Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Discrete Mathematics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

## Triangle-free graphs with the maximum number of cycles



University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, R3T 2N2

#### ARTICLE INFO

### ABSTRACT

triangle-free graph is given.

Article history: Received 11 February 2015 Received in revised form 26 September 2015 Accepted 5 October 2015 Available online 11 November 2015

Keywords: Maximum number of cycles Cycle-maximal Triangle-free Hamiltonian cycles

#### 1. Introduction

All graphs in this paper are simple and undirected.

In a recent article [14], the maximum number of cycles in a triangle-free graph was considered. It was asked which triangle-free graphs contain the maximum number of cycles; this question arose from the study of path-finding algorithms [10]. The same authors posed the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1** (Durocher–Gunderson–Li–Skala, 2014 [14]). For each  $n \ge 4$ , the balanced complete bipartite graph  $K_{\lceil n/2 \rceil, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  contains more cycles than any other n-vertex triangle-free graph.

The authors [14] confirmed Conjecture 1 when  $4 \le n \le 13$ , and made progress toward this conjecture in general. For example, they showed the conjecture to be true when restricted to "nearly regular graphs", that is, for each positive integer k and sufficiently large n,  $K_{\lceil n/2 \rceil, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  has more cycles than any other triangle-free graph on n vertices whose minimum degree and maximum degree differ by at most k.

In Theorems 5.1 and 5.2, it is shown that Conjecture 1 holds true for  $n \ge 141$ . Theorem 3.4 gives a useful estimate for the number of cycles in  $K_{\lceil n/2 \rceil, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ . In Lemma 4.3, an upper bound is given for the number of Hamiltonian cycles in a triangle-free graph.

Even though Conjecture 1 arose from a very specific problem in computing, it can be considered as a significant problem in two aspects of graph theory: counting cycles in graphs, and the structure of triangle-free graphs. In recent decades, bounds have been proved for the maximum number of cycles in various classes of graphs. Some of these classes include complete graphs [21]; planar graphs [4,5,11]; outerplanar graphs and series-parallel graphs [13]; graphs with large maximum degree without a specified odd cycle [8]; graphs with specified minimum degree [35]; graphs with a specified cyclomatic number or number of edges [2,23,15,19] (see also [25, Ch4, Ch10]); cubic graphs [3,12]; graphs with fixed girth [27];, *k*-connected

\* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: armana@cc.umanitoba.ca (A. Arman), David.Gunderson@umanitoba.ca (D.S. Gunderson), tsaturis@cc.umanitoba.ca (S. Tsaturian).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2015.10.008 0012-365X/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.









of cycles in  $K_{\lceil n/2 \rceil, \lceil n/2 \rceil}$ . Also, an upper bound for the number of Hamiltonian cycles in a

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

graphs [24]; Hamiltonian graphs [28,31,35]; Hamiltonian graphs with a fixed number of edges [20]; 2-factors of the de Bruijn graph [17]; graphs with a cut-vertex [35]; complements of trees [22,29,36]; and random graphs [34]. In some cases, the structure of the extremal graphs are also found (see, *e.g.*, [8,28]).

In 1973, Erdős, Kleitman, and Rothschild [16] showed that for  $r \ge 3$ , as  $n \to \infty$ , the number of  $K_r$ -free graphs on n vertices is

 $2^{(1-\frac{1}{r-1}+o(1))\binom{n}{2}}$ .

As a consequence, the number of triangle-free graphs is very close to the number of bipartite graphs, and so almost all triangle-free graphs are bipartite. By Mantel's theorem [26], among graphs on *n* vertices, the triangle-free graph with the most number of edges is the balanced complete bipartite graph  $K_{[n/2], [n/2]}$ .

Since  $K_{\lceil n/2 \rceil, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  is the triangle-free graph on *n* vertices with the most number of edges, and nearly all triangle-free graphs are bipartite, Conjecture 1 might seem reasonable, even though  $K_{\lceil n/2 \rceil, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  contains no odd cycles.

#### 2. Notation and approximations used

A graph *G* is an ordered pair G = (V, E) = (V(G), E(G)), where *V* is a nonempty set and *E* is a set of unordered pairs from *V*. Elements of *V* are called vertices and elements of *E* are called edges. Under this definition, graphs are simple, that is, there are no loops nor multiple edges.

An edge  $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$  is denoted by simply xy. The neighborhood of any vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is  $N_G(x) = \{y \in V(G) : xy \in E(G)\}$ , and the degree of x is  $\deg_G(x) = |N(x)|$ . When it is clear what G is, subscripts are deleted, using only N(x) and  $\deg(x)$ . The minimum degree of vertices in a graph G is denoted by  $\delta(G)$ , and the maximum degree is denoted  $\Delta(G)$ . If  $Y \subset V(G)$ , the subgraph of G induced by Y is denoted G[Y].

A graph G = (V, E) is called bipartite iff there is a partition  $V = A \cup B$  so that  $E \subset \{\{x, y\} : x \in A, y \in B\}$ ; if  $E = \{\{x, y\} : x \in A, y \in B\}$ , then G is called the complete bipartite graph on partite sets A and B, denoted  $G = K_{[A], [B]}$ . The balanced complete bipartite graph on n vertices is  $K_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \lceil n/2 \rceil}$ . A cycle on m vertices is denoted  $C_m$ . The complement of a graph G is denoted  $\overline{G}$ . For any graph G, let c(G) denote the number of cycles in G.

The number e is the base of the natural logarithm. The Stirling's approximation formula says that as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$n! = (1 + o(1))\sqrt{2\pi n}(n/e)^n.$$
(1)

In 1955, Robbins [30] proved that

$$\sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n e^{\frac{1}{12n+1}} < n! < \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n e^{\frac{1}{12n}}.$$

Slightly more convenient bounds (valid for all  $n \ge 1$ ) are freely used in this paper (*e.g.*, in the proof of Theorem 3.4).

$$\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n < n! \le e \cdot \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Two modified Bessel functions (see, e.g., [1]) are used:

$$I_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2k}}{2^{2k}(i!)^2};$$
(3)

$$I_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2k+1}}{2^{2k+1}i!(i+1)!}.$$
(4)

In particular, when x = 2 is used in either modified Bessel function, useful approximations are obtained:

$$2.27958 \le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(i!)^2} = I_0(2) \le 2.279586;$$
(5)

$$1.5906 \le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{i}{(i!)^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!(k+1)!} = I_1(2) \le 1.59064.$$
(6)

#### 3. Preliminaries

The following shows that among all bipartite graphs, the balanced one has the most cycles.

**Lemma 3.1** ([14]). For  $n \ge 4$ , among all bipartite graphs on n vertices,  $K_{\lceil n/2 \rceil, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  has the greatest number of cycles; that is,  $K_{\lceil n/2 \rceil, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  is the unique cycle-maximal bipartite graph on n vertices.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4646668

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4646668

Daneshyari.com