



Radial symmetry of positive solutions for semilinear elliptic equations in the unit ball via elliptic and hyperbolic geometry

Naoki Shioji^{a,*}, Kohtaro Watanabe^b

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University, Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan

^b Department of Computer Science, National Defense Academy, 1-10-20 Hashirimizu, Yokosuka 239-8686, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 January 2011

Revised 29 September 2011

Available online 10 October 2011

MSC:

35B06

35B09

35J15

Keywords:

Elliptic equations

Positive solutions

Radial symmetry

Elliptic and hyperbolic geometry

ABSTRACT

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$, $a \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1]$ and $f : (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $u \in (0, \infty)$, $r \mapsto (1 + ar^2)^{(n+2)/2} f(r, (1 + ar^2)^{-(n-2)/2} u) : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nonincreasing. We show that each positive solution of

$$\Delta u + f(|x|, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } B, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B$$

is radially symmetric, where B is the open unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N .

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

We consider symmetry and monotonicity properties of positive solutions of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + f(|x|, u) = 0 & \text{in } B, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: shioji@math.sci.ynu.ac.jp (N. Shioji), wata@nda.ac.jp (K. Watanabe).

¹ This work is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 21540214) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

where $f : (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and B is the open unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e., $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$. Celebrated Gidas–Ni–Nirenberg’s theorem [10] shows that if for each $u \in (0, \infty)$, $r \mapsto f(r, u) : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-increasing, then any $C^2(\bar{B})$ -positive solution of (1.1) is radially symmetric. Many researchers studied such symmetry properties; see [1–5, 7–11, 13–24] and others. In some of them, geometry plays an important role. In [19], Naito, Nishimoto and Suzuki considered the case that $n = 2$ (i.e., B is the open unit ball in \mathbb{R}^2) and $(1 - r^2)^2 f(r, u) : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is decreasing for each $u \in (0, \infty)$. Using hyperbolic geometry, they showed each positive solution of (1.1) is radially symmetric. Naito and Suzuki [20] extended their result to the case that $n \geq 2$ and $r \mapsto (1 - r^2)^{(n+2)/2} f(r, (1 - r^2)^{-(n-2)/2} u)$ is decreasing for each $r \in (0, 1)$ and $u \in (0, \infty)$. Almeida, Ge and Orlandi [1] gave a similar result. (Although the arguments in [1] seem to be fine, the assumption (1.2) in [1, Theorem 1.1] is not correct.)

In this paper, we consider not only hyperbolic geometry but also elliptic geometry, and we show a symmetric result of (1.1). Since we want to treat a wide class of solutions of (1.1), we recall the definition of a strong solution in [12, p. 219]. We say a function $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(B)$ is said to be a strong solution of (1.1) if each first and second derivative of u in the sense of distribution belongs to $L^1_{\text{loc}}(B)$ and u satisfies (1.1) almost everywhere in B . Now, we show our result.

Theorem 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$, $a \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1]$ and $f : (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

- (i) *for each $u \in (0, \infty)$, $r \mapsto (1 + ar^2)^{(n+2)/2} f(r, (1 + ar^2)^{-(n-2)/2} u)$ is nonincreasing,*
- (ii) *for each $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $M \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \frac{f(r, u_1) - f(r, u_2)}{u_1 - u_2} \right| : (r, u_1, u_2) \in (r_0, 1) \times (0, M]^2, u_1 \neq u_2 \right\} < \infty.$$

Let $u \in W^{2,n}_{\text{loc}}(B) \cap C(\bar{B})$ be a positive strong solution of (1.1). Then u is radially symmetric. Moreover, if $u \in C^1(B)$ then $((1 + ar^2)^{(n-2)/2} u)_r < 0$ for $r = |x| \in (0, 1)$.

Remark 1. For related results, we give some comments.

- (i) The case $a = 0$ is nothing but the Gidas–Ni–Nirenberg’s theorem in [10] for the case of B . The case $a = -1$ is studied in [19, 20] under the assumption that for each $u \in (0, \infty)$, $r \mapsto (1 - r^2)^{(n+2)/2} f(r, (1 - r^2)^{-(n-2)/2} u) : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is decreasing instead of nonincreasing. The reason why nonincreasingness is not enough is that (2.5) does not hold in the case $a = -1$; see the proofs of Lemmas 2 and 3 below. We also note that two coefficient functions in (3.1) are not essentially bounded in the case of $a = -1$ and hence an additional device is needed to derive the symmetry result. For the details, see [20].
- (ii) As we stated, the assumption (1.2) in [1, Theorem 1.1] is not correct. However, since the domain in [1, Theorem 1.1] is an open ball whose radius is less than 1, from the arguments in [1], we can see that the result corresponds to the case $a \in (-1, 0)$ of our result.
- (iii) We can apply our result to the equations

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u + f(d(x, x_0), u) = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial D, \end{cases}$$

where we consider hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n or sphere \mathbb{S}^n , D is a geodesic ball in \mathbb{H}^n or \mathbb{S}^n whose center is x_0 and the radius is r_0 , Δ_g is the corresponding Laplace–Beltrami operator, $f : (0, r_0) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and d is the Riemannian distance. In order to find how to apply our result, see the proof of [8, Theorem 5], in which Gidas–Ni–Nirenberg’s theorem is applied. For related results, see also [1, 13, 23].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4611661>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4611661>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)