



Letter to the Editor

Rescaled pure greedy algorithm for Hilbert and Banach spaces <sup>☆</sup>

Guergana Petrova

*Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA*

## ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received 14 May 2015

Received in revised form 27

September 2015

Accepted 20 October 2015

Available online 26 October 2015

Communicated by Leslie F.

Greengard

*MSC:*

41A25

41A46

*Keywords:*

Greedy algorithms

Rates of convergence

## ABSTRACT

We show that a very simple modification of the Pure Greedy Algorithm for approximating functions by sparse sums from a dictionary in a Hilbert or more generally a Banach space has optimal convergence rates.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Greedy algorithms have been used quite extensively as a tool for generating approximations from redundant families of functions, such as frames or more general dictionaries  $\mathcal{D}$ , see [8,10,3,2,15]. Given a Banach space  $X$ , a dictionary is any set  $\mathcal{D}$  of norm one elements from  $X$  whose span is dense in  $X$ . The most natural greedy algorithm in a Hilbert space is the Pure Greedy Algorithm (**PGA**), which is also known as Matching Pursuit, see [3] for the description of this and other algorithms. The fact that the **PGA** lacks optimal convergence properties has led to a variety of modified greedy algorithms such as the Relaxed Greedy Algorithm (**RGA**), the Orthogonal Greedy Algorithm (**OGA**), and their weak versions. There are also analogues of these, developed for approximating functions in Banach spaces, see [15].

The central issues in the study of these algorithms is their ease of implementation and their approximation power, measured in terms of convergence rates. If  $f_m$  is the output of a greedy algorithm after  $m$  iterations, then  $f_m$  is a linear combination of at most  $m$  dictionary elements. Such linear combinations are said to be

<sup>☆</sup> This research was supported by the Office of Naval Research Contract ONR N00014-11-1-0712, and by the NSF Grants DMS 1222715 and DMS 1521067.

*E-mail address:* [gpetrova@math.tamu.edu](mailto:gpetrova@math.tamu.edu).

sparse of order  $m$ . The quality of the approximation is measured by the decay of the error  $\|f - f_m\|$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the norm in the Hilbert or Banach space, respectively. Of course, the decay rate of this error is governed by properties of the target function  $f$ . The typical properties imposed on  $f$  are that it is sparse, or more generally, that it is in some way compressible. Here, compressible means that it can be written as a (generally speaking, infinite) linear combination of dictionary elements with some restrictions on the coefficients. The most frequently applied assumption on  $f$  is that it is in the unit ball of the class  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{D})$ , that is the set of all functions which are a convex combination of dictionary elements (provided we consider symmetric dictionaries). It is known that the elements in this class can be approximated by  $m$  sparse vectors to accuracy  $\mathcal{O}(m^{-1/2})$ , see [Theorem 2.1](#), and so this rate of approximation serves as a benchmark for the performance of greedy algorithms.

It has been shown in [\[3\]](#) in the case of Hilbert space that whenever  $f \in \mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{D})$ , the output  $f_m$  of the **PGA** satisfies

$$\|f - f_m\| = \mathcal{O}(m^{-1/6}), \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Later results gave slight improvements of the above estimate. For example, in [\[9\]](#), the rate  $\mathcal{O}(m^{-1/6})$  was improved to  $\mathcal{O}(m^{-11/62})$ . Based on the method from the latter paper, Sil'nichenko [\[14\]](#) then showed a rate of  $\mathcal{O}(m^{-\frac{s}{2(s+2)}})$ , where  $s$  solves a certain equation, and that  $\frac{s}{2(s+2)} > 11/62$ . Similar estimates for the weak versions of the **PGA** can be found in [\[15\]](#). Estimates for the error from below have also been provided, see [\[12,11\]](#).

The fact that the **PGA** does not attain the optimal rate for approximating the elements in  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{D})$  has led to various modifications of this algorithm. Two of these modifications, the Relaxed and the Orthogonal Greedy Algorithm were shown to achieve the optimal rate  $\mathcal{O}(m^{-1/2})$ , see [\[3\]](#).

The purpose of the present paper is to show that a very simple modification of the **PGA**, namely just rescaling  $f_m$  at each iteration, already leads to the improved convergence rate  $\mathcal{O}(m^{-1/2})$  for functions in  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{D})$  and the rate  $\mathcal{O}(m^{-\theta/2})$  for functions from the interpolation space  $[H, \mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{D})]_{\theta, \infty}$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ . The rescaling we suggest is simply the orthogonal projection of  $f$  onto  $f_m$ . We call this modified algorithm a Rescaled Pure Greedy Algorithm (**RPGA**) and prove optimal convergence rates for its weak version in Hilbert and Banach spaces. In a subsequent paper, see [\[5\]](#), we show that this strategy can also be applied successfully for developing an algorithm for convex optimization.

The paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we spell out our notation and recall some simple known facts related to greedy algorithms. In [Section 3](#), we present the **RPGA** for a Hilbert space and prove the above convergence rates. The remaining parts of this paper consider a modification of this algorithm for Banach spaces and weak versions of this algorithm.

## 2. Notation and preliminaries

We denote by  $H$  a Hilbert space and by  $X$  a Banach space with  $\|\cdot\|$  being the norm in these spaces, respectively. A set of functions  $\mathcal{D} \subset H$  (or  $X$ ) is called a dictionary if  $\|\varphi\| = 1$  for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  and the closure of  $\text{span}(\mathcal{D})$  is  $H$  (or  $X$ ). An example of a dictionary is any Shauder basis for  $H$  (or  $X$ ). However, the main idea behind dictionaries is to cover redundant families such as frames. A common example of dictionaries is the union of several Shauder bases.

We denote by  $\Sigma_m(\mathcal{D})$  the set, consisting of all  $m$ -sparse elements with respect to the dictionary  $\mathcal{D}$ , namely

$$\Sigma_m := \Sigma_m(\mathcal{D}) = \left\{ g : g = \sum_{\varphi \in \Lambda} c_\varphi \varphi, \Lambda \in \mathcal{D}, |\Lambda| \leq m \right\}.$$

Here, we use the notation  $|\Lambda|$  to denote the cardinality of the index set  $\Lambda$ . For a general element  $f$  from  $H$  (or  $X$ ), we define the error of approximation

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4604930>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4604930>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)