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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper consists in solving integrodifferential problem of type (1.1)(1.2) that may degenerate both in space and time. More precisely, $\left\{M_{p}(t)\right\}_{t \in[0, T]}$ is a family of multiplication operators related to a scalar function $m(t, x)$ that may vanish, while $\left\{L_{p}(t)\right\}_{t \in[0, T]}$ is the realization of a family of linear secondorder differential operators, with smooth coefficients, $\{\mathcal{L}(t)\}_{t \in[0, T]},\left\{L_{p}(t)\right\}$ being invertible for all $t \in[0, T]$. Moreover, $\left\{B_{p}(t, s)\right\}_{t, s \in[0, T], s \leq t}$ is the realization of a family $\{\mathcal{B}(t, s)\}_{t \in[0, T], s<t}$ of linear second-order differential operators with smooth coefficients. Finally, the scalar functions $a$ and $b$ are such that $1 / a$ and $b / a$ are Hölder-continuous with suitable Hölder exponents.
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## 1. Introduction

At the beginning of this paper we want to remember Professor Alfredo Lorenzi, who passed away on November 9th, 2013. He will be greatly missed, both as a dear friend and a brilliant researcher. His enthusiasm, integrity and passion will keep inspiring us throughout our lives.

The present paper is concerned with the initial value problem for the following degenerate - both in space and time - integrodifferential equation of parabolic type

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(M_{p}(t) u(t)\right)+a(t) L_{p}(t) u(t)+b(t) \int_{0}^{t} B_{p}(t, s) u(s) d s=f(t), \quad 0<t \leq T,  \tag{1.1}\\
& M_{p}(0) u(0)=M_{p}(0) u_{0}, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{p}(t), 1<p<\infty$, is the realization of a second-order linear elliptic differential operator $\mathcal{L}(t)$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ with the Robin boundary condition, $M_{p}(t)$ is the multiplication of a function in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ by some nonnegative

[^0]function $m(\cdot, t)$ and $B_{p}(t, s)$ is the realization of a linear second-order partial differential operator for each $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. Moreover, the reciprocal $1 / a$ of the scalar function $a \in C((0, T]) \cap L^{1}(0, T)$ is Höldercontinuous with a suitable Hölder exponent, while the scalar function $b$ is such that functions $1 / a$ and $b / a$ are Hölder-continuous with a suitable Hölder exponent. Therefore, $a$ and $b$ may have some singularity at $t=0$.

In the previous paper $[7]$ we studied the case $p=2$ with $a \equiv 1$ and $b \equiv 1$. In this paper we try to extend these results to the case $p \neq 2$ and to problem (1.1)-(1.2), where the pair ( $a, b$ ) has the properties stated above.

The first - and main - part of this paper deals with the case $a \equiv 1$ and $b \equiv 1$. Our first task consists of constructing the fundamental solution $U(t, s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, to the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} v(t)+A_{p}(t) v(t) \ni f(t), \quad 0<t \leq T  \tag{1.3}\\
& v(0)=v_{0}=M_{p}(0) u_{0} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{p}(t)=L_{p}(t) M_{p}(t)^{-1}$ is a possibly multivalued operator. This fundamental solution enables us to solve the equation without the integral term:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(M_{p}(t) u(t)\right)+L_{p}(t) u(t)=f(t), \quad 0<t \leq T,  \tag{1.5}\\
& M_{p}(0) u(0)=M_{p}(0) u_{0} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The most important part in the construction of the fundamental solution is to establish the following resolvent estimates in the spaces of negative norm:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|M_{p}(t)\left(\lambda M_{p}(t)+\widetilde{L}_{p}(t)\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\left.\mathcal{L}^{( } W^{1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{*}, W^{1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{*}\right)} \leq C|\lambda|^{-\delta_{1}},  \tag{1.7}\\
& \left\|M_{p}(t)\left(\lambda M_{p}(t)+\widetilde{L}_{p}(t)\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(W^{1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{*}, L^{p}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C|\lambda|^{-\delta_{2}} \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \in(0,1]$ are some constants, and $\widetilde{L}_{p}(t)$ is the extension of $L_{p}(t)$ to a bounded linear operator from $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ to $W^{1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{*}$ which is defined by

$$
a(t ; u, v)=\left(\widetilde{L}_{p}(t) u, v\right)_{W^{1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)}, \quad u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega), v \in W^{1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega),
$$

through the sesquilinear form $a(t ; u, v)$ associated with the present Robin boundary problem, the bracket in the right hand side being the pairing between $W^{1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{*}$ and $W^{1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. In case $p=2(1.7)$ and (1.8) with $\delta_{1}=1$ and $\delta_{2}=1 / 2$ are easily shown following the method of Example $3.3 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{pp} .74-76$ of A. Favini and A. Yagi [3], Chap. III in the case of the Dirichlet problem. In case $p \neq 2$ the above method cannot be applied, and an essentially different argument is necessary to establish such estimates. Using the results of the theory of interpolation spaces by A.P. Calderon [1], J.L. Lions and J. Peetre [9] and R. Seeley [11] we show that (1.7) and (1.8) hold with some $\delta_{1}<1$ and $\delta_{2}<1 / 2$ both dependent on $p$. However, at the present stage in the construction of the fundamental solution the range of the values of $p$ is limited to the interval $(2,8 / 3)$ in case $p>2$.

We also need some more resolvent estimates in case $m$ satisfies a certain regularity hypothesis called $\rho$-regularity. These estimates are established in A. Favini, A. Lorenzi, H. Tanabe and A. Yagi [6] under some assumptions on the coefficients. In the present paper we show that they remain valid without these additional assumptions.

Once the fundamental solution is constructed, problem (1.1)-(1.2) can be solved in just the same manner as the previous paper [7].
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