



Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jat)



**JOURNAL OF** Approximation Theory

[Journal of Approximation Theory 187 \(2014\) 18–29](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jat.2014.07.002)

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jat](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jat)

Full length article

## Interpolation on cycloidal spaces

J.M. Carnicer<sup>\*</sup>, E. Mainar, J.M. Peña

*Departamento de Matematica Aplicada/IUMA, Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain ´*

Received 23 March 2012; received in revised form 27 April 2014; accepted 10 July 2014 Available online 19 August 2014

Communicated by Nira Dyn

### Abstract

Hermite interpolation problems on cycloidal spaces are analyzed. Newton and Aitken–Neville formulae are obtained. Numerical examples are included. ⃝c 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Cycloidal spaces; Hermite interpolation; Newton interpolation formula; Aitken–Neville formula; Divided differences

#### 1. Introduction

The cycloidal space of dimension  $n + 1$  is defined for  $n \ge 2$  by

 $C_n := \langle \cos x, \sin x, 1, x, x^2, ..., x^{n-2} \rangle$ 

and for  $n = 1$ ,  $C_1 := \langle \cos x, \sin x \rangle$ . Cycloidal spaces have been used in geometric design to deal with shape preserving representations that allow circles to be represented by their natural parameterization. They also allow the designer to deal with important curves in civil engineering, such as cycloids and helices. In [\[9\]](#page--1-0), an extension of cubic curves was considered by using curves whose components are functions in the cycloidal space  $C_3$ . In [\[5\]](#page--1-1), curves in  $C_4$  have been considered. In  $[2]$ , the cycloidal space  $C_5$  was analyzed and some properties of the general cycloidal spaces  $C_n$  were discussed. Shape preserving representations in general spaces of the form  $\langle u(x), v(x), 1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^{n-2} \rangle$  with suitable functions *u* and *v* have been considered in [\[4\]](#page--1-3).

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jat.2014.07.002>

*E-mail address:* [carnicer@unizar.es](mailto:carnicer@unizar.es) (J.M. Carnicer).

<sup>0021-9045/</sup> $\circ$  2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

In this paper we focus on interpolation problems on cycloidal spaces. Mühlbach derived general interpolation formulae in extended complete Chebyshev spaces (see [\[6,](#page--1-4)[7\]](#page--1-5)), also discussed in [\[8\]](#page--1-6). We shall deduce some interpolation formulae that closely resemble those derived by Mühlbach. Since cycloidal spaces are not extended Chebyshev spaces on intervals of arbitrary length (see Section [4\)](#page--1-7), formulae in [\[6,](#page--1-4)[7\]](#page--1-5) need to be extended under weaker hypotheses. We also take advantage of the invariance under translations of cycloidal spaces and the fact that  $C<sub>n</sub>$  contains as a subspace  $P_{n-2}$ , the space of polynomials of degree not greater than  $n-2$ .

In Section [2,](#page-1-0) we consider the Hermite interpolation problem on *C<sup>n</sup>* and derive a Newton formula for the cycloidal interpolant. We describe recurrence relations for cycloidal divided differences and an Aitken–Neville formula. We also suggest an algorithm for implementing Newton's formula. In Section [3,](#page--1-8) we relate the cycloidal interpolant of a function *f* with polynomial interpolants of the functions *f* , cosine and sine and obtain a bound for the interpolation error. We also illustrate with some examples the results previously discussed. They confirm the ability of cycloidal interpolants to reproduce oscillations. They also illustrate the fact that a cycloidal interpolant can have much lower interpolation errors outperforming polynomial interpolation. Finally, in Section [4](#page--1-7) we relate the results in this paper with Mühlbach's results through the critical lengths of the spaces introduced in [\[2\]](#page--1-2).

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>2. The Hermite interpolation problem on cycloidal spaces

An extended sequence of nodes is a sequence of points  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$ , not necessarily distinct. We pose the *Hermite interpolation problem* on  $C_n$  at an extended sequence of nodes  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$ : find  $c \in C_n$  such that  $\lambda_i c = \lambda_i f, i = 0, \dots, n$ , where

$$
\lambda_i f := f^{(r_i - 1)}(x_i), \quad r_i = #\{j \le i \mid x_j = x_i\}.
$$
 (1)

If  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  are nodes such that, there exists a unique solution of the Hermite interpolation problem for any *f* , the unique solution will be called the *cycloidal interpolant* of *f* and will be denoted by  $C(f; x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ . The cycloidal interpolant is invariant under translations as shown in the following result.

**Proposition 1.** Assume that the Hermite interpolation problem at  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  has a unique *solution in*  $C_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Then for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the Hermite interpolation problem at  $x_0+t, \ldots, x_n+t$ *has also a unique solution in Cn,*

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
C(f; x_0 + t, ..., x_n + t)(x) = C(f(\cdot + t); x_0, ..., x_n)(x - t).
$$
\n(2)

**Proof.** Let us show that the interpolant at  $x_0 + t, \ldots, x_n + t$  exists for any function f. Let  $c(x) := C(f(\cdot + t); x_0, \ldots, x_n)(x) \in C_n$ . The space  $C_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , is invariant under translations in the sense that, if  $c \in C_n$ , then  $c_t(x) := c(x - t)$  belongs to  $C_n$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus we have that  $c_t \in C_n$  interpolates f at  $x_0+t, ..., x_n+t$  because  $c_t^{(r_i-1)}(x_i+t) = c^{(r_i-1)}(x_i) = f^{(r_i-1)}(x_i+t)$ ,  $r_i = #\{j \le i \mid x_j = x_i\}, i = 0, \ldots, n$ . Then the existence, uniqueness and [\(2\)](#page-1-1) follows.

An alternative basis to  $(\cos x, \sin x, 1, x, \dots, x^{n-2})$  for  $C_n$  is given by

$$
\varphi_0(x) := \cos x, \qquad \varphi_i(x) := \int_0^x \varphi_{i-1}(y) dy, \quad i = 1, ..., n.
$$
\n(3)

Clearly  $\varphi_i \in C_i$ , for all  $i = 0, \ldots, n$ , and the linear independence follows from the fact that  $\varphi_i(0) = \varphi'_i(0) = \cdots = \varphi_i^{(i-1)}$  $\varphi_i^{(i-1)}(0) = 0, \varphi_i^{(i)}$  $f_i^{(1)}(0) = 1$ . The function  $\varphi_n$  is the fundamental solution Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4607120>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4607120>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)