



# The boundary quotient for algebraic dynamical systems



Nathan Brownlowe<sup>a</sup>, Nicolai Stammeier<sup>b,\*</sup>,<sup>1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics, University of Wollongong, Australia

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Norway

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 29 April 2015

Available online 11 February 2016

Submitted by D. Blecher

### Keywords:

Right LCM semigroups

Inverse semigroups

Semigroup  $C^*$ -algebras

Simplicity

## ABSTRACT

We introduce the notion of accurate foundation sets and the accurate refinement property for right LCM semigroups. For right LCM semigroups with this property, we derive a more explicit presentation of the boundary quotient. In the context of algebraic dynamical systems, we also analyse finiteness properties of foundation sets which lead us to a very concrete presentation. Based on Starling's recent work, we provide sharp conditions on certain algebraic dynamical systems for pure infiniteness and simplicity of their boundary quotient.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 0. Introduction

All semigroups in this paper are assumed to be countable, discrete and left cancellative. Recall from [6] that a semigroup is *right LCM* if the intersection of two principal right ideals is either empty or another principal right ideal. Examples of right LCM semigroups come from *algebraic dynamical systems*  $(G, P, \theta)$ , which consist of an action  $\theta$  of a right LCM semigroup  $P$  with identity by injective endomorphisms of a group  $G$ , subject to the condition that  $pP \cap qP = rP$  implies  $\theta_p(G) \cap \theta_q(G) = \theta_r(G)$  for all  $p, q, r \in P$ , see [5] for details and examples. It has been observed that the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}[G, P, \theta]$  associated to  $(G, P, \theta)$  in [5] is isomorphic to the full semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra of the right LCM semigroup  $G \rtimes_{\theta} P$ , see [5, Theorem 4.4]. It is also known to be isomorphic to a Nica–Toeplitz algebra for a product system of right-Hilbert bimodules over the right LCM semigroup  $P$ , see [5, Theorem 7.9]. These two ways of viewing  $\mathcal{A}[G, P, \theta]$  both indicate that this  $C^*$ -algebra tends to have proper ideals. Therefore, it is natural to search for a notion of a minimal quotient that is simple under reasonable assumptions on  $(G, P, \theta)$ .

With regard to  $C^*$ -algebras of product systems of right-Hilbert bimodules, this quotient ought to be a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra. But so far only Nica covariance has been defined for product systems over right LCM semigroups, see [5, Definition 6.4]. Even worse, it does not seem to be clear what the general

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: nathanb@uow.edu.au (N. Brownlowe), nicolsta@math.uio.no (N. Stammeier).

<sup>1</sup> The second author was supported by ERC through AdG 267079.

notion of Cuntz–Pimsner covariance for product systems over quasi-lattice ordered pairs should be, compare [11] and [20]. Recently, definitions for Cuntz–Pimsner covariance for product systems over Ore semigroups have been proposed in [13] and [1] which might lead to substantial progress in this direction. However, we remark that a right LCM semigroup can be far from satisfying the Ore condition.

There has been a successful attempt to identify the analogous quotient, called the *boundary quotient*, for full semigroup  $C^*$ -algebras of right LCM semigroups with identity, see [6]. In fact, the authors also indicate how one could define this object for general semigroups, see [6, Remark 5.5]. Let us briefly review the idea behind this quotient, which goes back to [7]: Firstly, recall from [4, Lemma 3.3] that the family of constructible right ideals  $\mathcal{J}(S)$  for a right LCM semigroup with identity  $S$  consists only of  $\emptyset$  and the principal right ideals in  $S$ . A finite subset  $F$  of  $S$  is called a *foundation set* if for every  $s \in S$  there is  $f \in F$  such that  $sS \cap fS \neq \emptyset$ . The boundary quotient  $\mathcal{Q}(S)$  of  $C^*(S)$  is then obtained by imposing the additional relation  $\prod_{s \in F} (1 - e_{sS}) = 0$  for every foundation set  $F$ . It was shown in [6] that  $\mathcal{Q}(S)$  recovers classical objects such as  $\mathcal{O}_n$ , provides an appealing perspective on Toeplitz and Cuntz–Pimsner algebras associated to self-similar actions, see [6, Subsection 6.4], and may yield plenty of interesting new  $C^*$ -algebras related to Zappa–Szép products of monoids which had not been considered before.

As we know that  $G \rtimes_{\theta} P$  is right LCM for each algebraic dynamical system  $(G, P, \theta)$ , the boundary quotient  $\mathcal{Q}(G \rtimes_{\theta} P)$  deserves a closer examination. As it turns out, for most standard examples of such dynamics, the resulting right LCM semigroup  $S = G \rtimes_{\theta} P$  has two additional features: There are plenty of foundation sets  $F$  such that  $f_1S$  and  $f_2S$  are disjoint for all distinct  $f_1, f_2 \in F$ . Such finite subsets  $F$  will be called *accurate foundation sets*. More importantly, every foundation set  $F$  can be refined to an accurate foundation set  $F_a$  in the sense that for every  $f_a \in F_a$  there is  $f \in F$  such that  $f_a \in fS$ . This feature will be named the *accurate refinement property*, or property (AR) for short. If a right LCM semigroup  $S$  has property (AR), then the defining relation

$$\prod_{f \in F} (1 - e_{fS}) = 0 \quad \text{for every foundation set } F$$

can be replaced by the more familiar-looking relation

$$\sum_{f \in F_a} e_{fS} = 1 \quad \text{for every accurate foundation set } F_a,$$

see Proposition 2.4. We show that property (AR) is enjoyed by various types of known right LCM semigroups.

If we are given additional information on  $S$  in the sense that  $S = G \rtimes_{\theta} P$  for a (nontrivial) algebraic dynamical system  $(G, P, \theta)$ , then we can say more about the structure of (accurate) foundation sets and hence about property (AR). This is the aim of Section 3, where we present a useful sufficient criterion on  $(G, P, \theta)$  for  $G \rtimes_{\theta} P$  to have property (AR), see Proposition 3.9. As an application, we show that  $G \rtimes_{\theta} P$  has property (AR) provided that  $P$  is directed or that incomparable elements in  $P$  have disjoint principal right ideals, where we use  $p \geq q \Leftrightarrow p \in qP$ , see Corollary 3.11. We note that these two options include the cases where  $P$  is a group, an abelian semigroup, a free semigroup, or a Zappa–Szép product  $X^* \bowtie G$  for some self-similar action  $(G, X)$  as in [6]. In particular, the semigroups  $G \rtimes_{\theta} P$  arising from irreversible algebraic dynamical systems as defined in [21] have property (AR). To achieve Proposition 3.9 and hence the aforementioned results, we use a celebrated lemma of B.H. Neumann from [17] about finite covers of groups by cosets of subgroups to conclude that it suffices to consider (accurate) foundation sets  $F$  for  $G \rtimes_{\theta} P$  such that the index of  $\theta_p(G)$  of  $G$  is finite for all  $(g, p) \in F$ , see Proposition 3.5.

Let  $(G, P, \theta)$  satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 3.9, so that  $G \rtimes_{\theta} P$  has property (AR). If we combine the alternative presentation for  $\mathcal{Q}(G \rtimes_{\theta} P)$  obtained in Proposition 2.4 with the dynamic description  $\mathcal{A}[G, P, \theta]$  of  $C^*(G \rtimes_{\theta} P)$ , we arrive at a presentation of  $\mathcal{Q}(G \rtimes_{\theta} P)$  which emphasises that it originates from a dynamical system, see Corollary 4.1. However, we observe that  $\mathcal{Q}(G \rtimes_{\theta} P)$  may fail to admit a natural

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4614658>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4614658>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)