

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications



On the set of points with absolutely convergent trigonometric series





Baowei Wang, Jun Wu*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 August 2013 Available online 15 November 2013 Submitted by B.S. Thomson

Keywords: Uniform distribution Hausdorff dimension

ABSTRACT

Let $\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Denote $E(\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1})$ the set of points for which the trigonometric series $\sum_{k\geq 1} \sin(n_k x)$ converges absolutely. It is shown that if $t_k := n_{k+1}/n_k$ tends to infinity monotonically, the Hausdorff dimension of $E(\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1})$ is given by the formula $1 - \limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\log k}{\log t_k}$; if not, this dimensional formula may be false. This strengthens a former work of Erdös and Taylor.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let $\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ be a sequence of real numbers. The distribution of the sequence $\{n_k x\}_{k \ge 1}$ is a fundamental topic in number theory (see the book [9] and the references therein). One of the most celebrated works on this topic is Weyl's criterion for uniform distribution [15] (see also [9]). From Weyl's criterion, we know that if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $|n_k - n_\ell| \ge \delta$ for all $k \ne \ell$, then for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the sequence $\{\langle n_k x \rangle\}_{k \ge 1}$ is uniformly distributed among the unit interval [0, 1], where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the fractional part.

As a complement to this almost sure result, a natural question is to study the points violating this almost sure result. Erdös asked for the first time whether there exist points *x* such that $\{\langle n_k x \rangle\}_{k \ge 1}$ can be isolated from zero [5]. This was studied by Pollington [12] and de Mathan [11] who showed that if $\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ is a lacunary sequence (that is, there exists $\lambda > 1$ such that $n_{k+1}/n_k \ge \lambda$ for all $k \ge 1$), the set of points for which $\{\langle n_k x \rangle\}_{k \ge 1}$ is isolated from zero is of full Hausdorff dimension. Moreover, Boshernitzan [1] showed that if $n_{k+1}/n_k \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$, the set of points for which $\{\langle n_k x \rangle\}_{k \ge 1}$ is non-dense in [0, 1] is of Hausdorff dimension zero. As a further investigation on the points violating the almost sure result, Erdös and Taylor [6] also studied the points *x* for which $\langle n_k x \rangle$ converges to a given point in a fast speed. More precisely, they considered the dimension of the set

$$E(\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1}) = \left\{x \in [0,\pi]: \sum_{k\geq 1} |\sin(n_k x)| < \infty\right\}$$

i.e., the set of points for which the trigonometric series $\sum_{k \ge 1} \sin(n_k x)$ converges absolutely. Let $\{t_k = n_{k+1}/n_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ be the ratios of two consecutive terms of the sequence $\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1}$. In [6], they showed that

Theorem 1.1. (See Erdös and Taylor [6].) (1) If $\{t_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ is bounded, $E(\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1})$ is at most countable.

(2) If $\{t_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ fulfills the condition that

$$uk^{\rho} \leq t_k \leq vk^{\rho}$$
, for all $k \geq 1$

(1)

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: bwei_wang@hust.edu.cn (B. Wang), jun.wu@mail.hust.edu.cn (J. Wu).

⁰⁰²²⁻²⁴⁷X/\$ – see front matter @ 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2013.11.026

for some absolute constants $u, v, \rho > 0$, the Hausdorff dimension of the set $E(\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1})$ is given by

$$\dim_{\mathsf{H}} E(\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1}) = 1 - \frac{1}{\max\{1, \rho\}}$$

In this note, we intend to release the condition (1). Our first result is

Theorem 1.2. If $\{t_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ tends to infinity monotonically, the dimension of $E(\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1})$ is given by the formula

$$\dim_{\mathsf{H}} E(\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1}) = 1 - \min\left\{\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log k}{\log t_k}, 1\right\} = 1 - \min\{\alpha_0, 1\}$$

$$\tag{2}$$

where α_0 is the convergence exponent of the sequence $\{t_k\}_{k \ge 1}$, i.e.

$$\alpha_0 = \inf \left\{ \alpha \ge 0: \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{t_k^{\alpha}} < \infty \right\}.$$
(3)

At the same time, we also provide a counter-example indicating that if $\{t_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ does not tend to infinity monotonically, the dimensional formula given in (2) may be false.

Theorem 1.3. There exists a sequence of real numbers $\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ such that

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log k}{\log t_k} = 1, \qquad \alpha_0 = 1, \quad but \, \dim_{\mathsf{H}} E\big(\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1}\big) = 1.$$

Remark 1.4. With no more difficulties, by the same method used for proving Theorem 1.2, we can show that the same result holds for the set

$$E(\{n_k, y_k\}_{k \ge 1}) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \colon \sum_{k \ge 1} \left| \sin(n_k x - y_k) \right| < \infty \right\}$$

where $\{y_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ is any given sequence of reals.

As said before, the set $E(\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1})$ consists of the points x for which $\langle n_k x \rangle$ tends to zero with a fast speed. In this case, to avoid the possibility that the set $E(\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1})$ may be empty, the sequence $\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ should tend to infinity in a very fast manner. If one's concern is only the convergence of $\langle n_k x \rangle$, things will be much different. Eggleston [4] showed that once $n_{k+1}/n_k \to \infty$, the set of points x for which $\langle n_k x \rangle$ tends to zero is of full Hausdorff dimension. While Bugeaud [2] (see also [3]) showed that, even if n_k tends to infinity very slowly there can always exist points x for which $\langle n_k x \rangle$ tends to a given point. More precisely, for any $\xi \in [0, 1]$ and any g_k tending to infinity as $k \to \infty$, there exists a sequence $\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ with $n_k \leq kg_k$ such that the set

$$\left\{x \in [0, 1]: \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle n_k x \rangle = \xi\right\}$$

is non-empty. See also [8,10,14] for the convergence of $\langle n_k x \rangle$ when $\{n_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ is the sequence of the denominators of the convergents in the continued fraction expansion of some point.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2: Lower bound

The following lemma indicates the relationship between the growth speed of $\{t_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ and its convergence exponent when $\{t_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ is monotone.

Lemma 2.1. (See [13, p. 26].) If $\{t_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ is monotonic, its convergence exponent α_0 and $\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log k}{\log t_k}$ coincide, i.e.

$$\alpha_0 = \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log k}{\log t_k}.$$

From this lemma, the second equality in Theorem 1.2 is trivial. We begin with a classic lemma concerning the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of a set [7, Example 4.6]. (4)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4616225

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4616225

Daneshyari.com