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{S}^n : f(X) \preceq Y\} \quad (\text{resp. } \{(X, Y) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{S}^n : f(X) \succeq Y\}).$$

The following is a straightforward but useful fact. Due to lacking of a suitable reference in case of matrix-valued functions, we include a short proof here.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{D}$  is a convex set. Then  $f$  is convex over  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if its epigraph is convex. Similarly,  $f$  is concave over  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if its hypograph is convex.*

**Proof.** We will prove only the first half of the proposition as the second half clearly follows from the first.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $(X, W)$  and  $(Y, Z)$  are in the epigraph of  $f$  and if  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then by the convexity of  $f$ ,

$$f(tX + (1 - t)Y) \preceq tf(X) + (1 - t)f(Y) \preceq tW + (1 - t)Z$$

so that  $(tX + (1 - t)Y, tW + (1 - t)Z)$  is in the epigraph of  $f$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $(X, f(X))$  and  $(Y, f(Y))$  are in the epigraph of  $f$ . Since the epigraph is convex,  $(tX + (1 - t)Y, tf(X) + (1 - t)f(Y))$  is in the epigraph as well. But this says that  $f(tX + (1 - t)Y) \preceq tf(X) + (1 - t)f(Y)$ .  $\square$

In the case that  $f(X) \succeq 0$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ , we are often only interested in the pairs  $(X, Y)$  from the hypograph of  $f$  with  $Y \succeq 0$ . Thus, in this case, we slightly abuse terminology and refer to

$$\{(X, Y) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{S}_+^n : f(X) \succeq Y\}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4599340>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4599340>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)