



Erratum

Erratum to: “On biminimal submanifolds in nonpositively curved manifolds” [Differ. Geom. Appl. 35 (2014) 1–8]



Yong Luo

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 May 2014

Received in revised form 15 March

2016

Available online 4 April 2016

Communicated by F. Fang

ABSTRACT

We correct theorems of Luo (2014) [1], concerning nonexistence of complete biminimal submanifolds in nonpositive curvature space forms, and Lemma 4.2 in Luo (2014) [1].

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

In [1], the present author gave several results concerning the nonexistence of complete biminimal submanifolds in nonpositive curvature space forms. The main theorems in [1] are Theorems 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5. In the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [1] we used the inequality $b_n \leq \frac{1}{2}b_{2n}$ of page 7, line 3 to get the inequality of page 7, line 7. But this is wrong, because to get the inequality of page 7, line 7, the inequality we need is $b_{2n} \leq \frac{1}{2}b_n$. In this note we give a proof of Theorem 1.3 (also Theorems 1.4, 1.5) by adding an additional condition.

Theorem 0.1. *Let $f : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, \langle, \rangle)$ be a complete positive (that is $\lambda > 0$) biminimal submanifold (resp. hypersurface). Assume that the sectional curvature (resp. Ricci curvature) of (N, \langle, \rangle) is nonpositive and $\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\vec{H}|^{p+2} d\mu_g$ is of at most polynomial growth of ρ , then f is minimal.*

Here and in the following $B_\rho(x_0)$ denotes the geodesic ball on M centered at x_0 of radius ρ . We say a function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is of at most polynomial growth, if as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, $f(\rho) \leq C(1 + \rho^s)$ for some positive constant C independent of ρ and s a positive integer.

In particular, as a direct corollary of Theorem 0.1 we have

Theorem 0.2. *Any complete positive biminimal submanifold in a Euclidean space which satisfies that $\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\vec{H}|^{p+2} d\mu_g$ is of at most polynomial growth of ρ is minimal.*

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.difgeo.2014.05.001>.

E-mail address: yluo@amss.ac.cn.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.difgeo.2016.03.005>

0926-2245/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Furthermore for negative biminimal submanifolds in negative space forms $N(c)$ of sectional curvature $c \leq 0$ we have

Theorem 0.3. *Let $f : (M^m, g) \rightarrow N^n(c)$ be a complete biminimal submanifolds in a space form $N(c)$ with constant sectional curvature $c \leq 0$ and assume that $\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\vec{H}|^{p+2} d\mu_g$ is of at most polynomial growth of ρ . If $\lambda > mc$, f is minimal.*

Proof of Theorem 0.1. From Eq. (1.7) in [1] we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta|\vec{H}|^2 &= 2|\nabla^\perp \vec{H}|^2 + 2\langle \vec{H}, \Delta^\perp \vec{H} \rangle + 2\lambda|\vec{H}|^2 \\ &= 2|\nabla^\perp \vec{H}|^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^m \langle h(A_{\vec{H}}e_i, e_i), \vec{H} \rangle - 2\sum_{i=1}^m \langle R^N(e_i, \vec{H})e_i, \vec{H} \rangle + 2\lambda|\vec{H}|^2 \\ &= 2|\nabla^\perp \vec{H}|^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^m \langle A_{\vec{H}}e_i, A_{\vec{H}}e_i \rangle - 2\sum_{i=1}^m \langle R^N(e_i, \vec{H})e_i, \vec{H} \rangle + 2\lambda|\vec{H}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously if N has non-positive sectional curvature, $-\sum_{i=1}^m \langle R^N(e_i, \vec{H})e_i, \vec{H} \rangle \geq 0$. Furthermore, if M is a hypersurface, we see that $-\sum_{i=1}^m \langle R^N(e_i, \vec{H})e_i, \vec{H} \rangle = -Ric^N(\vec{H}, \vec{H}) \geq 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta|\vec{H}|^2 &\geq 2|\nabla^\perp \vec{H}|^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^m \langle A_{\vec{H}}e_i, A_{\vec{H}}e_i \rangle + 2\lambda|\vec{H}|^2 \\ &\geq 2|\nabla^\perp \vec{H}|^2 + \frac{2}{m}|\vec{H}|^4 + 2\lambda|\vec{H}|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{0.1}$$

where in the last inequality we used $\sum_{i=1}^m \langle A_{\vec{H}}e_i, A_{\vec{H}}e_i \rangle \geq \frac{1}{m}|\vec{H}|^4$, which can be seen as follows: Let $x \in M$, when $\vec{H}(x) = 0$, we are done. If $\vec{H}(x) \neq 0$, set $e_{m+t} = \frac{\vec{H}}{|\vec{H}|}$, then $\vec{H}(x) = H_{m+t}(x)e_{m+t}$ and $|\vec{H}|^2 = H_{m+t}^2$. Hence we have at x ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \langle A_{\vec{H}}e_i, A_{\vec{H}}e_i \rangle &= H_{m+t}^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \langle A_{e_{m+t}}e_i, A_{e_{m+t}}e_i \rangle \\ &= H_{m+t}^2 |B_{m+t}|_h^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m} H_{m+t}^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{m} |\vec{H}|^4. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\gamma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a cut off function such that

$$\gamma = 1 \text{ on } B_\rho, \gamma = 0 \text{ on } M \setminus B_{2\rho}, \text{ and } |\nabla\gamma| \leq \frac{C}{\rho},$$

for some constant C independent of ρ . Here B_ρ is a geodesic ball of radius ρ on M . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &-\int_M \nabla|\vec{H}|^2 \nabla(|\vec{H}|^a \gamma^2) d\mu_g \\ &= \int_M \Delta|\vec{H}|^2 |\vec{H}|^a \gamma^2 d\mu_g \end{aligned}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4605811>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4605811>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)