

Regularity and the Cesàro–Nevai class

Barry Simon

Mathematics 253-37, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, United States

Received 23 October 2007; received in revised form 28 February 2008; accepted 10 April 2008

Available online 31 October 2008

Communicated by Leonid Golinskii

Abstract

We consider OPRL and OPUC with measures regular in the sense of Ullman–Stahl–Totik and prove consequences on the Jacobi parameters or Verblunsky coefficients. For example, regularity on $[-2, 2]$ implies $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} [\sum_{n=1}^N (a_n - 1)^2 + b_n^2] = 0$.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Orthogonal polynomial; Regular measure

1. Introduction and background

This paper concerns the general theory of orthogonal polynomials on the real line, OPRL (see [26,1,8,23]), and the unit circle, OPUC (see [26,9,18,19]). Ullman [27] introduced the notion of regular measure on $[-2, 2]$ (he used $[-1, 1]$; we use the normalization more common in the spectral theory literature): a measure, $d\mu$, on $\mathbb{R}$ with

$$\text{supp}(d\mu) = [-2, 2] \quad (1.1)$$

and $(\{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty)$ are the Jacobi parameters of $d\mu$)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_1 \dots a_n)^{1/n} = 1. \quad (1.2)$$

Here we will look at the larger class with (1.1) replaced by

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(d\mu) = [-2, 2] \quad (1.3)$$

(i.e., $\text{supp}(d\mu)$ is $[-2, 2]$ plus a countable set whose only limit points are a subset of $\{\pm 2\}$).

E-mail address: bsimon@caltech.edu.

Our goal is to explore what restrictions regularity places on the Jacobi parameters. At first sight, one might think (1.2) is the only restriction but, in fact, the combination of both (1.2) and (1.3) is quite strong. This should not be unexpected. After all, it is well known (going back at least to Nevai [15]; see also [19, Sect. 13.3]) that (1.1) plus $\liminf(a_1 \dots a_n) > 0$ imply

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n - 1)^2 + b_n^2 < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

One can use variational principles to deduce some restrictions on the a 's and b 's. For example, picking φ_n to be the vector in $\ell^2(\{1, 2, \dots\})$

$$\varphi_{n,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & j \leq n \\ 0 & j \geq n+1 \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

and using the Jacobi matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & a_2 & b_3 & a_3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.6)$$

one sees, for example, that (1.3) implies (see also Theorem 1.2)

$$b_n \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \leq 1 \quad (1.7)$$

$$a_n \equiv 1 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

In fact, we will prove much more:

Theorem 1.1. *If μ obeys (1.3) and (1.2), then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (|a_j - 1| + |b_j|) = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Following the terminology for the OPUC analog of this in Golinskii–Khrushchev [10], we call (1.9) the Cesàro–Nevai condition and $\{a_j, b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ obeying (1.9) the Cesàro–Nevai class. It, of course, contains the Nevai class (named after [15]) where $|a_j - 1| + |b_j| \rightarrow 0$.

Noting that $\text{supp}(d\mu)$ bounded implies

$$A = \sup_n (|a_n - 1| + |b_n|) < \infty \quad (1.10)$$

and that, by the Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j - 1| + |b_j| \right)^2 &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j - 1)^2 + (b_j)^2 \\ &\leq 2A \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (|a_j - 1| + |b_j|) \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4608042>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4608042>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)