

Full length article

Favard interpolation from subsets of a rectangular lattice

Thomas Kunkle

Department of Mathematics, College of Charleston, Charleston, SC 29424–0001, United States

Received 27 July 2010; accepted 24 May 2011

Available online 30 May 2011

Communicated by Paul Nevai

Abstract

This is a study of Favard interpolation – in which the n th derivatives of the interpolant are bounded above by a constant times the n th divided differences of the data – in the case where the data are given on some subset of a rectangular lattice in $\mathbb{R}^k$. In some instances, depending on the geometry of this subset, we construct a Favard interpolant, and in other instances, we prove that none exists.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Interpolation; Divided differences; Multivariate; Favard

1. Introduction

If f is a real-valued function defined either on an infinite set of real numbers

$$\mathbf{m} = \{\cdots < m_{-1} < m_0 < m_1 < \cdots\}$$

or on a finite set

$$\mathbf{m} = \{m_0 < m_1 < \cdots < m_d\},$$

then Rolle's Theorem implies that any smooth extension Ff of f must satisfy

$$\|D^n Ff\|_{L_\infty} \geq n! \| \{m_i, \dots, m_{i+n}\} f \|_{\ell_\infty}.$$

Here, $\{m_i, \dots, m_{i+n}\}f$ is the n th order divided difference of f formed from its values at $m_i, \dots, m_{i+n}$, the L_∞ norm is over the interval $(\inf \mathbf{m}, \sup \mathbf{m})$, and the ℓ_∞ norm is taken over

E-mail addresses: kunklet@cofc.edu, kunklet@live.com.

URL: <http://kunklet.people.cofc.edu/>.

the collection of all allowable i (depending on the cardinality of $\mathbf{m}$). If the right side is finite, is there an extension Ff satisfying

$$\|D^n Ff\|_{L_\infty} \leq C(n) \|\{m_i, \dots, m_{i+n}\}f\|_{\ell_\infty} \quad (1.1)$$

for some quantity $C(n)$ depending only on n ?

Favard [1] answered this question in the affirmative when he showed that, for every such $\mathbf{m}$, f , and natural number n , not only does such a smooth function Ff exist, but it depends linearly and locally on f in such a way that, even if the divided differences of f are unbounded, $|D^n Ff|$ is locally no larger than the numbers

$$|\{m_i, \dots, m_{i+n}\}f|$$

times some $C(n)$. (See [5] for a proof in English.)

(In fact, it has been proven [6] that Favard's interpolant satisfies both (1.1) and

$$\|D^{n-1} Ff\|_{L_\infty} \leq C(n) \|\{m_i, \dots, m_{i+n-1}\}f\|_{\ell_\infty}$$

simultaneously, and that no interpolant can bound more derivatives by the corresponding divided differences on general $\mathbf{m}$.)

This is the author's fourth paper resulting from investigations into Favard's theorem and analogous theorems for functions f given on a discrete set of points in $\mathbb{R}^k$. In any such theorem, some derivative or collection of derivatives would have to take the place of the n th derivative of the function Ff and some differences would have to serve as the "multivariate" divided differences of order n of f . Until we establish more concrete notation later, we will refer loosely to the former as $(Ff)^{(n)}$ and to the latter as $f^{(n)}$. For instance, $(Ff)^{(n)}$ might consist of all mixed partial derivatives of total order n of Ff , and $f^{(n)}$ could be some derivative information gleaned from f by means of linear functionals that annihilate the k -variate polynomials of degree less than n . Favard's interpolation problem is to find an extension Ff of f that satisfies

$$|(Ff)^{(n)}| \leq C(n, k) |f^{(n)}|. \quad (1.2)$$

This differs from the generalized Whitney Extension Theorem [8, VI.2, Theorem 4], which, among other things, promises that if f and its derivatives of total order $\leq n$ are given on a closed set in $\mathbb{R}^k$, then there exists an extension $\mathcal{E}f$ of f to all $\mathbb{R}^k$ for which

$$\max_{h \leq n} |(\mathcal{E}f)^{(h)}| \leq C(n, k) \max_{h \leq n} |f^{(h)}|. \quad (1.3)$$

To use the Whitney theorem in Favard's problem, given only the function f on a discrete set of data points, one would have to assign values to f 's derivatives of order $\leq n$ at the data points, presumably by means of finite differences. But even then, (1.3) will not guarantee that $\mathcal{E}f$ satisfies the more restrictive inequality (1.2).

Throughout this paper, $f^{(n)}$ will be taken to be the values at f of certain tensor product divided differences. This means that f must be defined on some subset of a *tensor product grid* of points in $\mathbb{R}^k$, that is, the Cartesian product of k sequences of real numbers

$$\mathbf{m}_i = (\dots < m_{i,-1} < m_{i,0} < m_{i,1} < \dots) \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

In case each sequence $\mathbf{m}_i$ has constant step size Δ_i , in which case the Cartesian product is a rectangular *lattice*, a simple construction has been proven [4] to produce an interpolant Ff that

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4607412>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4607412>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)