



# Algebraic structures in the sets of surjective functions



Artur Bartoszewicz<sup>a</sup>, Marek Bienias<sup>a,\*</sup>, Szymon Głąb<sup>a</sup>, Tomasz Natkaniec<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Institute of Mathematics, Łódź University of Technology, Wólczańska 215, 93-005 Łódź, Poland*

<sup>b</sup> *Institute of Mathematics, University of Gdańsk, Wita Stwosza 57, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland*

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 9 October 2015  
Available online 12 April 2016  
Submitted by B. Bongiorno

### Keywords:

Algebrability  
Strong algebrability  
Everywhere surjective function  
Strongly everywhere surjective function  
Sierpiński–Zygmund function  
Jones function

## ABSTRACT

In the paper we construct several algebraic structures (vector spaces, algebras and free algebras) inside sets of different types of surjective functions. Among many results we prove that: the set of everywhere but not strongly everywhere surjective complex functions is strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable and that its  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -algebrability is consistent with ZFC; under  $CH$  the set of everywhere surjective complex functions which are Sierpiński–Zygmund in the sense of continuous but not Borel functions is strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable; the set of Jones complex functions is strongly  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -algebrable.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

For some time now, many mathematicians have been looking at the largeness of some sets by constructing algebraic structures inside them. This approach is called *algebrability*. A comprehensive description of this concept as well as numerous examples and some general techniques can be found in the surveys [11,15].

Following R. Aron, A. Bartoszewicz, S. Głąb, V. Gurariy, D. Pérez-García, J.B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, [4–6, 12] let us recall the following notions:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal number.

- (1) Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a vector space and  $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ . We say that  $A$  is  $\kappa$ -lineable if  $A \cup \{0\}$  contains a  $\kappa$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{L}$ ;
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a commutative algebra and  $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ . We say that  $A$  is  $\kappa$ -algebrable if  $A \cup \{0\}$  contains a  $\kappa$ -generated subalgebra  $B$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  (i.e. the minimal cardinality of the system of generators of  $B$  is  $\kappa$ ).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [artur.bartoszewicz@p.lodz.pl](mailto:artur.bartoszewicz@p.lodz.pl) (A. Bartoszewicz), [marek.bienias@p.lodz.pl](mailto:marek.bienias@p.lodz.pl) (M. Bienias), [szymon.glab@p.lodz.pl](mailto:szymon.glab@p.lodz.pl) (S. Głąb), [tomasz.natkaniec@mat.ug.edu.pl](mailto:tomasz.natkaniec@mat.ug.edu.pl) (T. Natkaniec).

(3) Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a commutative algebra and  $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ . We say that  $A$  is *strongly  $\kappa$ -algebrable* if  $A \cup \{0\}$  contains a  $\kappa$ -generated subalgebra  $B$  that is isomorphic to a free algebra.

**Fact 1.2.** *Observe that the set  $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is the set of free generators of some free algebra if and only if the set  $\tilde{X}$  of elements of the form  $x_{\alpha_1}^{k_1} x_{\alpha_2}^{k_2} \cdots x_{\alpha_n}^{k_n}$  is linearly independent; equivalently for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , any nonzero polynomial  $P$  in  $k$  variables without a constant term and any distinct  $x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_k} \in X$ , we have that  $P(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_k})$  is nonzero.*

This paper is devoted to the investigation of algebrability properties of several classes of surjective functions. In the sequel we take into our considerations the following ones: *everywhere surjective type functions* (section 3), *Sierpiński–Zygmund functions* (section 4) and *Jones functions* (section 5). In the paper the symbol  $\mathbb{K}$  stands for the set  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . We use a standard set theoretical notion. In particular, we identify ordinal number  $\alpha$  with the set of all ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$ . Cardinal numbers are those ordinals  $\alpha$  which are not equipotent with any  $\beta < \alpha$ . A cardinal number  $\kappa$  is called regular, if it cannot be decomposed into less than  $\kappa$  sets of cardinality less than  $\kappa$ . Moreover, to indicate the difference between the sets of natural numbers with or without 0 we use standard notation, i.e.  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  (it should be mentioned here that  $\omega$  is also identified with the first infinite cardinal).

## 2. The general method

We start with the simple, but in the view of further results, useful observation. It is a foundation of a powerful method whose particular case is the so-called *exponential like function method*.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal number,  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{K}^\mathbb{K}$  be a  $\kappa$ -generated algebra (resp. free algebra, vector space) and  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{K}^\mathbb{K}$ . Assume that there exists a function  $F : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  such that  $f \circ F \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \{0\}$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\kappa$ -algebrable (resp. strongly  $\kappa$ -algebrable,  $\kappa$ -lineable).*

**Proof.** Observe that a function  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  defined by  $h(f) = f \circ F$  is a morphism of structures. Hence, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\kappa$ -generated algebra (resp. free algebra or vector space), then  $h[\mathcal{A}]$  has the same property.  $\square$

It turns out that algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , which is very useful in several cases, is the  $\mathfrak{c}$ -generated free algebra of the so-called exponential like functions. In 2013, M. Balcerzak et al. (see [7]) introduced the following notion.

**Definition 2.2.** (See [7].) We say that a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *exponential like* (of rank  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ), whenever for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i e^{\beta_i x},$$

for some distinct nonzero real numbers  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$  and some nonzero real numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  (let us denote the set of all exponential like functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\mathcal{EXP}(\mathbb{R})$ ).

In this setting, actually using the fact that  $\mathcal{EXP}(\mathbb{R})$  is strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable, they proved the following.

**Theorem 2.3.** (See [7].) *Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ . Assume that there exists a function  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f \circ F \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \{0\}$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{EXP}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable.*

It is a simple observation, looking at the proof of [Theorem 2.3](#), that this result is a particular case of [Theorem 2.1](#). Many applications of [Theorem 2.3](#) can be found in the paper by A. Bartoszewicz et al. [9].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4614271>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4614271>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)