



Asymptotic ruin probability of a renewal risk model with dependent by-claims and stochastic returns

Ke-Ang Fu*, Huijie Li

School of Statistics and Mathematics, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou 310018, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 31 August 2015

Received in revised form 13 March 2016

MSC:

primary 62P05

secondary 62E20

60F10

Keywords:

By-claim

Dominatedly varying tail

Investment return

Quasi-asymptotic independence

Ruin probability

ABSTRACT

Consider a nonstandard renewal risk model, in which every main claim induces a delayed by-claim. Suppose that the surplus is invested to a portfolio of one risk-free asset and one risky asset, and the main claim sizes with by-claim sizes form a sequence of pairwise quasi-asymptotically independent random variables with dominatedly varying tails. Under this setting, asymptotic behavior of the ruin probability of this renewal risk model is investigated, by establishing a weakly asymptotic formula, as the initial surplus tends to infinity. Some numerical results are also presented to illustrate the accuracy of our asymptotic formulae.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Model and introduction

Consider a nonstandard risk model, in which every main claim may be accompanied with another type of claim, called a by-claim. The time of occurrence of a by-claim is later than that of its main claim, and the time of delay for a by-claim is random. This kind of risk model may be of practical use. For instance, a serious motor accident causes different kinds of claims, such as car damage, injury and death; some can be dealt with immediately while others need a random period of time to be settled.

Let the main claim sizes X_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and the by-claim sizes Y_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, both form a sequence of nonnegative and identically distributed random variables with common distribution functions F and G , respectively. Assume that the main claims arrive according to a renewal counting process $\{N(t); t \geq 0\}$ with independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) interarrival times θ_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and the renewal function $\lambda_t = EN(t)$. Denote by $\tau_i = \sum_{k=1}^i \theta_k$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, the main claim arrival times of $\{N(t); t \geq 0\}$. Let D_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, be the corresponding delay times of the by-claims, which form a sequence of nonnegative, but possibly degenerated at 0, and identically distributed random variables with common distribution function H .

Suppose that an insurance company commence at time 0 with initial wealth $x \geq 0$, and then the cash flow of premiums less claims is modeled as a compound renewal process with the form

$$C_t = ct - \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} (X_i - Y_i I(\tau_i + D_i \leq t)), \quad t \geq 0,$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: fukeyang@hotmail.com (K.-A. Fu).

where $c \geq 0$ is a fixed rate of premium payment. It is obvious that the incorporation of the aggregate by-claims process complicates the analysis of surplus process, and has been studied by many scholars; see e.g. [4,16,23–25,27,28], among others.

Due to the insurance company is allowed to invest its surplus into a portfolio consisting of risk-free and risky assets, we assume that the insurance company invests its reserve into a bond with a constant interest rate $\delta > 0$, and some stock, modeled by an exponential Lévy process. Their price processes are described as follows:

$$R_0(t) = e^{\delta t} \quad \text{and} \quad R_1(t) = e^{L(t)}, \quad t > 0,$$

with $R_0(0) = 1$ and $R_1(0) = 1$, where $\{L(t); t \geq 0\}$ is a Lévy process which starts with 0, has independent and stationary increments, and is almost surely right continuous with Lévy triplet (γ, σ^2, ρ) , where $-\infty < \gamma < \infty$, $\sigma \geq 0$, are two constants and ρ is a Lévy measure on $(-\infty, \infty)$ satisfying $\rho(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x^2 \wedge 1) \rho(dx) < \infty$. For the general theory of Lévy process, see [1,8,20]. Note that it is impossible for an insurance company to put all of its surplus to the risky assets, and thus it is often supposed that the insurance company invests a constant fraction $r \in [0, 1]$ of the surplus in the risky assets and keeps the remaining surplus in the risk-free asset at each point of time. This is the so-called constant investment strategy and commonly used in mathematical finance and actuarial science; see e.g. [10,11,18,19], among many others.

With the constant fraction $r \in [0, 1]$, the portfolio investment return process is defined to be the solution of the following stochastic differential equation:

$$dR_r(t) = R_r(t-)d\hat{L}_r(t), \quad t > 0, \quad R_r(0) = 1, \quad (1.1)$$

where $d\hat{L}_r(t) = (1-r)\delta dt + r d\hat{L}(t)$ and $\hat{L}(t)$ satisfies $dR_1(t) = R_1(t-)d\hat{L}(t)$. By Proposition 8.22 in [8], Eq. (1.1) admits the solution

$$R_r(t) = e^{L_r(t)}, \quad t > 0, \quad R_r(0) = 1,$$

where

$$L_r(t) = \hat{L}_r(t) - \frac{1}{2}[\hat{L}_r, \hat{L}_r]_t + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \left(\log(1 + \Delta \hat{L}_r(s)) - \Delta \hat{L}_r(s) + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta \hat{L}_r(s))^2 \right)$$

with $\Delta \hat{L}_r(s) = \hat{L}_r(s) - \hat{L}_r(s-)$ and $[\hat{L}_r, \hat{L}_r]_t$ being the quadratic variation process of \hat{L}_r . By Lemma 2.5 of Emmer and Klüppelberg [10], $\{L_r(t); t \geq 0\}$ is also a Lévy process with characteristic triplet $(\gamma_r, \sigma_r^2, \rho_r)$, specified by the original Lévy process $L(t)$ as follows:

$$\gamma_r = \gamma r + (1-r)(\delta + r\sigma^2/2) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\log(1 + r(e^x - 1)) I(|\log(1 + r(e^x - 1))| \leq 1) - rx I(|x| \leq 1) \right) \rho(dx),$$

$\sigma_r^2 = r^2 \sigma^2$ and $\rho_r(A) = \rho\left(\left\{-\infty < x < \infty : \log(1 + r(e^x - 1)) \in A\right\}\right)$ for any Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ (here and in the sequel, $I(A)$ denotes the indicator function of the set A). Define the Laplace exponent of $\{L_r(t); t \geq 0\}$ as

$$\phi_r(z) = \log E e^{-z L_r(1)}, \quad z \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

If $\phi_r(z)$ is finite, then

$$E e^{-z L_r(t)} = e^{t \phi_r(z)} < \infty, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and it is easy to verify that $\phi_r(z)$ is convex in z (see [8,20] for details).

Following the method used by Klüppelberg and Kostadinova [15], we define the integrated risk process as the result of the insurance business and the net gains of the investment, that is, the solution to the stochastic differential equation:

$$dU_r(t) = dC_t + U_r(t-)d\hat{L}_r(t), \quad t > 0, \quad U_r(0) = x, \quad (1.2)$$

where x is the initial capital. Due to the assumption that the insurance and the investment processes are independent, it follows from Lemma 2.2 of Klüppelberg and Kostadinova [15] that, the solution to (1.2), i.e., the surplus process of the insurance company, is

$$U_r(t) = e^{L_r(t)} \left(x + \int_0^t e^{-L_r(s)} dC_s \right). \quad (1.3)$$

Particularly, when we take $r = 0$, model (1.3) reduces to

$$U_0(t) = x e^{\delta t} + c \int_0^t e^{\delta(t-s)} ds - \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} \left(X_i e^{\delta(t-\tau_i)} - Y_i e^{\delta(t-\tau_i-D_i)} I(\tau_i + D_i \leq t) \right), \quad (1.4)$$

which is called by-claim risk model with a constant interest rate.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4638034>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4638034>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)