

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Linear Algebra and its Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/laa

Convex integer optimization by constantly many linear counterparts



LINEAR ALGEBRA and its

Applications

Michal Melamed, Shmuel Onn*

Faculty of IE&M, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 8 November 2012 Accepted 7 January 2014 Available online 23 January 2014 Submitted by R. Brualdi

Dedicated to the memory of Uri Rothblum

MSC: 52B 52C 62H 68Q 68R 90B

90C

Keywords: Combinatorial optimization Matroid Projection Integer programming Transportation problem Statistical table

ABSTRACT

In this article we study convex integer maximization problems with composite objective functions of the form f(Wx), where f is a convex function on \mathbb{R}^d and W is a $d \times n$ matrix with small or binary entries, over finite sets $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ of integer points presented by an oracle or by linear inequalities.

Continuing the line of research advanced by Uri Rothblum and his colleagues on edge-directions, we introduce here the notion of *edge complexity* of S, and use it to establish polynomial and constant upper bounds on the number of vertices of the projection conv(WS) and on the number of linear optimization counterparts needed to solve the above convex problem.

Two typical consequences are the following. First, for any d, there is a constant m(d) such that the maximum number of vertices of the projection of any matroid $S \subset \{0,1\}^n$ by any binary $d \times n$ matrix W is m(d) regardless of n and S; and the convex matroid problem reduces to m(d) greedily solvable linear counterparts. In particular, m(2) = 8. Second, for any d, l, m, there is a constant t(d; l, m) such that the maximum number of vertices of the projection of any three-index $l \times m \times n$ transportation polytope for any n by any binary $d \times (l \times m \times n)$ matrix W is t(d; l, m); and the convex three-index transportation problem reduces to t(d; l, m) linear counterparts solvable in polynomial time.

@ 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +972 4 8294416. E-mail addresses: michalro@tx.technion.ac.il (M. Melamed), onn@ie.technion.ac.il (S. Onn).

0024-3795/\$ – see front matter © 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2014.01.007

1. Introduction

In this article we study convex integer maximization problems and the closely related projections of the sets of feasible points. Let $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite set of integer points, let $\operatorname{conv}(S) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be its convex hull, let W be a $d \times n$ integer matrix, and let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. We study the problem of maximizing the composite function f(Wx)over S and the projection of $\operatorname{conv}(S)$ by W into \mathbb{R}^d , namely,

$$\max\{f(Wx)\colon x\in S\}\tag{1}$$

and

$$\operatorname{conv}(WS) = \operatorname{conv}\{Wx: x \in S\} = \{Wx: x \in \operatorname{conv}(S)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d.$$

$$(2)$$

The sets S we consider arise in two natural contexts. First, in *combinatorial optimiza*tion, in which case $S \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$ has some combinatorial structure and might be presented by a suitable oracle. Second, in *integer programming*, where

$$S := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \ Ax = b, \ l \leqslant x \leqslant u \right\}$$

$$(3)$$

is the set of integer points satisfying a given (standard) system of linear inequalities.

The optimization problem (1) can also be interpreted as a problem of multicriteria optimization, where each row of W gives a linear criterion $W_i x$ and f compromises these criteria. We therefore call W the *criteria* matrix or *weight* matrix.

The projection polytope $\operatorname{conv}(WS)$ in (2) and its vertices play a central role in solving problem (1): for any convex function f there is an optimal solution x whose projection y := Wx is a vertex of $\operatorname{conv}(WS)$. In particular, the enumeration of all vertices of $\operatorname{conv}(WS)$ enables to compute the optimal objective value for any given convex function f by picking that vertex attaining the best value f(y) = f(Wx). So it suffices, and will be assumed throughout, that f is presented by a *comparison oracle* that, queried on vectors $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, asserts whether or not f(y) < f(z).

This line of research was advanced by Uri Rothblum, to whom we dedicate this article, and his colleagues, in several papers including [2,5,13], and culminated in the edge-direction framework of [16], see also [15, Chapter 2]. In this article we continue this line of investigation, and take a closer look on *coarse* criteria matrices; that is, we assume that the entries of W are small, presented in unary, or even bounded by a constant and lie in $\{0, 1, \ldots, p\}$. In multicriteria combinatorial optimization, this corresponds to the weight $W_{i,j}$ attributed to element j of the ground set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ under criterion i being a small or even $\{0, 1\}$ value for all i, j.

Here is a typical result we obtain in convex combinatorial optimization, where $S \subset \{0,1\}^n$ is the set of indicating vectors of bases of a matroid over $\{1,\ldots,n\}$.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4599708

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4599708

Daneshyari.com