

Generalized principal pivot transform

M. Rajesh Kannan^{*}, R.B. Bapat

Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi Centre, 7, S.J.S.S. Marg, New Delhi 110 016, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 March 2014 Accepted 14 April 2014 Available online 6 May 2014 Submitted by M. Tsatsomeros

MSC: 15A09

Keywords: Moore–Penrose inverse Generalized principal pivot transform Generalized Schur complement Range-symmetric matrix J-Hermitian matrix Almost skew-symmetric matrix

ABSTRACT

The generalized principal pivot transform is a generalization of the principal pivot transform to the singular case, using the Moore–Penrose inverse. In this article we study some properties of the generalized principal pivot transform. We prove that the Moore–Penrose inverse of a range-symmetric, almost skew-symmetric matrix is almost skew-symmetric. It is shown that the generalized principal pivot transform preserves the rank of the symmetric part of a matrix under some conditions.

@ 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

CrossMark

1. Introduction

Let A be an $n \times n$ complex matrix partitioned into blocks as $\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ where A_{11} is invertible. The principal pivot transform of A, with respect to A_{11} , is defined as $ppt(A, A_{11}) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^{-1} & -A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} \\ A_{21}A_{11}^{-1} & (A/A_{11}) \end{pmatrix}$, where $(A/A_{11}) = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$ is the Schur complement of A_{11} in A. The principal pivot transform has an interesting history, which is dealt with in detail in [7].

* Corresponding author.

 $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2014.04.015} 0024-3795 \ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc 0}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{C}} \ensuremath{\mathbb$

E-mail address: rajeshkannan1.m@gmail.com (M. Rajesh Kannan).

In this article we study the notion of principal pivot transform for singular matrices (that is to say, the case when A_{11} is singular). In Section 2, we introduce notation and state some preliminary results. In Section 3, we define the generalized principal pivot transform and discuss its properties. In Section 4, first we prove that the Moore–Penrose inverse of a range-symmetric, almost skew-symmetric matrix is almost skew-symmetric. Then we prove that the generalized principal pivot transform preserves the rank of the symmetric part of the matrix under some conditions. As a particular case we get that the principal pivot transform of an almost skew-symmetric matrix is almost skew-symmetric. Our work generalizes some results from [5,7,8].

2. Notation, definitions and preliminary results

Let $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over the complex (real) numbers. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, we denote the adjoint of A, the transpose of A, the range space of A and null space of A by A^* , A^t , R(A) and N(A), respectively.

For a given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, the unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ satisfying AXA = A, XAX = X, $(AX)^* = AX$ and $(XA)^* = XA$ is called the Moore–Penrose inverse of Aand is denoted by A^{\dagger} . For a given matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfying AXA = A, XAX = X, and AX = XA is called the group inverse of Aand is denoted by $A^{\#}$. If A is nonsingular, then $A^{\#} = A^{-1} = A^{\dagger}$. Unlike the Moore– Penrose inverse, which always exists, the group inverse need not exist for all square matrices. A well known necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of $A^{\#}$ is that $rank(A) = rank(A^2)$. For complementary subspaces L and M of \mathbb{C}^n , the projection (not necessarily orthogonal) of \mathbb{C}^n on L along M will be denoted by $P_{L,M}$. If, in addition, Land M are orthogonal then we denote this by P_L . Some of the well known properties of A^{\dagger} and $A^{\#}$ which will be frequently used, are [1]: $R(A^*) = R(A^{\dagger})$; $N(A^*) = N(A^{\dagger})$; $AA^{\dagger} = P_{R(A)}$; $A^{\dagger}A = P_{R(A^*)}$; $R(A) = R(A^{\#})$; $N(A) = N(A^{\#})$; $AA^{\#} = P_{R(A),N(A)}$. In particular, if $x \in R(A^*)$ then $x = A^{\dagger}Ax$ and if $x \in R(A)$ then $x = A^{\#}Ax$.

Definition 2.1. A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ is said to be range-Hermitian (range-symmetric) if $R(A) = R(A^*)(R(A) = R(A^t))$.

The following result is known for range-Hermitian matrices.

Theorem 2.1. (See [1].) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) A is range-Hermitian,
(b) N(A) = N(A*),
(c) A[†] = A[#].

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4599574

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4599574

Daneshyari.com