



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Approximation Theory

Journal of Approximation Theory 211 (2016) 16-28

www.elsevier.com/locate/jat

Full length article

## Comparing the degrees of unconstrained and shape preserving approximation by polynomials

D. Leviatan<sup>a,\*</sup>, I.A. Shevchuk<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel <sup>b</sup> Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine

Received 24 August 2015; received in revised form 1 May 2016; accepted 13 July 2016 Available online 21 July 2016

Communicated by Kirill Kopotun

## Abstract

Let  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  and denote by  $E_n(f)$  its degree of approximation by algebraic polynomials of degree < n. Assume that f changes its monotonicity, respectively, its convexity finitely many times, say  $s \ge 2$  times, in (-1, 1) and we know that for q = 1 or q = 2 and some  $1 < \alpha \le 2$ , such that  $q\alpha \ne 4$ , we have

 $E_n(f) \le n^{-q\alpha}, \quad n \ge s + q + 1.$ 

The purpose of this paper is to prove that the degree of comonotone, respectively, coconvex approximation, of f, by algebraic polynomials of degree  $\langle n, n \geq N$ , is also  $\leq c(\alpha, s)n^{-q\alpha}$ , where the constant N depends only on the location of the extrema, respectively, inflection points in (-1, 1) and on  $\alpha$ .

This answers, affirmatively, questions left open by the authors in papers with Kopotun (in Ukrainian Math. J.) and with Vlasiuk (see the list of references).

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 41A10; 41A25

Keywords: Comonotone and coconvex approximation by polynomials; Degree of approximation; Jackson-type estimates

\* Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jat.2016.07.004 0021-9045/© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: leviatan@post.tau.ac.il (D. Leviatan), shevchuk@univ.kiev.ua (I.A. Shevchuk).

## 1. Introduction and main results

Let  $C[a, b], -1 \le a < b \le 1$ , denote the space of continuous functions on [a, b] equipped with the usual uniform norm,  $||f||_{[a,b]} := \max_{a \le x \le b} |f(x)|$ . When dealing with [-1, 1], we suppress referring to the interval, namely, we denote  $||f|| := ||f||_{[-1,1]}$ . For  $\mathbb{P}_n$ , the space of algebraic polynomials of degree < n and  $f \in C[-1, 1]$ , denote by

$$E_n(f) \coloneqq \inf_{p_n \in \mathbb{P}_n} \|f - p_n\|,$$

 $\sim$ 

the degree of approximation of f by algebraic polynomials of degree < n.

Given  $s \ge 1$ , denote by  $\mathbb{Y}_s$ , the set of all collections  $Y_s = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^s$ , of points  $y_i$ , such that  $y_{s+1} := -1 < y_s < \cdots < y_1 < 1 =: y_0$ . For such a collection we write  $f \in \Delta^{(1)}(Y_s)$  if  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  and  $(-1)^i f$  is nondecreasing on  $[y_{i+1}, y_i]$ ,  $0 \le i \le s$ . Similarly, we write  $f \in \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$  if  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  and  $(-1)^i f$  is convex on  $[y_{i+1}, y_i]$ ,  $0 \le i \le s$ .

For  $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$ ,  $q \in \{1, 2\}$ , we denote by

$$E_n^{(q)}(f, Y_s) \coloneqq \inf_{P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)} \|f - P_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

the degree of best comonotone, respectively, coconvex approximation of f relative to  $Y_s$ .

Assuming that for some  $\alpha > 0$  and  $N \ge 1$ ,

$$n^{\alpha}E_n(f) \le 1, \quad n \ge N, \tag{1.1}$$

the answer to the following question was provided (see [3-5,9]).

If (1.1) holds for an  $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$ , is it possible to have constants  $c(q, \alpha, s, N)$  and  $N^*$  such that

$$n^{\alpha} E_n^{(q)}(f, Y_s) \le c(q, \alpha, s, N), \quad n \ge N^*?$$
 (1.2)

Here  $N^*$ , if it exists, may depend on q,  $\alpha$ , s and N, but may also depend on  $Y_s$  or even on f. It turns out that  $N^*$  always exists and its dependence on the various parameters, in all cases, but  $1 < \alpha \le 2$ , N = s + 2,  $s \ge 2$ , for the comonotone case (q = 1), was given in [5,9] and, in all cases, but  $2 < \alpha \le 4$ , N = s + 3,  $s \ge 3$ , for the coconvex case (q = 2), was given in [3,4].

O.V. Vlasiuk [10], has attempted to close the above gaps, but, regrettably, the proof of the main lemma there is incorrect (see [11]). Our main results are the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Given  $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$ ,  $s \ge 2$ , and  $1 < \alpha \le 2$ . Then, there exist constants  $c(\alpha, s)$  and  $N^*(\alpha, Y_s)$ , such that for all functions  $f \in \Delta^{(1)}(Y_s)$  satisfying (1.1) with N = s + 2, (1.2) with q = 1, holds.

**Theorem 1.2.** Given  $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$ ,  $s \ge 3$ , and  $2 < \alpha < 4$ . Then, there exist constants  $c(\alpha, s)$  and  $N^*(\alpha, Y_s)$ , such that for all functions  $f \in \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s)$  satisfying (1.1) with N = s + 3, (1.2) with q = 2, holds.

**Remark 1.3.** Note that this leaves open what happens in the coconvex case when  $\alpha = 4$ , N = s + 3 > 5.

In Section 2 we bring some auxiliary lemmas and in Section 3 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Throughout the paper, k, r, s, q, i, j and n, are nonnegative integers, while  $\alpha$ , a, b, h, t, u and v, are real numbers.

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4606784

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4606784

Daneshyari.com