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#### Abstract

This paper investigates when a bounded operator on Hilbert space has the property that its square is similar to to the direct sum of it with itself. A few general results are obtained and the normal operators and unilateral shifts having this property are characterized. Several additional examples are explored.
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## 1. Introduction

If a general theory of multiplicity ever comes to be, it will likely be the case that for any operator $A$, the operator $A^{(2)}=A \oplus A$ has twice the multiplicity of $A$. As in the case of hermitian operators, it might sometimes be the case that $A^{2}$ has twice the multiplicity of $A$ and sometimes that it does not. Though this paper will not try to begin a general theory of multiplicity, it does explore the relationship between $A \oplus A$ and $A^{2}$. Specifically it studies operators $A$ such that $A \oplus A$ and $A^{2}$ are similar, a question that has an intrinsic interest independent of any attempt at multiplicity theory.
1.1. Definition. If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), A$ satisfies Condition $S$ if $A^{2} \approx A \oplus A$. Say that $A$ satisfies Condition $U$ if $A^{2} \cong A \oplus A$. ( $\approx$ means similar and $\cong$ means unitarily equivalent.)

Realize that for normal operators Condition $S$ and Condition $U$ are the same since two normal operators are similar if and only if they are unitarily equivalent [1, Corollary IX.6.11].

We will shortly see several examples of operators satisfying Condition S, but for the time being we present only two.
1.2. Example. If $S$ is the unilateral shift, then $S$ satisfies Condition $U$.
1.3. Example. If $A$ denotes multiplication by the independent variable on $L^{2}[0,1]$, then $A$ does not satisfy Condition $S$. In fact since $x^{2}$ is one-to-one on $[0,1], A^{2}$ is unitarily equivalent to $A$; however $A \oplus A$ has uniform multiplicity 2 . On the other hand, $A^{(\infty)}$, the direct sum of $A$ with itself an infinite number of times, does satisfy Condition $S$ (and therefore Condition $U$ ).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some spectral properties of operators that satisfy Condition S. In particular it is shown that such operators have spectral radius 1 and that the only compact operator satisfying Condition $S$

[^0]is the zero operator. Section 3 gives a complete characterization of the normal operators satisfying Condition S. In Section 4 the unilateral weighted shifts satisfying Condition $S$ as well as those satisfying Condition $U$ are characterized. This results in a rather simple criterion for a hyponormal weighted shift to satisfy Condition $S$ and the fact that the isometric weighted shift is the only hyponormal weighted shift that satisfies Condition $U$.

## 2. Spectral results

The proofs of the next two results are straightforward. Recall that $\sigma_{e}(A)$ denotes the essential spectrum of $A$; that is, the spectrum of the image of $A$ in the Calkin algebra.
2.1. Proposition. If $A$ satisfies Condition $S$, then $\sigma(A)=\sigma(A)^{2}$ and $\sigma_{e}(A)=\sigma_{e}(A)^{2}$.
2.2. Proposition. (a) If $A$ and $B$ satisfy Condition $S($ or $U$ ), then so does $A \oplus B$.
(b) A satisfies Condition $S\left(\right.$ or $U$ ) if and only if $A^{*}$ does.
(c) If A satisfies Condition $S$ (or $U$ ), then so does $A^{2^{n}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that a scalar multiple of an operator satisfying Condition $S$ (or $U$ ) satisfies the same condition only if the scalar is either 0 or 1 .

In light of Proposition 2.1 it becomes important for the problem of characterizing the operators satisfying Condition $S$ to characterize the compact subsets $K$ of $\mathbb{C}$ that satisfy $K=K^{2}$. At present we cannot do this, but we can make such a characterization when $K$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$.
2.3. Proposition. A compact subset $K$ of $\mathbb{R}$ satisfies $K=K^{2}$ if and only if one of the following holds:
(a) $K=\{0\}$;
(b) $K=\{1\}$;
(c) $K=\{0,1\}$;
(d) for any $r$ in the open interval $(0,1)$ there is a compact subset $D$ of $\left[r^{2}, r\right]$ such that $K=\bigcup_{n=0}^{n=\infty} D^{2^{n}} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{n=\infty} D^{1 / 2^{n}} \cup\{0,1\}$.

Proof. It is clear that any set $K$ that has the form in parts (a) through (d) must satisfy $K=K^{2}$, so we look at the converse. Assume $K=K^{2}$ and assume that none of the conditions (a) through (c) is true; let $0<r<1$. We first note that $K \cap$ $\left[r^{2}, r\right] \neq \emptyset$. In fact if $s \in K$ and $s \neq 0,1$, let $n$ be the smallest natural number such that $s^{2^{n}} \leqslant r$. If it were the case that $s^{2^{n}} \leqslant r^{2}$, then we would have that $s^{2^{n-1}} \leqslant r$, a contradiction; so $s^{2^{n}}>r^{2}$. That is $D=K \cap\left[r^{2}, r\right] \neq \emptyset$. Let $L=\bigcup_{n=0}^{n=\infty} D^{2^{n}} \cup$ $\bigcup_{n=1}^{n=\infty} D^{1 / 2^{n}} \cup\{0,1\}$. Clearly $L \subseteq K$ and $L=L^{2}$. Using an argument similar to the one used to show that $K \cap\left[r^{2}, r\right] \neq \emptyset$ we can establish the reverse inclusion and thus the equality of $K$ and $L$.

The next result is straightforward.
2.4. Proposition. Assume $E$ is a non-empty, bounded subset of $\mathbb{C}$ that satisfies $E=E^{2}$.
(a) $E \subseteq \mathrm{cl} \mathbb{D}$.
(b) If $E \cap \mathbb{D} \neq \emptyset$, then $0 \in \operatorname{cl} E$ and $\operatorname{cl} E \cap \partial \mathbb{D} \neq \emptyset$.
(c) If int $E \neq \emptyset$ and $E=-E$, then $(\operatorname{int} E)^{2}=\operatorname{int} E$.
(d) $(\mathrm{cl} E)^{2}=\mathrm{cl} E$.

The next result illustrates the utility of an extra assumption on sets $E$ such that $E=E^{2}$. The authors wish to thank the referee for this suggestion.
2.5. Lemma. If $E \subseteq \operatorname{cl} \mathbb{D}$ and $E=E^{2}=-E$, then whenever $a \in E, E$ contains a dense set of the circle $\{z:|z|=|a|\}$.

Proof. When $a=|a| e^{i \alpha} \in E$, let $T_{a}=\left\{\theta \in[0,2 \pi]: a e^{i \theta} \in E\right\}$. The lemma will follow by establishing the following.
Claim. If $\theta \in T_{a}$, then $\theta+\frac{k \pi}{2^{n}} \in T_{a}$ for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2^{n+1}$, where the addition is modulo $2 \pi$.
This is established by induction. Assume $n=1$. If $\theta \in T_{a}$, then $|a| e^{i(\alpha+\theta)} \in E$; thus $-|a|^{2} e^{i(2 \alpha+2 \theta)} \in E$. Taking square roots it follows that $i|a| e^{i(\alpha+\theta)}=|a| e^{i(\alpha+\theta+\pi / 2)} \in E$ and $|a| e^{i(\alpha+\theta+3 \pi / 2)} \in E$. That is, $\theta+\frac{\pi}{2}, \theta+\frac{3 \pi}{2} \in T_{a}$. This says that $\theta+\frac{k \pi}{2} \in T_{a}$ for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant 4$ since the cases $k=2$, 4 are trivial. The proof of the induction step is similar.

Note that if $E$ is as in the preceding lemma, then so is $\mathrm{cl} \mathbb{D} \backslash E$.
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