



Koçak's method shows that an unwittingly exaggerated convergence order is in fact 2



Mehmet Çetin Koçak

Chemical Engineering Department, Engineering Faculty, Ankara University, 06100 Tandoğan, Ankara, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Non-linear equations
Iterative methods
Newton's method
Convergence acceleration

ABSTRACT

Iterative solution of a nonlinear equation $f(x) = 0$ usually means a repetitive scheme to locate a fixed point of a related equation $x = g(x)$. Koçak's acceleration method smoothly gears up iterations with the aid of a superior secondary solver $g_k = x + G(x)(g(x) - x) = (g(x) - m(x)x)/(1 - m(x))$ where $G(x) = 1/(1 - m(x))$ is a gain and $m(x) = 1 - 1/G(x)$ is a straight line slope. The accelerator shows that a previously published article [A. Biazar, A. Amirtemoori, An improvement to the fixed point iterative method, AMC 182 (2006) 567–571] unwittingly exaggerated the convergence order of the solver it presented. This solver boils down to an indirect application of Newton's method solving $g(x) - x = 0$ which means that it is of second order. Hence, their claim that it “increases the order of convergence as much as desired” is false! The scheme wastes higher derivatives.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A nonlinear equation

$$x = g(x) \quad (1)$$

can be solved by a repetitive scheme $x_{k+1} = g(x_k)$ where k is the iteration count. If z satisfies (1), then z is called a *fixed-point* of g . Let $\varepsilon_k = x_k - z$. If there exist a real number n and nonzero constant c such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (|\varepsilon_{k+1}|/|\varepsilon_k|^n),$$

then n and c are respectively called the *convergence order* and the *asymptotic error constant*. According to Traub [5], if n is integral, then

$$c = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\varepsilon_{k+1}/\varepsilon_k^n) = g^{(n)}(z)/n!$$

If $n = 1$, then $g'(z) \neq 0$. An integral convergence order $n > 1$ means that

$$g'(z) = g''(z) = \dots = g^{(n-1)}(z) = 0, \quad g^{(n)}(z) \neq 0. \quad (2)$$

ε_{k+1} is proportional to ε_k^n in the vicinity of z . Thus, n th order solvers are a subset of $(n - 1)$ th order solvers. Past this point, a function mentioned without an argument implies that the latter is x . Note also that a subscript starting with a *capital letter* is assigned to a solver g , convergence order n , and asymptotic error constant c to indicate the person to whom g is attributed.

E-mail address: mckocak@ankara.edu.tr

Nomenclature

c	asymptotic error constant
c_K	asymptotic error constant of Koçak's solver
f	nonlinear function to be solved
g	nonlinear solver
g_{id}	ideal solver, $g_{id} = z$
g_{pl}	piecewise linearisation
g_{ps}	partial substitution
g_K	Koçak's solver (accelerator)
g_N	Newton's second-order solver
G	partial substitution gain
k	iteration counter
m	straight line slope
m_{id}	ideal linearisation slope
n	convergence order
n_K	convergence order of Koçak's solver
x	independent variable
w	weight (see Eq. (5))
w_{id}	limit for w at z (determined by n)
z	fixed-point
ε_k	error at the k th iteration, $\varepsilon_k = x_k - z$

2. Koçak's accelerator

This method [2–4] accelerates a given g by generating and solving a secondary solver g_K through the fixed-point preserving transformation

$$g_K = x + G(g - x) = (g - mx)/(1 - m), \quad G = 1/(1 - m), \quad m = 1 - 1/G, \quad (3)$$

where G is a gain and m is a straight line slope. Thus, g_K is both partial substitution (g_{ps}) and piecewise linearisation (g_{pl}) that is $g_K \equiv g_{ps} \equiv g_{pl}$. (A straight line of slope m joins (x, g) to (g_{pl}, g_{pl}) .) The second version of Koçak's accelerator [3,4] has three cases:

- (a) If $n = 1$, then $n_K = 3$, $c_K = g_K'''(z)/3!$, and $g_K'''(z) = -0.5g'''(z)/(1 - g'(z))$.
- (b) If $n = 2$, then $n_K = 3$, $c_K = g_K''(z)/3!$, and $g_K''(z) = -0.5g''(z)$.
- (c) If $n > 2$, then $n_K = n + 1$, $c_K = g_K^{(n+1)}(z)/(n + 1)!$, and $g_K^{(n+1)}(z) = -g^{(n+1)}(z)/n$.

Remark 1. The 'ideal' solver is $g_{id} = z$ which needs just one trial from *any* starting point. Albeit, z is unknown until the end! g_{id} can be harnessed in post priori analysis, comparative studies, and troubleshooting. *Regardless of the multiplicity of z* [2], the ideal slope is $m_{id} = (g_K - z)/(x_K - z) = \varepsilon_{k+1}/\varepsilon_k$. On the other hand, according to the mean value theorem for derivatives,

$$(g - z)/(x - z) = (g - g(z))/(x - z) = g'(x_i), \quad x_i \in (x, z).$$

(Note that this does not necessarily mean $g'(x_i) \in (x, z)$!)

In line with (3), the set of requirements for n_K to exceed 1, is $g_K^{(i)}(z) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_K - 1$. It has been previously shown [2–4] that an equivalent set of *end-point* conditions is

$$m^{(i-1)}(z) = g^{(i)}(z)/i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n_K - 1, \quad m^{(0)}(z) = m(z) = g'(z). \quad (4)$$

There is plenty room to tune m subject to (4).

Remark 2. If $m = g'(z)$, then $m(z) = g'(z)$ and so $n_K = 2$.

Remark 3. If $m = g'$, then $m'(z) = g''(z)$, $m''(z) = g'''(z)$, and so on. In the light of (4), $n_K = 2$ only because $m'(z) \neq g''(z)/2$ unless $g''(z) = 0$. The application is equivalent [2] in this case to utilising Newton's method (g_N) solving a secondary function $g - x = 0$. Indeed,

$$g_N = x - (g - x)/(g' - 1) = (g - mx)/(1 - m), \quad m = g'.$$

Remark 4. If $m = (g' + g'(z))/2$, then $m(z) = g'(z)$, $m'(z) = g''(z)/2$, $m''(z) = g'''(z)/2$, and so on. As a result, $n_K = 3$ since $m''(z) \neq g'''(z)/3$ unless $g'''(z) = 0$.

Remark 5. Let

$$m = wg' + (1 - w)g'(z) = g'(z) + w(g' - g'(z)), \quad (5)$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4629272>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4629272>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)