



Homogenization of a variational problem in three-dimension space[☆]



Cuiping Guo^{a,*}, Weiyu Liu^b

^a School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

^b Micro- and Nano-manufacturing Research Center, State Key Laboratory for Manufacturing Systems Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Homogenization
Unfolding operator
Unfolding method

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we investigate the variational problem for a sequence of 3-dimensional domains with highly oscillating boundaries. Using the unfolding method and the averaging method, we obtain the result of the homogenization problem, that is, a sequence of solutions of Eq. (3.1) converges to the solution of Eq. (3.4) as the periodic length approaches zero. It is noteworthy that the convergence is in the strong sense.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The periodic unfolding method was introduced in [6] by Cioranescu et al. for the study of classical periodic homogenization in the case of fixed domains and further described in [1–4,8,9,11]. This method was also applied to problems with holes and truss-like structures or in linearized elasticity.

The homogenization of periodic structures was carried out in the last 30 years for various kinds of problems involving differential equations [12–15] and systems, as well as integral energies. But most of these works all got the weak convergence. Recently, there was a break in [5,7], where the achievement of strong convergence was obtained. In [10], the unfolding method was applied to a linear elliptic equation in the oscillating boundary cases in two-dimension space, and the new result of strong convergence was obtained. The purpose of this paper is to generalize the work in [10], i.e. we apply the periodic unfolding method to a variational problem in the oscillating boundary cases in three-dimension space, and obtain the strong convergence result. The symbols used in this paper are the same as the ones to those in [10].

We will work on domains which are constructed as follows. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $1/\varepsilon = N$, where N is a positive integer. Define

$$\Omega_A^\varepsilon = \bigcup_{k=0}^{N-1} (k\varepsilon, k\varepsilon + \beta\varepsilon) \times \bigcup_{l=0}^{N-1} (l\varepsilon, l\varepsilon + \beta\varepsilon) \times (0, 1),$$

$$\Omega_B = (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \times (-1, 0), \quad \Omega_A^\varepsilon \cap \Omega_B = \Gamma_\varepsilon, \quad \Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega_A^\varepsilon \cup \Omega_B \cup \Gamma_\varepsilon, \quad \Omega_A = (0, 1)^3, \quad \Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \times (-1, 1).$$

2. The unfolding operator

A linear operator on $L^1(\Omega_A^\varepsilon)$ will be defined and used to interpret integrals over ε -dependent domains as integrals over a fixed domain. This operator is called the unfolding operator.

[☆] This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11171266).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: gcp5056@yahoo.com.cn (C. Guo).

We will use the following notations: Let $[\cdot] : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{\cdot\} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1)$ denote the functions which map every real number to its integer part and the fractional part.

Definition 2.1 (The unfolding operator). For every $\varepsilon > 0$, $u \in L^1(\Omega_A^\varepsilon)$, we define the unfolding operator $T^\varepsilon : L^1(\Omega_A^\varepsilon) \rightarrow L^1(\Omega_A \times (0, \beta)^2)$ by setting

$$T^\varepsilon(u)(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = u\left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_4, \varepsilon \left[\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_5, x_3\right)$$

for every $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega_A$ and $(x_4, x_5) \in (0, \beta)^2$.

If U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^3 containing Ω_A^ε and u is a real-valued function on U , $T^\varepsilon u$ will mean T^ε acting on the restriction of u to Ω_A^ε . The following propositions state the properties of T^ε which will be used later. Most of them are straightforward and their proofs are omitted.

Proposition 2.1. T^ε is linear.

Proposition 2.2. Let u, v be functions: $\Omega_A^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $T^\varepsilon(uv) = T^\varepsilon u T^\varepsilon v$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $x \in \Omega_A^\varepsilon$ and $u : \Omega_A^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $T^\varepsilon u(x_1, x_2, x_3, \{\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\}, \{\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}\}) = u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = u(x)$.

Proposition 2.4. Let $u \in L^1(\Omega_A^\varepsilon)$, then

$$\int_{\Omega_A \times (0, \beta)^2} T^\varepsilon u dx = \int_{\Omega_A^\varepsilon} u dx.$$

Proof. Suppose that $u \in L^1(\Omega_A^\varepsilon)$. By Fubini's theorem and the fact that $T^\varepsilon u$ is piecewise constant in x_1 and x_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_A \times (0, \beta)^2} T^\varepsilon u dx &= \int_{x_1=0}^1 \int_{x_2=0}^1 \int_{x_3=0}^1 \int_{x_4=0}^\beta \int_{x_5=0}^\beta u\left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_4, \varepsilon \left[\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_5, x_3\right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 dx_5 \\ &= \int_{x_3=0}^1 \int_{x_4=0}^\beta \int_{x_5=0}^\beta \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_1=k\varepsilon}^{(k+1)\varepsilon} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_2=l\varepsilon}^{(l+1)\varepsilon} u(\varepsilon k + \varepsilon x_4, \varepsilon l + \varepsilon x_5, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 dx_5 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_3=0}^1 \int_{x_1=k\varepsilon}^{(k+1)\varepsilon} \int_{x_2=l\varepsilon}^{(l+1)\varepsilon} u(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 = \int_{\Omega_A^\varepsilon} u(x) dx. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.5. Let $u \in L^2(\Omega_A^\varepsilon)$, then $T^\varepsilon u \in L^2(\Omega_A \times (0, \beta)^2)$. Moreover, $T^\varepsilon u$ is a linear isometry between $L^2(\Omega_A^\varepsilon)$ and $L^2(\Omega_A \times (0, \beta)^2)$.

Proof. Suppose that $u \in L^2(\Omega_A^\varepsilon)$, then $|u|^2 \in L^1(\Omega_A^\varepsilon)$. By Proposition 2.2 and 2.4, we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_A \times (0, \beta)^2} |T^\varepsilon u|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega_A \times (0, \beta)^2} T^\varepsilon |u|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega_A^\varepsilon} |u|^2 dx < \infty.$$

By the previous calculation we can see that T^ε is a mapping preserving norm, that is $\|T^\varepsilon u\|_{L^2(\Omega_A \times (0, \beta)^2)} = \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_A^\varepsilon)}$. This Proposition and Proposition 2.1 imply that T^ε is a linear isometry between $L^2(\Omega_A^\varepsilon)$ and $L^2(\Omega_A \times (0, \beta)^2)$. \square

Proposition 2.6. Let $u \in H^1(\Omega_A^\varepsilon)$, then $T^\varepsilon u \in L^2((0, 1) \times (0, 1); H^1((0, 1) \times (0, \beta) \times (0, \beta)))$. Furthermore, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} T^\varepsilon u = T^\varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} T^\varepsilon u = \varepsilon T^\varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} T^\varepsilon u = \varepsilon T^\varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}$.

Proof. According to the chain rule, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} T^\varepsilon u &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} u\left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_4, \varepsilon \left[\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_5, x_3\right) = u_3\left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_4, \varepsilon \left[\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_5, x_3\right) = T^\varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} T^\varepsilon u &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} u\left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_4, \varepsilon \left[\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_5, x_3\right) = \varepsilon u_1\left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_4, \varepsilon \left[\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_5, x_3\right) = \varepsilon T^\varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} T^\varepsilon u &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} u\left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_4, \varepsilon \left[\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_5, x_3\right) = \varepsilon u_2\left(\varepsilon \left[\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_4, \varepsilon \left[\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}\right] + \varepsilon x_5, x_3\right) = \varepsilon T^\varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4628044>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4628044>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)