



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Approximation Theory

Journal of Approximation Theory 201 (2016) 7-12

www.elsevier.com/locate/jat

Full length article

Characterization of 1-quasi-greedy bases

F. Albiac^{a,*}, J.L. Ansorena^b

^a Mathematics Department, Universidad Pública de Navarra, Campus de Arrosadía, Pamplona, 31006, Spain ^b Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Universidad de La Rioja, Edificio Luis Vives, Logroño, 26004, Spain

Received 24 April 2015; received in revised form 10 August 2015; accepted 24 August 2015 Available online 3 September 2015

Communicated by Aicke Hinrichs

Abstract

In this note we continue the study initiated in Albiac and Wojtaszczyk (2006) of greedy-like bases in the "isometric case", i.e., in the case that the constants that arise in the context of greedy bases in their different forms are 1. Here we settle the problem to find a satisfactory characterization of 1-quasi-greedy bases in Banach spaces. We show that a semi-normalized basis in a Banach space is quasi-greedy with quasi-greedy constant 1 if and only if it is unconditional with suppression-unconditional constant 1. (© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 46B15; 41A65; 46B15

Keywords: Thresholding greedy algorithm; Quasi-greedy basis; Unconditional basis; Renorming

1. Introduction and background

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be an infinite-dimensional (real or complex) Banach space, and let $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{e}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a semi-normalized basis for X with biorthogonal functionals $(\mathbf{e}_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. The basis \mathcal{B} is *quasi*greedy (see [10]) if for any $x \in X$ the corresponding series expansion,

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_n^*(x) \mathbf{e}_n$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: fernando.albiac@unavarra.es (F. Albiac), joseluis.ansorena@unirioja.es (J.L. Ansorena).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jat.2015.08.006

0021-9045/© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

converges in norm after reordering it so that the sequence $(|\mathbf{e}_n^*(x)|)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is decreasing. Wojtaszczyk showed [11] that a basis $(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of \mathbb{X} is quasi-greedy if and only if the greedy operators $\mathcal{G}_N: \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$ defined by

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_{j}^{*}(x) \mathbf{e}_{j} \mapsto \mathcal{G}_{N}(x) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{N}(x)} \mathbf{e}_{j}^{*}(x) \mathbf{e}_{j},$$

where $\Lambda_N(x)$ is any N-element set of indices such that

$$\min\{|\mathbf{e}_{i}^{*}(x)|: j \in \Lambda_{N}(x)\} \geq \max\{|\mathbf{e}_{i}^{*}(x)|: j \notin \Lambda_{N}(x)\},\$$

are uniformly bounded, i.e.,

$$\|\mathcal{G}_N(x)\| \le C \|x\|, \quad x \in \mathbb{X}, \ N \in \mathbb{N},\tag{1}$$

for some constant *C* independent of *x* and *N*. Note that the operators $(\mathcal{G}_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$ are neither linear nor continuous, so this is not just the Uniform Boundedness Principle!

Obviously, (1) implies that then there is a (possibly different) constant \tilde{C} such that

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_N(x)\| \le C \|x\|, \quad x \in \mathbb{X}, \ N \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(2)

We will denote by $C_w = C_w[\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{X}]$ the smallest constant such that (1) holds, and by $C_\ell = C_\ell[\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{X}]$ the least constant in (2). We will refer to C_ℓ as the *suppression quasi-greedy constant* of the basis. It is rather common (cf. [7,3]) and convenient to define the *quasi-greedy constant* of the basis as

$$C_{qg} = C_{qg}[\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{X}] = \max\{C_w[\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{X}], C_\ell[\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{X}]\}.$$

If \mathcal{B} is a quasi-greedy basis and C is a constant such that $C_{qg} \leq C$ we will say that \mathcal{B} is C-quasigreedy.

Recall also that a basis $(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in a Banach space \mathbb{X} is *unconditional* if for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$ the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_n^*(x)\mathbf{e}_n$ converges in norm to x regardless of the order in which we arrange the terms. The property of being unconditional is easily seen to be equivalent to that of being *suppression unconditional*, which means that the natural projections onto any subsequence of the basis

$$P_A(x) = \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{e}_n^*(x) \mathbf{e}_n, \quad A \subset \mathbb{N},$$

are uniformly bounded, i.e., there is a constant K such that for all $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_n^*(x)\mathbf{e}_n$ and all $A \subset \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\|\sum_{n\in A} \mathbf{e}_n^*(x) \mathbf{e}_n\right\| \le K \left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_n^*(x) \mathbf{e}_n\right\|.$$
(3)

The smallest *K* in (3) is the *suppression unconditional constant* of the basis, and will be denoted by $K_{su} = K_{su}[\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{X}]$. Notice that

 $K_{su}[\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{X}] = \sup\{||P_A||: A \subset \mathbb{N} \text{ is finite}\} = \sup\{||P_A||: A \subset \mathbb{N} \text{ is cofinite}\}.$

If a basis \mathcal{B} is unconditional and K is a constant such that $K_{su} \leq K$ we will say that \mathcal{B} is *K*-suppression unconditional.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4606892

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4606892

Daneshyari.com