Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com)

Applied Mathematics and Computation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/amc

Convexity and monotonicity properties of the local integro cubic spline

T. Zhanlavª, R. Mijiddorjª^{,b,}*

^a *Institute of Mathematics, National University of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia* ^b *Department of Informatics, Mongolian State University of Education, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia*

a r t i c l e i n f o

Keywords: Local integro spline Shape-preserving Approximation

A B S T R A C T

In this paper, we consider shape-preserving properties of the local integro-cubic spline. The sufficient conditions for convex, monotone, or positive approximation are given. Some examples are provided to illustrate shape-preserving properties of the splines.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In practice, the shape-preserving properties of splines have attracted interest of many authors, (see, for example, [\[2–13\]\)](#page--1-0). The sufficient conditions for monotonicity and convexity were obtained by Miroshnichenko for cubic spline of class C^2 in [\[7\]](#page--1-0) and, by Kvasov and Schumaker for the weighted quadratic spline in [\[6,10\]](#page--1-0) and the cubic splines in Kvasov [\[5\],](#page--1-0) respectively. In the last decade, besides of interpolatory splines, integro-splines [\[1,15,17\]](#page--1-0) have been attracting more interest. Recently, Boujraf et al. [\[2\]](#page--1-0) proposed a simple method for constructing integro spline quasi-interpolants. They did not investigate shape preserving properties of the integro spline quasi-interpolant.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the monotonicity and convexity properties of the local integro cubic spline given by Zhanlav and Mijiddorj in [\[15\].](#page--1-0) The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to discovering some useful properties of the local integro cubic spline (LICS for short). The sufficient convexity and monotonicity conditions for LICS are given in [Section](#page--1-0) 3. The sufficient comotonicity conditions for LICS are given in Section 4. The results of numerical experiments are presented in [Section](#page--1-0) 6.

2. Some useful properties of LICS

We introduce uniform partition on [*a*, *b*], $x_i = a + ih$ for $i = 0, 1, ..., k$ with $h = (b - a)/k$. Let $S(x)$ be a local integro cubic spline belonging to $C^2[a, b]$ and satisfying the following conditions [\[1,15\]](#page--1-0)

$$
\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} S(x) dx = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} u(x) dx = I_i, \quad i = 1(1)k.
$$
 (2.1)

We will use the *B*-representation of *S*(*x*)

$$
S(x) = \sum_{j=-1}^{k+1} \alpha_j B_j(x),\tag{2.2}
$$

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2016.08.017> 0096-3003/© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

骤

[∗] Corresponding author at: Institute of Mathematics, National University of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. *E-mail address:* mijiddorj@msue.edu.mn (R. Mijiddorj).

where $B_i(x)$ are the normalized cubic *B*-splines that form a basis for space of C^2 cubic splines. According to the properties of *B*-splines we have [\[12\]](#page--1-0)

$$
S_i = \frac{\alpha_{i+1} + 4\alpha_i + \alpha_{i-1}}{6},\tag{2.3a}
$$

$$
m_i = \frac{\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i-1}}{2h}, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., k,
$$
 (2.3b)

$$
M_i = \frac{\alpha_{i+1} - 2\alpha_i + \alpha_{i-1}}{h^2}.
$$
\n(2.3c)

For the integro cubic spline (2.2) satisfying the conditions (2.1) hold the following relations $[1,15]$

$$
\alpha_{i-2} + 12\alpha_{i-1} + 22\alpha_i + 12\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2} = \frac{24}{h}(I_i + I_{i+1}), \quad i = 1(1)k - 1.
$$
\n(2.4)

In [\[15\]](#page--1-0) were obtained the explicit and approximate formulae

$$
\alpha_i = \frac{1}{6h}(-I_{i-1} + 4I_i + 4I_{i+1} - I_{i+2}), \quad i = 2(1)k - 2,
$$
\n(2.5)

and

$$
\alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} = \frac{3}{2h}(l_i + l_{i+1}), \quad i = 1(1)k - 1,
$$
\n(2.6)

with accuracy $O(h^4)$. The remainder coefficients in [\(2.2\)](#page-0-0) were determined from (2.4) to (2.6) explicitly and we present the final results

$$
\alpha_{-1} = \frac{1}{6h} (35I_1 - 59I_2 + 40I_3 - 10I_4),
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_i = \frac{1}{6h} (10I_{i+1} - 5I_{i+2} + I_{i+3}), \quad i = 0, 1,
$$
\n(2.7)

and

$$
\alpha_i = \frac{1}{6h} (10I_i - 5I_{i-1} + I_{i-2}), \quad i = k - 1, k,
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6h} (35I_k - 59I_{k-1} + 40I_{k-2} - 10I_{k-3}),
$$
\n(2.8)

in which we used the following relation

$$
I_{i-2} - 4I_{i-1} + 6I_i - 4I_{i+1} + I_{i+2} = h^5 u_i^{(4)} + O(h^6),
$$
\n(2.9)

where $u \in C^5$. Thus, all the coefficients in [\(2.2\)](#page-0-0) were determined completely by the explicit formulae (2.5), (2.7) and (2.8). As a consequence, for LICS (2.2) with coefficients given by (2.5) , (2.7) and (2.8) we have

$$
S_{i+0}''' = S_{i-0}'''', \quad i = 1, 2, \quad k - 2, k - 1. \tag{2.10}
$$

The conditions (2.10) for $i = 1$ and $i = k - 1$ were known as not-a-knot ones [\[1,4,12\].](#page--1-0) The conditions (2.10) can be rewritten in terms of *Mi* as:

$$
M_{i+1} - 2M_i + M_{i-1} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad k - 2, k - 1. \tag{2.11}
$$

The conditions (2.10) or (2.11) play the role of end conditions for the local integro cubic spline. It was pointed out that in [\[1,15,17\]](#page--1-0) were proposed different kinds of end conditions in order to determine the integro cubic spline uniquely. In Zhanlav and Mijiddorj [\[15\]](#page--1-0) LICS was constructed, in which the conditions (2.10) were used in a implicit way. In connection with this it is correct to say that LICS satisfying the end conditions (2.10) exists unique and its coefficients are determined by explicit formulae (2.5) , (2.7) and (2.8) . In our opinion, the conditions (2.10) are more compact and useful in contrast to the others [\[1,15,16\],](#page--1-0) because we have no information about the functions and its derivative values at knots.

The following consistency relations [\[1\]](#page--1-0) hold

$$
m_{i-1} + 10m_i + m_{i+1} = \frac{12}{h^2}(I_{i+1} - I_i), \quad i = 1(1)k - 1.
$$
\n(2.12)

Now we show that the values of *Si*, *mi* and *Mi* can be locally approximated in terms of *Ij*. Indeed, if we take into account the formulae $(2.5)-(2.9)$, and (2.12) , then from (2.3) we obtain

$$
S_0 = \frac{1}{12h}(25I_1 - 23I_2 + 13I_3 - 3I_4),
$$

\n
$$
S_1 = \frac{1}{12h}(3I_1 + 13I_2 - 5I_3 + I_4),
$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4625562>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4625562>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)