Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

## Stabilization of two coupled wave equations on a compact manifold with boundary



霐



L. Aloui<sup>a</sup>, M. Daoulatli<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Tunis El-Manar, Tunisia
 <sup>b</sup> University of Dammam, Saudi Arabia

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 May 2015 Available online 23 December 2015 Submitted by X. Zhang

Keywords: Coupled wave Energy decay Stabilization

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper we study the indirect stabilization of coupled wave equations by an order one term on a compact manifold with boundary. Only one of the two equations is directly damped by a localized damping term. We prove that the energy of smooth solutions of the system decays polynomially under geometric conditions on both the coupling and the damping regions.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction and statement of the results

Let  $(\Omega, g)$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  compact connected *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold with boundary  $\Gamma$ . We denote by  $\Delta$  the Laplace–Beltrami operator on  $\Omega$  for the metric g. We take two nonnegative smooth functions aand b on  $\Omega$ . We consider the stabilization problem for the system of coupled wave equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u + b(x)v + a(x)\partial_t u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \Omega \\ \partial_t^2 v - \Delta v + b(x)u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \Omega \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \Gamma \\ (u(0,x), \partial_t u(0,x)) = (u_0, u_1) \text{ and } (v(0,x), \partial_t v(0,x)) = (v_0, v_1) & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$
(1)

In this paper, we deal with real solutions, the general case can be treated in the same way. With the system above we associate the energy functional given by

$$E_{u,v}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 + |\nabla v(t,x)|^2 + |\partial_t u(t,x)|^2 + |\partial_t v(t,x)|^2 \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x) u(t,x) v(t,x) dx.$$
(2)

\* Corresponding author.

 $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.12.014} 0022-247 X/ © 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.$ 

E-mail addresses: lassaad.aloui@fsg.rnu.tn (L. Aloui), moez.daoulatli@infcom.rnu.tn (M. Daoulatli).

We assume that a and b are two nonnegative smooth functions such that,

$$\|b\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1-\delta}{\lambda^2} \tag{3}$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Poincaré's constant on  $\Omega$ . Under these assumptions we have

$$E_{u,v}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \left| \nabla u_0(x) \right|^2 + \left| \nabla v_0(x) \right|^2 + \left| u_1(x) \right|^2 + \left| v_1(x) \right|^2 \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x) u_0(x) v_0(x) dx \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \left| \nabla u_0(x) \right|^2 + \left| \nabla v_0(x) \right|^2 + \left| u_1(x) \right|^2 + \left| v_1(x) \right|^2 \right) dx,$$
(4)

for all  $(u_0, v_0, u_1, v_1) \in \mathcal{H} = H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega).$ 

The linear evolution equation (1) can be rewritten under the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}U + \mathcal{A}U = 0\\ U(0) = U_0 \in \mathcal{H} \end{cases}$$
(5)

where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \partial_t u \\ \partial_t v \end{pmatrix}, U_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the unbounded operator  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -Id & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -Id \\ -\Delta & b & a & 0 \\ b & -\Delta & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with domain

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \{ U \in \mathcal{H}; \mathcal{A}U \in \mathcal{H} \}$$
  
=  $(H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)) \times (H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega).$ 

Under our assumptions  $(a \ge 0, b \ge 0 \text{ and } (3))$  and from the linear semi-group theory (see for example [1, Theorem 2.1], [2]), we can infer that for  $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ , the problem (5) admits a unique solution  $U \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{H})$ . Moreover we have the following energy estimate

$$E_{u,v}(t) - E_{u,v}(0) = -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \left| \partial_{t} u(s,x) \right|^{2} dx ds$$
(6)

for all  $t \geq 0$ . In addition, if  $U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A}^k)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the solution  $U \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k C^{k-j}(\mathbb{R}_+, D(\mathcal{A}^j))$ .

A natural necessary and sufficient condition to obtain controllability for wave equations is to assume that the control set satisfies the Geometric Control Condition (GCC) defined in [4,13]. For a subset  $\omega$  of  $\Omega$  and T > 0, we shall say that  $(\omega, T)$  satisfies GCC if every geodesic traveling at speed one in  $\Omega$  meets  $\omega$  in a Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4614414

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4614414

Daneshyari.com