



# Affine embeddings and intersections of Cantor sets



De-Jun Feng<sup>a,\*</sup>, Wen Huang<sup>b</sup>, Hui Rao<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, PR China

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430070, PR China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 6 August 2013

Available online 19 March 2014

### MSC:

37D35

37C45

28A75

### Keywords:

$C^1$ -embedding

Affine embedding

Self-similar sets

Central Cantor sets

## ABSTRACT

Let  $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be two self-similar sets. Under mild conditions, we show that  $F$  can be  $C^1$ -embedded into  $E$  if and only if it can be affinely embedded into  $E$ ; furthermore if  $F$  cannot be affinely embedded into  $E$ , then the Hausdorff dimension of the intersection  $E \cap f(F)$  is strictly less than that of  $F$  for any  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Under certain circumstances, we prove the logarithmic commensurability between the contraction ratios of  $E$  and  $F$  if  $F$  can be affinely embedded into  $E$ . As an application, we show that  $\dim_H E \cap f(F) < \min\{\dim_H E, \dim_H F\}$  when  $E$  is any Cantor- $p$  set and  $F$  any Cantor- $q$  set, where  $p, q \geq 2$  are two integers with  $\log p / \log q \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . This is related to a conjecture of Furstenberg about the intersections of Cantor sets.

© 2014 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Soit  $E$  et  $F$  deux ensembles auto-similaires dans  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Sous des hypothèses raisonnables, on montre qu'il existe un plongement  $C^1$  de  $F$  dans  $E$  si et seulement s'il existe un tel plongement affine; de plus, s'il n'existe pas de plongement affine de  $F$  dans  $E$ , alors pour tout difféomorphisme  $C^1$  de  $\mathbb{R}^d$  la dimension de Hausdorff de l'intersection  $E \cap f(F)$  est strictement inférieure à celle de  $F$ . Dans certains cas, on montre que les logarithmes des facteurs de contraction de  $E$  et  $F$  sont commensurables lorsqu'il existe un plongement affine de  $F$  dans  $E$ . En application, on montre que  $\dim_H E \cap f(F) < \min\{\dim_H E, \dim_H F\}$  quand  $E$  est un  $p$ -ensemble de Cantor et  $F$  est un  $q$ -ensemble de Cantor, où  $p$  et  $q$  sont des nombres entiers  $\geq 2$  tels que  $\log p / \log q \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Ceci est relié à une conjecture de Furstenberg sur les intersections d'ensembles de Cantor.

© 2014 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: djfeng@math.cuhk.edu.hk (D.-J. Feng), wenh@mail.ustc.edu.cn (W. Huang), hrao@mail.cnu.edu.cn (H. Rao).

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A, B$  be two subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that  $A$  can be *affinely embedded* into  $B$  if  $f(A) \subseteq B$  for some affine map  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  of the form  $f(x) = Mx + a$ , where  $M$  is an invertible  $d \times d$  matrix and  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Similarly, we say that  $A$  can be  $C^1$ -embedded into  $B$  if  $f(A) \subseteq B$  for some  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

The objective of this paper is to study the relation between  $C^1$ -embeddings and affine embeddings for self-similar sets, and to study the necessary conditions under which one self-similar set can be affinely embedded or  $C^1$ -embedded into another self-similar set. These questions are motivated from some studies in related areas, including the classification of self-similar subsets of Cantor sets [6,7], the characterization of Lipschitz equivalence and Lipschitz embedding of Cantor sets [5,2], as well as the study of intersections of Cantor sets [8,3] and the geometric rigidity of  $\times m$  invariant measures [10].

Before stating our results, we recall some terminologies about self-similar sets. Let  $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^\ell$  be a finite family of contractive mappings on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Following Barnsley [1], we say that  $\Phi$  is an *iterated function system* (IFS) on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Hutchinson [13] showed that there is a unique non-empty compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , called the *attractor* of  $\Phi$ , such that

$$K = \bigcup_{i=1}^\ell \phi_i(K).$$

Correspondingly,  $\Phi$  is called a *generating IFS* of  $K$ . One notices that  $K$  is a singleton if and only if the mappings  $\phi_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , have the same fixed point. We say that  $\Phi$  satisfies the *open set condition* (OSC) if there exists a non-empty bounded open set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\phi_i(V)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , are pairwise disjoint subsets of  $V$ . Similarly, we say that  $\Phi$  satisfies the *strong separation condition* (SC) if  $\phi_i(K)$  are pairwise disjoint subsets of  $K$ . The SC always implies the OSC.

A mapping  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is called a *similitude* if  $\phi$  is of the form  $\phi(x) = \alpha R(x) + a$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $R$  is an orthogonal transformation and  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . When all maps in an IFS  $\Phi$  are similitudes, the attractor  $K$  of  $\Phi$  is called a *self-similar set*; in this case, the *self-similar dimension* of  $K$  is defined as the unique positive number  $s$  so that  $\sum_{i=1}^\ell \rho_i^s = 1$ , where  $\rho_i$  denotes the contraction ratio of  $\phi_i$ . It is well known [13] that  $\dim_H K = s$  if  $\Phi$  consists of similitudes and satisfies the OSC, here  $\dim_H$  denotes the Hausdorff dimension (cf. [4]); the condition of OSC can be further replaced by some significantly weaker separation condition in the case  $d = 1$  and  $s \leq 1$  [11].

In the remaining part of this section, we assume that  $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^\ell$  and  $\Psi = \{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^m$  are two families of contractive similitudes of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of the form

$$\phi_i(x) = \alpha_i R_i(x) + a_i, \quad \psi_j(x) = \beta_j O_j(x) + b_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $0 < \alpha_i, \beta_j < 1$ ,  $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $R_i, O_j$  are orthogonal transformations on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $E, F$  be the attractors of  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ , respectively. To avoid triviality, we always assume that  $E, F$  are not singletons in this paper.

For any real invertible  $d \times d$  matrix  $M$ , let  $\kappa(M)$  denote the *condition number* of  $M$ , that is,

$$\kappa(M) = \max \left\{ \frac{|Mu|}{|Mv|} : u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with } |u| = |v| = 1 \right\}.$$

The first result of this paper is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $\Phi$  satisfies the OSC, and the Hausdorff dimension of  $F$  equals its self-similar dimension. Then  $F$  can be  $C^1$ -embedded into  $E$  if and only if  $F$  can be affinely embedded into  $E$ . Furthermore if  $F$  cannot be affinely embedded into  $E$ , then*

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4643836>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4643836>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)