



## Irreducible representations of free products of matrix algebras



Jiren Zhou<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Institute of Technology, Shanghai 201418, PR China

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 4 April 2013

Available online 11 September 2013

Submitted by R. Curto

#### Keywords:

Free product

Irreducible representation

### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we give a characterization of irreducible representation of  $*_{i=1}^m M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_{kN}(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and the least common multiple  $N$  of  $n_1, \dots, n_m$  is finite. We also give a necessary condition for the existence of irreducible representation of  $*_{i=1}^m M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_{kN}(\mathbb{C})$ .

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U}$  is a complex Banach algebra with an involution that satisfies the additional condition  $\|T^*T\| = \|T\|^2$  for  $T \in \mathcal{U}$ . Every  $C^*$ -algebra can be viewed as a normed-closed self-adjoint subalgebra of  $B(H)$ .

By a representation of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U}$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , we mean a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\rho$  from  $\mathcal{U}$  into  $B(H)$ . In addition,  $\rho$  is one-to-one (hence, a  $*$ -isomorphism), it is described as a faithful representation. The Gelfand–Naimark theorem shows that every  $C^*$ -algebra has a faithful representation on some Hilbert space.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are representations of  $\mathcal{U}$  on Hilbert spaces  $H$  and  $K$ , respectively. We say that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are (unitarily) equivalent if there is an isomorphism  $U$  from  $H$  onto  $K$  such that  $\psi(A) = U\varphi(A)U^*$  for any  $A$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . (For more on the operator theory, see [10,11,14].)

The reduced free product of  $C^*$ -algebras with specified states on them was introduced independently by Avitzour [1] and Voiculescu [15].

For the basic properties of free probability theory, we refer the reader to [5,13,17].

Using the free probability theory, Voiculescu [16] proved that the free group von Neumann algebra does not have Cartan subalgebras and solved a longstanding question in finite von Neumann algebra. Many other powerful applications of free probability to questions on operator algebras are due to Ge, Dykema, Shlyakhenko and other mathematicians. (See [2,4,7,8].)

There are many literatures studying the structure of reduced free products of  $C^*$ -algebras. In [3,6], Dykema studied the reduced free product of a finite family of finite dimensional abelian  $C^*$ -algebras and the von Neumann algebra free products of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras. In [9], Ivanov found a necessary and sufficient condition for the simplicity and uniqueness of trace for reduced free products of finite families of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras with specified traces on them.

Recently, several authors considered the representations of the free product of  $C^*$ -algebras. In [12], the authors gave a characterization of the irreducible representations of the free product  $M_2(\mathbb{C}) * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

In this paper, we will consider the representations of the free product of matrix algebras.

In Section 2, we characterize the representation of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  into a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U}$ . We also give an explicit expression of a representation of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_{kn}(\mathbb{C})$ .

E-mail address: [zhoujiren1983@163.com](mailto:zhoujiren1983@163.com).

<sup>1</sup> This work is supported by The Training Programme for the Universities Young Teachers of Shanghai (No. 4052K11YQ25 – yyy11059).

In Section 3, we consider the representation of  $*_{i=1}^m M_n(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_{kn}(\mathbb{C})$ . We give a characterization of irreducible representation of  $*_{i=1}^m M_n(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_{kn}(\mathbb{C})$  and give a necessary condition for the existence of irreducible representation of  $*_{i=1}^m M_n(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_{kn}(\mathbb{C})$ .

In Section 4, we consider the case of representations of  $*_{i=1}^m M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_{kN}(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $N$  is the least common multiple of  $n_1, \dots, n_m$ . We give a characterization of irreducible representation of  $*_{i=1}^m M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_{kN}(\mathbb{C})$  and give a necessary condition for the existence of irreducible representation of  $*_{i=1}^m M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_{kN}(\mathbb{C})$ .

**2. Representations of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  ( $n \geq 2$ )**

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *There is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\pi(e_{i,i+1}) = T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ ;*
- (2)  *$T_1 T_1^* + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T_i^* T_i = I, T_i^* T_i = T_{i+1} T_{i+1}^*$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 2, T_i T_j = 0$  for  $j \neq i + 1$  and  $T_i T_j^* = 0$  for  $j < i$ .*

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): If there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\pi(e_{i,i+1}) = T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ , then

$$T_i T_j = \pi(e_{i,i+1})\pi(e_{j,j+1}) = \pi(e_{i,i+1}e_{j,j+1}) = 0$$

for  $j \neq i + 1$ ,

$$T_i T_j^* = \pi(e_{i,i+1})(\pi(e_{j,j+1}))^* = \pi(e_{i,i+1})\pi(e_{j+1,j}) = \pi(e_{i,i+1}e_{j+1,j}) = 0$$

for  $j < i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_i^* T_i &= \pi(e_{i,i+1}^*)\pi(e_{i,i+1}) = \pi(e_{i+1,i}e_{i,i+1}) = \pi(e_{i+1,i+1}) = \pi(e_{i+1,i+2}e_{i+2,i+1}) \\ &= \pi(e_{i+1,i+2})(\pi(e_{i+1,i+2}))^* = T_{i+1} T_{i+1}^* \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 2$  and

$$T_1 T_1^* + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T_i^* T_i = \pi(e_{1,1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi(e_{i+1,i+1}) = \pi\left(e_{1,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{i+1,i+1}\right) = \pi(I) = I_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Define  $\pi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  by

$$\pi((a_{i,j})_{n \times n}) = \sum_{j>i} a_{i,j} T_i \cdots T_{j-1} + \sum_{j<i} a_{i,j} T_{i-1}^* \cdots T_j^* + a_{1,1} T_1 T_1^* + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} a_{i,i} T_i^* T_i$$

for any  $(a_{i,j})_{n \times n} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(e_{1,1}) &= T_1 T_1^*, \quad \pi(e_{1,2}) = T_1, \quad \dots, \quad \pi(e_{1,n}) = T_1 \cdots T_{n-1}, \\ \pi(e_{2,1}) &= T_1^*, \quad \pi(e_{2,2}) = T_1^* T_1 = T_2 T_2^*, \quad \dots, \quad \pi(e_{2,n}) = T_2 \cdots T_{n-1}, \\ \pi(e_{3,1}) &= T_2^* T_1^*, \quad \pi(e_{3,2}) = T_2^*, \quad \dots, \quad \pi(e_{3,n}) = T_3 \cdots T_{n-1}, \\ &\vdots \\ \pi(e_{n,1}) &= T_{n-1}^* \cdots T_1^*, \quad \pi(e_{n,2}) = T_{n-1}^* \cdots T_2^*, \quad \dots, \quad \pi(e_{n,n}) = T_{n-1}^* T_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that  $\pi$  is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism and  $\pi(e_{i,i+1}) = T_i. \quad \square$

Thus every unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(H)$  is determined by some  $T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$  in  $B(H)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1} \subseteq B(H)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$T_1 T_1^* + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T_i^* T_i = I, T_i^* T_i = T_{i+1} T_{i+1}^*$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 2, T_i T_j = 0$  for  $j \neq i + 1$  and  $T_i T_j^* = 0$  for  $j < i$ .*
- (2) *There exists an orthonormal basis  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \{e_i^{(k)}, i \in I\}$  of  $H$  such that  $T_k(e_i^{(k+1)}) = e_i^{(k)}, k = 1, \dots, n - 1$  and  $T_m(e_i^{(k)}) = 0, k \neq m + 1, k = 1, \dots, n; m = 1, \dots, n - 1$  and  $i \in I$  where  $I$  is an index set.*

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4616283>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4616283>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)