



Nonlinear parabolic flows with dynamic flux on the boundary

Viorel Barbu^a, Angelo Favini^b, Gabriela Marinoschi^{c,*}

^a *Al.I. Cuza University and Octav Mayer Institute of Mathematics, Romanian Academy, Blvd. Carol I, No. 8, 700506 Iași, Romania*

^b *Department of Mathematics, University of Bologna, Piazza di Porta S. Donato 5, 40126 Bologna, Italy*

^c *Institute of Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Calea 13 Septembrie 13, 050711 Bucharest, Romania*

Received 22 December 2013; revised 30 September 2014

Available online 23 December 2014

Abstract

A nonlinear divergence parabolic equation with dynamic boundary conditions of Wentzell type is studied. The existence and uniqueness of a strong solution is obtained as the limit of a finite difference scheme, in the time dependent case and via a semigroup approach in the time-invariant case.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 34A60; 35K55; 35K57; 35K61; 65N06

Keywords: Nonlinear parabolic equations; Dynamic boundary conditions; Reaction–diffusion; Finite difference scheme; Maximal monotone operators

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the well-posedness of a nonlinear parabolic equation posed in a bounded regular domain Ω (of class C^2 , for instance) of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$, coupled with a dynamic boundary condition of reaction–diffusion type. More exactly, we study the problem

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: vbarbu41@gmail.com (V. Barbu), favini@dm.unibo.it (A. Favini), gabriela.marinoschi@acad.ro (G. Marinoschi).

$$y_t - \nabla \cdot \beta(t, x, \nabla y) \ni f, \quad \text{in } Q := (0, T) \times \Omega, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\beta(t, x, \nabla y) \cdot \nu + y_t \ni g, \quad \text{on } \Sigma := (0, T) \times \Gamma, \tag{1.2}$$

$$y(0) = y_0, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

where $t \in (0, T)$, $T < \infty$, $x \in \Omega$, Γ is the boundary of Ω , ν is the outward normal to Γ , $y_t = \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}$ and $\nabla y = \{\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i}\}_{i=1, \dots, N}$.

In relation to various cases studied in this paper, certain combinations of the following hypotheses will be used:

(H₁) For each $(t, x) \in \bar{Q}$, $\beta : \bar{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a maximal monotone graph with respect to r on $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$, and it is derived from a potential $j(t, x, r)$. The function j is continuous on $\bar{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, and for each $(t, x) \in \bar{Q}$, it is convex with respect to r . We denote

$$\partial j(t, x, r) = \beta(t, x, r), \quad \text{for any } r \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \in [0, T], x \in \bar{\Omega}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\partial j(t, x, \cdot)$ denotes the subdifferential of $j(t, x, \cdot)$, that is

$$\partial j(t, x, r) = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^N; j(t, x, r) - j(t, x, \bar{r}) \leq w(r - \bar{r}), \forall \bar{r} \in \mathbb{R}^N\}. \tag{1.5}$$

Moreover, there is

$$\xi_0 \in C(\bar{Q}; \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ with } \nabla \cdot \xi_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \text{such that } \xi_0(t, x) \in \beta(t, x, 0), \forall (t, x) \in \bar{Q}. \tag{1.6}$$

(H₂) (*Strong coercivity hypothesis*) There exist $C_i, C_i^0 \in \mathbb{R}, C_1, C_2 > 0$, such that

$$C_1 |r|_N^p + C_1^0 \leq j(t, x, r) \leq C_2 |r|_N^p + C_2^0, \quad \forall (t, x) \in \bar{Q}, \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

(All over, $|\cdot|_N$ will denote the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^N .)

(H₃) (*Weak coercivity hypothesis*) The functions j and j^* satisfy

$$\lim_{|r|_N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j(t, x, r)}{|r|_N} = +\infty, \quad \text{uniformly with respect to } t, x, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\lim_{|\omega|_N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^*(t, x, \omega)}{|\omega|_N} = +\infty, \quad \text{uniformly with respect to } t, x, \tag{1.9}$$

where $j^* : \bar{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the conjugate of j , defined by

$$j^*(t, x, \omega) = \sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}^N} (\omega \cdot r - j(t, x, r)), \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall (t, x) \in \bar{Q}. \tag{1.10}$$

We note that (1.8) and (1.9) are equivalent to

$$\sup\{|r|_N; r \in \beta^{-1}(t, x, \omega), |\omega|_N \leq M\} \leq W_M, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\sup\{|\omega|_N; \omega \in \beta(t, x, r), |r|_N \leq M\} \leq Y_M, \tag{1.12}$$

respectively, where M, W_M, Y_M are positive constants.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4609946>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4609946>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)