



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Mathematics and Computation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/amc

Simple uniform exponential stability conditions for a system of linear delay differential equations

Leonid Berezansky^a, Josef Diblík^{b,*}, Zdeněk Svoboda^{b,1}, Zdeněk Šmarda^{b,2}^a Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel^b Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Uniform exponential stability
Linear delay differential system
Bohl–Perron theorem

ABSTRACT

Uniform exponential stability of linear systems with time varying coefficients

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{r_{ij}} a_{ij}^k(t) x_j(h_{ij}^k(t)), \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

is studied, where $t \geq 0$, m and r_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ are natural numbers, $a_{ij}^k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h_{ij}^k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable functions. New explicit result is derived with the proof based on Bohl–Perron theorem. The resulting criterion has advantages over some previous ones in that, e.g., it involves no M -matrix to establish stability. Several useful and easily verifiable corollaries are deduced and examples are provided to demonstrate the advantage of the stability result over known results.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the paper uniform explicit exponential stability is investigated for the linear delay differential system with time varying coefficients

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{r_{ij}} a_{ij}^k(t) x_j(h_{ij}^k(t)), \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad (1)$$

where $t \geq 0$, m and r_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ are natural numbers, coefficients $a_{ij}^k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and delays $h_{ij}^k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable functions (additional assumptions will be formulated later).

For the scalar case ($m = 1$), the system (1) reduces to a linear differential equation with several delays

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^r a_k(t) x(h_k(t)). \quad (2)$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: brznsky@cs.bgu.ac.il (L. Berezansky), diblik@feec.vutbr.cz, diblik.j@fce.vutbr.cz (J. Diblík), svobodaz@feec.vutbr.cz (Z. Svoboda), smarda@feec.vutbr.cz (Z. Šmarda).

¹ This author was supported by the Grant P201/11/0768 of the Czech Grant Agency (Prague).

² This author was supported by the Grant FEKT-S-14-2200 of Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Communication, Brno University of Technology.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2014.10.117>

0096-3003/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Eq. (2) is studied in detail, e.g., in [1–5], and a review on stability results can be found in [6]. For system (1), there are not so many results.

In the following short overview of known results we use the notion of an M -matrix. For the reader's convenience, we recall that a square matrix is called a non-singular M -matrix if all its off-diagonal elements are non-positive and its principal minors are positive. (In [7], equivalent definitions can be found.)

Asymptotic stability conditions for the autonomous case of system (1) (when $a_{ij}^k(t) \equiv a_{ij}^k$, $h_{ij}^k(t) \equiv t - \tau_{ij}^k$ and a_{ij}^k , τ_{ij}^k are constant) is considered in [8]. In particular, for the system

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} x_j(t - \tau_{ij}), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (3)$$

where $\tau_{ij} \geq 0$, the following result holds (below, a_+ denotes the positive part of a , i.e., $a_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$).

Theorem 1 (Corollary 4.3, [8]). *Let*

$$0 < a_{ii} \tau_{ii} < 1 + 1/e, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

and let the $m \times m$ matrix H with components

$$h_{ij} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1 - (a_{ii} \tau_{ii} - 1/e)_+}{1 + (a_{ii} \tau_{ii} - 1/e)_+} \right) a_{ii}, & i = j, \\ -|a_{ij}|, & i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, m$ be a non-singular M -matrix. Then, system (3) is asymptotically stable for any selection of delays τ_{ij} , $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$.

In [9], the system (3) is also considered and the following result derived.

Theorem 2 (Theorem 1.3, [9]). *Let*

$$0 \leq a_{ii} \tau_{ii} < 3/2, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

and let the matrix G with components

$$g_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{1 + a_{ii} \tau_{ii} (3 + 2a_{ii} \tau_{ii}) / 9}{1 - a_{ii} \tau_{ii} (3 + 2a_{ii} \tau_{ii}) / 9} \right) |a_{ij}|, & i \neq j, \\ a_{ii}, & i = j, \end{cases}$$

be a nonsingular M -matrix. Then, system (3) is asymptotically stable for any selection of delays τ_{ij} , $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$.

In [10], the authors consider the non-autonomous system

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}(t) x_j(h_{ij}(t)), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (4)$$

where $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_{ij}(t)$, $h_{ij}(t)$ are continuous functions, $h_{ij}(t) \leq t$, and $h_{ij}(t)$ are monotone increasing functions such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_{ij}(t) = \infty$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$.

Theorem 3 (Theorem 2.2, [10]). *Assume that, for $t \geq t_0$, there exist non-negative numbers b_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, $i \neq j$ such that $|a_{ij}(t)| \leq b_{ij} a_{ii}(t)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, $i \neq j$, $a_{ii}(t) \geq 0$ and*

$$\int_{h_{ii}(t)}^{\infty} a_{ii}(s) ds = \infty, \quad d_i = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h_{ii}(t)}^t a_{ii}(s) ds < 3/2, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Let $\tilde{B} = (\tilde{b}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^m$ be an $m \times m$ matrix with entries $\tilde{b}_{ii} = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ and, for $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\tilde{b}_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{2+d_i^2}{2-d_i^2} \right) b_{ij}, & \text{if } d_i < 1, \\ -\left(\frac{1+2d_i}{3-2d_i} \right) b_{ij}, & \text{if } d_i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

If \tilde{B} is a nonsingular M -matrix, then system (4) is asymptotically stable.

Very interesting global asymptotic stability results were obtained for nonlinear systems of delay differential equations in the recent papers [15–17].

The aim of the paper is to extend Theorems 1–3 in the following directions. Instead of autonomous system (3) considered in Theorems 1 and 2, we consider non-autonomous system (1). Unlike of assumptions of Theorem 3, we remove inequalities $|a_{ij}(t)| \leq b_{ij} a_{ii}(t)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, $i \neq j$ and do not assume that $h_{ij}(t)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ are monotone increasing functions.

We will consider a more general system (1) and then, as a particular case, system (4) as well. We analyse systems with measurable parameters unlike the systems with continuous parameters investigated in [10].

In Theorems 1–3, all conditions are formulated in such a way that special matrices constructed here are non-singular M -matrices. We derive different stability conditions not assuming that a special matrix is an M -matrix and we show (in

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4627221>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4627221>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)