Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

A class of minimization problems related to quasilinear equations

ABSTRACT

Pohozaev type identity.

Chong-Miao Zhao

Chern Institute of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 October 2013 Available online 2 May 2014 Submitted by J. Mawhin

Keywords: Quasilinear equations Minimization argument The Pohozaev type identity New critical exponent

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with $N \geq 3$. We are concerned with attainability of the quasilinear minimization problem in $H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$S(a, b, q; \Omega) = \inf_{\substack{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} = 1}} \int_{\Omega} (1 + |x|^a |u|^b) |\nabla u|^2 \, dx.$$
(1.1)

the existence and nonexistence of minimizers by a minimization argument and the

In this paper, we consider that $0 \le a < N$, $b \ge 0$ and q is related to a and b. A typical example is a = 0 and b = 0. It is well known that $S(0, 0, q; \Omega)$ is achieved for $1 \le q < \frac{2N}{N-2}$, and $S(0, 0, \frac{2N}{N-2}; \Omega)$ is never achieved unless $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ (e.g., [14,15]). If $0 \notin \overline{\Omega}$, the problem is essentially equivalent to the case a = 0, thus from now on we will consider the case of $0 \in \Omega$. We assume without loss of generality that $u \ge 0$.

The minimization problem (1.1) is related to [1]. In [1], Bae et al. considered $a \ge 0$, $0 \le b \le \frac{2N}{N-2}$ and $q = \frac{2N}{N-2}$. They proved that the minimizer exists only in the range $a < \frac{N-2}{2}b$ which corresponds to a dominant nonlinear term. On the contrary, the linear term for $a \ge \frac{N-2}{2}b$ prevents the existence of the minimizer. They used scaling argument to study the problem and followed the method from [3]. In the celebrated paper [3], Brezis and Nirenberg considered the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^{q-1} + \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.04.031

0022-247X/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.









© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

where $q = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ is the critical exponent and λ is a real constant. They showed the equation has a solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ if $N \ge 4$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1(\Omega)$, where $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ is the principle eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on Ω . The minimization problem corresponding to Eq. (1.2) is

$$\inf_{\substack{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} = 1}} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda u^2 \right) dx.$$
(1.3)

Comparing the minimization problem (1.1) with the minimization problem (1.3), we find that they are both perturbations of the critical minimization problem

$$\inf_{\substack{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} = 1}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx. \tag{1.4}$$

But the two problems have different motivations.

On the other hand, Wang et al. [13,9] considered the following quasilinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u - \Delta \left(|u|^{\frac{b}{2}+1} \right) |u|^{\frac{b}{2}-1}u = \lambda |u|^{q-2}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

where b > 0 and $2 < q < (\frac{b}{2} + 1)\frac{2N}{N-2}$. Quasilinear equation of form (1.5) appears more naturally in mathematical physics and has been derived as a model of several physical phenomena such as [7] and [8]. The minimization problem related to Eq. (1.5) is as follows:

$$\inf_{\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)}=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2 + |u|^b |\nabla u|^2 \right) dx, \tag{1.6}$$

where $X = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u^2 \, dx < \infty\}$ or $X = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid u(x) = u(|x|)\}$ with norm given by $||u||^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2) \, dx$ depending on different conditions on V. The problem (1.6) is essentially related to our model (1.1). They established the existence of ground states of solutions by a minimization argument. After that, they reconsidered the same problem by using change of variables and Nehari manifold in [10] and [11]. In [4], Colin and Jeanjean also introduced a change of variable, and gave a shorter proof of the results of [10], which does not use Orlicz spaces, but rather is developed in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The minimization problem (1.1) is formally related to the following quasilinear elliptic equation

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}((1+|x|^{a}|u|^{b})\nabla u) + \frac{b}{2}|x|^{a}|u|^{b-2}u|\nabla u|^{2} = \mu|u|^{q-2}u & \text{in }\Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in }\Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on }\partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(1.7)

where μ is the Lagrange multiplier. If $\frac{2N}{N-2} < q < (\frac{b}{2}+1)\frac{2N}{N+a-2}$, then q seems to be a supercritical exponent. In fact, we will prove that it is only a subcritical case for the new critical exponent $(\frac{b}{2}+1)\frac{2N}{N+a-2}$. For the new critical exponent, we will use the Pohozaev type identity to discuss nonexistence of solutions. In the case $a = \frac{N-2}{2}b$, we will get a little more results about nonexistence of solutions.

Our main result in this paper can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let $0 \in \Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with $N \geq 3$. Consider the minimization problem (1.1) with $0 \leq a < N$ and $b \geq 0$. Then

(i) If $a \geq \frac{N-2}{2}b$, $S(a, b, q; \Omega)$ is achieved in $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq q < \frac{2N}{N-2}$.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4615754

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4615754

Daneshyari.com