Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Applied Mathematics and Computation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/amc



CrossMark

# Series solutions to linear integral equations

## Christopher S. Withers<sup>a</sup>, Saralees Nadarajah<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Applied Mathematics Group, Industrial Research Limited, Lower Hutt, New Zealand <sup>b</sup> School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Differential equations Indicial equations Integral equations

### ABSTRACT

Linear Volterra-type integral equations with kernels having a series expansion in the first variable have series solutions with coefficients given iteratively. Their resolvents may be expanded likewise. The associated homogeneous equation  $\mathscr{K}\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}$  generally has Frobenius series solutions when the kernel is singular, whereas  $\mathscr{K}\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}$  generally has such solutions regardless of singularity: the proviso in each case is that associated "indicial equation" has solutions. © 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction and summary

Volterra integral equations are a special type of integral equations introduced by Vito Volterra. They have applications in demography, the study of viscoelastic materials, and in insurance mathematics through the renewal equation. There has been a great deal of developments on the theory and applications of Volterra integral equations. For most excellent and comprehensive accounts, we refer the readers to [1-5,7]. One of the most recent developments on Volterra integral equations is described in [9].

In this paper, we show how to obtain solutions, f, to the linear integral equation

$$\epsilon \mathbf{f} - \mathscr{K} \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{g} \tag{1.1}$$

on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^p$ , where  $\epsilon$  lies in  $\mathbb{C}$ , **f** and **g** :  $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}^q$ ,

$$\mathscr{K}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{x}T} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y},$$

where  $T \subset \mathbb{C}^p$  satisfies  $\Omega T \subset \Omega$  and  $\mathbf{K} : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^{q \times q}$  can be expanded as

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1}}^{\infty} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{t}) \tag{1.2}$$

for  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathbf{t}$  in T,  $\mathbf{x}T = {\mathbf{x}\mathbf{t} : \mathbf{t}$  in T},  $\Omega T = {\mathbf{x}\mathbf{t} : \mathbf{x}$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathbf{t}$  in T},  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{t} = (x_1t_1, \dots, x_pt_p)'$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{y}} = x_1^{y_1} \cdots x_p^{y_p}$  for  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^p$  with  $\mathbf{0}^0 = 1$ . Also  $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  means  $x_i \ge y_i$  for  $1 \le i \le p$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{y}$  means  $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{y}$  is false,  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{1}$  is an integer in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and summation in (1.2) is over integers in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  with  $\mathbf{n} \ge \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{1}$ .

Note that for a Volterra kernel T = (0, 1). For a Fredholm kernel on  $\Omega = (0, \infty)$  or  $\mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $T = \Omega$ . The major assumption is that for  $\mathbf{n} \ge \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{1}$ 

$$\overline{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{T} \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{u}} d\mathbf{t} \text{ exists and is finite}$$
(1.3)

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: saralees.nadarajah@manchester.ac.uk (S. Nadarajah).

<sup>0096-3003/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2013.12.160

for certain **u** in  $\mathbb{C}^p$  determined by **g**. This rules out analytic Fredholm kernels on  $\mathbb{C}^p$  or  $(\mathbf{0}, \infty)$  but not analytic Volterra kernels. Since

$$\int_0^1 \left(1-t\right)^{-1} t^u dt = \infty,$$

it also rules out the Abel kernel  $(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^{-1}$ .

The results of the paper are organized as follows. In Section 2, it is shown that subject to certain conditions (1.1) has a unique series solution with the coefficients being given by a simple iterative formula.

Section 3 gives a power series for the resolvent of **K** – and so provides an alternative solution to (1.1) when  $\epsilon \neq 0$ .

Section 4 gives solutions of Frobenius type  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{x}^{n}\mathbf{f}_{n}$  to the homogeneous equation  $\epsilon\mathbf{f} - \mathscr{K}\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}$  when  $\epsilon = 0$  or  $\mathbf{I} \leq \mathbf{0} : \mathbf{u}$ may be any solution in  $\mathbb{C}^p$  of

$$\det\left(\epsilon \mathbf{I}_{q} - \bar{\mathbf{k}}_{-1}(\mathbf{u})\right) = 0 \quad \text{if } \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0},\tag{1.4}$$

where  $\mathbf{I}_a$  is the  $q \times q$  identity matrix, or of

$$\det \overline{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{l}-1}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0} \tag{1.5}$$

if  $\epsilon = 0$  or  $\mathbf{I} \leq \mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{I}$ . It is interesting to note that  $\mathbf{f} = \mathscr{K}\mathbf{f}$  has such solutions if and only if  $\mathbf{I} \leq \mathbf{0}$ . So, it is the singularity of **K**(**x**, **xt**) not of **K**(**x**, **y**) that allows for a solution. Further **K**(**x**, **xt**) must be singular with respect to *every* **x** variable. By contrast  $\mathscr{K}\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}$  will always have a solution if (1.5) can be satisfied. For example, for the Volterra kernel  $\lambda x^{-\theta} (x - y)^{\theta - 1}$  with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\theta > 0$  and  $p = q = 1, f = \mathscr{K}f$  has exactly one such solution while  $\mathscr{K}f = 0$  has none.

Section 5 gives a class of kernel transformable to type (1.2), for example, the Volterra kernel  $(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^{\theta}$  with  $\theta > -1$ . Section 6 indicates extensions to other types of kernels. Section 7 discusses some non-trivial examples of the results in Sections 2 to 6.

The following notation will be used throughout the paper:

$$\overline{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{T} \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{u}} d\mathbf{t} \text{ for } \mathbf{u} \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^{p} \text{ and } \mathbf{k} \text{ with domain } T,$$

 $\mathbf{n}! = n_1! \cdots n_p!$  for **n** in  $\mathbb{N}^p$ ,

 $(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1})! = \Gamma(\mathbf{x}) = \Gamma(x_1) \cdots \Gamma(x_p)$  for  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^p$ ,

 $B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \Gamma(\mathbf{x})\Gamma(\mathbf{y})/\Gamma(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = B(x_1, y_1) \cdots B(x_p, y_p)$  for  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^p$ ,

**J**},

 $\log \mathbf{x} = (\log x_1, \dots, \log x_n)'$  for  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^p$ ,

I(A) = 1 if A is true and 0 if A is false.

$$\begin{split} &\prod_{j=1}^p l_j = 1 \ \text{for} \ p = 0, \\ &\sum_I^J = 0 \ \text{unless} \ I \leqslant J, \\ &\sum_{n=I+}^J \ \text{sums over} \ \{n: I \leqslant n \leqslant J, n \neq I\}, \\ &\sum_{n=I}^{J-} \ \text{sums over} \ \{n: I \leqslant n \leqslant J, n \neq J\}, \\ &\sum_{n=0}^J \ \text{sums over} \ \{n: 0 \leqslant n \leqslant J, n \neq 0\}, \end{split}$$

and

 $\mathbf{I}_{+} = \max(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}), \ \mathbf{I}_{-} = \max(\mathbf{0}, -\mathbf{I})$  componentwise. So,  $I = I_{+} - I_{-}$ .

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4628137

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4628137

Daneshyari.com