

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Linear Algebra and its Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/laa

On the sign patterns of the smallest signless Laplacian eigenvector



Applications

Felix Goldberg*, Steve Kirkland¹

Hamilton Institute, National University of Ireland, Maynooth, Ireland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 February 2013 Accepted 29 July 2013 Available online 5 December 2013 Submitted by R. Brualdi

MSC: 05C50 15A18 15B48

Keywords: Signless Laplacian matrix Eigenvalue Eigenvector Sign pattern Bipartite graph Independent set S-Roth graph

ABSTRACT

Let *H* be a connected bipartite graph, whose signless Laplacian matrix is Q(H). Suppose that the bipartition of *H* is (S, T) and that *x* is the eigenvector of the smallest eigenvalue of Q(H). It is well-known that *x* is positive and constant on *S*, and negative and constant on *T*.

The resilience of the sign pattern of x under addition of edges into the subgraph induced by either S or T is investigated and a number of cases in which the sign pattern of x persists are described.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let *G* be a graph with adjacency matrix A(G) and let D(G) be the diagonal matrix of the vertex degrees of *G*. The Laplacian matrix of *G* is L(G) = D(G) - A(G) and the signless Laplacian matrix of *G* is Q(G) = D(G) + A(G). The matrix Q(G) has been largely subject to benign neglect up to very recent times, but it has received a lot of attention lately – some of the results of which are summarized in the surveys [5–8].

* Corresponding author.

0024-3795/\$ – see front matter @ 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2013.07.031

E-mail addresses: felix.goldberg@gmail.com (F. Goldberg), stephen.kirkland@nuim.ie (S. Kirkland).

¹ Research supported in part by the Science Foundation Ireland under Grant No. SFI/07/SK/I1216b.

67

It is well-known (cf. [5, pp. 157-158]) that 0 is an eigenvalue of Q(G) with multiplicity equal to the number of bipartite connected components of *G*. We shall denote the smallest eigenvalue of Q(G) by $\mu(G)$.

In this note we consider the relationship between bipartiteness and the signless Laplacian from a slightly different angle, studying the sign pattern of an eigenvector that corresponds to $\mu(G)$. Note also that we will restrict our attention to *real* eigenvectors.

A few words about notation: if V(G) is labelled as $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then for any nonempty subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ we shall mean by x(S) the vector in $\mathbb{R}^{|S|}$ formed by deleting from x all entries not corresponding to elements of S. The all-ones vector of length n will be denoted by $\mathbf{1}_n$ or just $\mathbf{1}$ if the length is clear from the context. We write v > 0 to indicate that all the entries of a vector v are strictly positive.

The following fact is well-known:

Proposition 1.1. Let *H* be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition (S, T). For any eigenvector *x* corresponding to $\mu(H)$ there is a nonzero number *c* so that

$$\boldsymbol{x}(S) = \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{1}_{|S|}, \qquad \boldsymbol{x}(T) = -\boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{1}_{|T|}.$$

The main result of the paper [32] by Roth can be seen as an interesting generalization of Proposition 1.1:

Proposition 1.2. Let *H* be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition (S, T). Let *D* be any diagonal matrix and let *x* be an eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue of Q(H) + D. Then

$$x(S) > 0, \quad x(T) < 0,$$
 (1)

or vice versa.

We are interested in generalizing Proposition 1.1, showing that (1) continues to hold even when edges are added on one of the sides of H. We keep D = 0, however. Let us make the following definition:

Definition 1.3. Let *H* be a connected graph and let $S \subseteq V(H)$ be a maximal independent set. We say that *H* is *S*-*Roth* if for every eigenvector *x* corresponding to $\mu(H)$ we have that

$$x(S) > 0, \qquad x(V(H) - S) < 0,$$

or vice versa.

The assumption that *S* is a maximal independent set is made in order to rule out the uninteresting case when *H* is bipartite and *S* is a proper subset of one of the partite sets associated with *H*. In that case there is a smallest eigenvector of Q(H) that is positive on *S* but has mixed signs on the complement of *S*.

Remark 1.4. Notice that if *H* is *S*-Roth, then $\mu(H)$ must be a simple eigenvalue.

Proposition 1.1 can now be stated as:

Proposition 1.5. Let *H* be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition (*S*, *T*). Then *H* is *S*-Roth.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4599772

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4599772

Daneshyari.com