



Chebyshev type inequalities involving permanents and their applications[☆]

Jiajin Wen*, Wan-lan Wang

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Chengdu University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610106, PR China

Received 17 October 2005; accepted 17 October 2006

Available online 6 December 2006

Submitted by S. Friedland

Abstract

We extend the well-known Chebyshev's inequality to some new cases involving permanents under the proper hypotheses. Our main results are

$$\frac{\text{per}(A \odot B)}{n!} \geq \frac{\text{per} A}{n!} \cdot \frac{\text{per} B}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\text{per} A}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}} \leq \frac{\text{per} B}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_{i,j}}.$$

As applications, we consider the problem of finding bounds of the ratios of two functions involving permanents.

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

AMS classification: 15D45; 15A15

Keywords: Chebyshev type inequality; Permanent; Generalization; Bound

[☆] Supported by the NSF of China (No. 10171073).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jiajinwen623@163.com (J.J. Wen), wanlanwang@163.com (W.-l. Wang).

1. Introduction and main results

We shall need the following symbols in the well-known monographs [1–3]:

$$\begin{aligned} x &:= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n); & \alpha &:= (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n); \\ xy &:= (x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \dots, x_ny_n); \\ x/y &:= (x_1/y_1, x_2/y_2, \dots, x_n/y_n), & y_i &\neq 0 \ (i = 1, \dots, n); \\ \mathbb{R}^n &:=]-\infty, \infty[^n; & \mathbb{R}_+^n &:= [0, \infty[^n; & \mathbb{R}_{++}^n &:=]0, \infty[^n. \end{aligned}$$

For matrices $A = (a_{i,j})_{m \times n}$ and $B = (b_{i,j})_{m \times n}$, we define the Haddamard product as $A \odot B := (a_{i,j}b_{i,j})_{m \times n}$, that is, it is the componentwise product.

Besides these, throughout the paper it is assumed that $n \geq 2$.

The well-known Chebyshev’s inequality states: if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n, b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_n$, then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \geq \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right). \tag{1}$$

The inequality is reversed for $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n$. In each case, equality holds in (1) if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$ or $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_n$.

Definition 1. Let $A = (a_{i,j})_{n \times n}$ be an $n \times n$ matrix over a commutative ring. Then the permanent (of order n) of A , written $\text{per} A$, is defined by

$$\text{per} A := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1,\sigma(1)} a_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n,\sigma(n)}.$$

The sum here extends over all elements σ of the symmetric group S_n , that is, over all permutations of the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$.

We shall use some symbols similar to those in [2,3]: let $A = (a_{i,j})_{m \times n}$ be an $m \times n$ matrix. Then $A(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p | j_1, j_2, \dots, j_q)$ denotes the $(m - p) \times (n - q)$ submatrix obtained from A by deleting its i_1 th, i_2 th, \dots, i_p th rows and j_1 th, j_2 th, \dots, j_q th columns. For any n -square matrix $A = (a_{i,j})_{n \times n}$, we will use the following lemma similar to Laplace’s expansion theorem for determinants (see [2,3]):

Lemma 1. *The expansion of the permanent according to the r th row or the s th column*

$$\text{per} A = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{r,j} \text{per} A(r|j) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,s} \text{per} A(i|s) \quad (r, s = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

We shall generalize the inequality (1) to the following results (4) and (6).

Theorem 1. *Let $A = (a_{i,j})_{n \times n}$ and $B = (b_{i,j})_{n \times n}$ be two $n \times n$ matrices, and let $a_{i,j} > 0$ and $b_{i,j} > 0, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If*

$$\frac{a_{i,1}}{a_{i+1,1}} \leq \frac{a_{i,2}}{a_{i+1,2}} \leq \dots \leq \frac{a_{i,n}}{a_{i+1,n}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, \tag{2}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4603677>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4603677>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)