Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Mathematics and Computation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/amc

Steady-state stock and group size: An approach of dynamic voluntary provisions of public goods

Yuankan Huang*, Takehiro Inohara

Department of Value and Decision Science, Graduate School of Decision Science and Technology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 O-okayama Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Keyword: Differential games Provisions of public goods Group size

ABSTRACT

This note analyzes the effect from group sizes (i.e., the number of consumers) on steady-state stocks in the model of dynamic voluntary provisions of public goods. The model follows Itaya and Shimomura [4]. We focus on feedback Nash equilibrium strategies and find that (1) it is possible to clarify the condition such that the steady-state stock decreases as the group size is larger when consumers take linear feedback Nash equilibrium strategies and (2) when consumers take nonlinear ones, the set of possible steady-state stocks is enlarged as the group size is bigger.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Differential game approaches are often employed to analyze the effect from consumptions on stock externalities. Fershtman and Nitzen [1] constructs a model regarding provisions of public goods by the differential game approach. Wirl [10] analyzes nonlinear feedback equilibria by a graphic approach (such approach is proposed by Tsutsui and Mino [9]) and Fujiwara and Matsueda [2] compares the steady-state stocks resulting from the cooperative solution, the open-loop equilibria and feedback equilibria. As extensions, Itaya and Shimomura [4], Ihori and Itaya [3] and Yanase [11] incorporate a private consumption into dynamic voluntary provision of public goods. Some recent researches about public goods can be confirmed by [12–14].

Following the model proposed by Itaya and Shimomura [4], this note analyzes the effect from group sizes (i.e., the number of consumers) on steady-state stocks in dynamic voluntary provisions of public goods. We focus on feedback Nash equilibrium strategies and compare the steady-state stocks under different group sizes. The main findings are as follows: (1) by linear feedback Nash equilibrium strategies, it is possible to clarify the condition such that the steady-state stock decreases as the group size is larger and (2) when consumers take nonlinear ones, the set of possible steady-state stocks is enlarged as the group size is bigger. In particular, Finding (1) follows the argument given by Olson [5] that public goods are less likely provided as the group size becomes larger.

This note is organized as follows: In Section 2, we present the model. Section 3 gives preliminaries. Sections 4 and 5 analyze effects from group sizes on steady-state stocks in terms of linear and nonlinear feedback Nash equilibrium strategies. The conclusion is given in Section 6.

* Corresponding author. Tel: +818035735896.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2015.08.053 0096-3003/© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.





霐

E-mail addresses: huangyk@valdes.titech.ac.jp, huangyuankan@gmail.com (Y. Huang), inohara@valdes.titech.ac.jp (T. Inohara).

2. Models

The consumers set is given as $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ where $n \ge 2$ refers to group size. Note that the group size n is constant during the game. Following Eq. (25) in Itaya and Shimomura [4], the long-run utility for each $i \in N$ is given as follows:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \left[\alpha + \beta_{1} c_{i}(t) - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{2} (c_{i}(t))^{2} + \beta_{2} G(t) - \frac{\gamma_{2}}{2} (G(t))^{2} \right] dt, \quad \alpha, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} > 0$$
(1)

subject to

 $c_i(t) + g_i(t) = Y, \ c_i(t) \ge 0 \text{ and } g_i(t) \ge 0 \text{ for each } t \in [0, \infty);$ $\dot{G} = \frac{dG(t)}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(t) - \delta G(t);$

the initial stock is given as G_0 ;

$$\beta_1 > \gamma_1 Y$$

where (i) ρ stands for a common discount factor and $\rho \in (0, 1)$, (ii) $c_i(t)$ and $g_i(t)$ refer to the amount of the private consumption and the voluntary contribution for provisions of public goods (or contribution for short) respectively, (iii) Y stands for a constant income over time for each consumer, (iv) G(t) stands for the stock of public goods, (v) \dot{G} stands for the transition law of the stock, (vi) $\delta \in [0, 1)$ represents the natural depreciation rate and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j(t)$ refers to the aggregate contribution and (vii) $\beta_1 > \gamma_1 Y$ is the assumption given by Itaya and Shimomura [4].

3. Preliminaries

We derive an auxiliary equation with respect to c(G) and G. Following Fujiwara and Matsueda [2], the auxiliary equation can be used to derive feedback Nash equilibrium strategies.

Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman equation corresponding to (1) is as follows:

$$\rho V(G) = \max_{c_i} \left[\alpha + \beta_1 c_i - \frac{\gamma_1}{2} (c_i)^2 + \beta_2 G - \frac{\gamma_2}{2} G^2 + V'(G) \left(nY - c_i - \sum_{j \neq i} c_j(G) - \delta G \right) \right].$$
(2)

where $c_j(G)$ denotes the private consumption function of consumer *j* and V(G) refers to a value function. First order conditions are

$$V'(G) = \beta_1 - \gamma_1 c_i(G). \tag{3}$$

Following the envelope theorem, differentiating Eq. (2) with respect to G yields the following equation:

$$\rho V'(G) = \left\lfloor \beta_2 - \gamma_2 G + V''(G) \left(nY - c_i - \sum_{j \neq i} c_j(G) - \delta G \right) + V'(G) \left(-\sum_{j \neq i} c'_j(G) - \delta \right) \right\rfloor \right|_{c_i = c_i(G)}$$

Throughout this note, we let consumers take symmetrical strategies, that is, $c_j(G) = c(G)$ for each $j \in N$. Substituting (3) into the above equation, we obtain an auxiliary equation as follows:

$$c'(G) = \frac{\beta_2 - \gamma_2 G - (\delta + \rho)\beta_1 + \gamma_1(\delta + \rho)c(G)}{\beta_1(n-1) + \gamma_1(nY - \delta G) - \gamma_1(2n-1)c(G)}.$$
(4)

4. Linear feedback Nash equilibrium strategies

A linear feedback Nash equilibrium strategy is represented by (c(G), g(G)) where c(G) = FG + E and g(G) = Y - c(G). Substituting c(G) into Eq. (4), we can have the following two equations:

$$-F\gamma_1\delta G - F^2\gamma_1(2n-1)G = -\gamma_2G + \gamma_1(\delta + \rho)FG$$

and

$$F\beta_1(n-1) + F\gamma_1 nY - F\gamma_1(2n-1)E = \beta_2 - (\delta + \rho)\beta_1 + \gamma_1(\delta + \rho)E.$$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4626264

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4626264

Daneshyari.com