



# Maximum uniformly resolvable decompositions of $K_v$ and $K_v - I$ into 3-stars and 3-cycles



Selda Küçükçifçi<sup>a,\*</sup>, Salvatore Milici<sup>b</sup>, Zsolt Tuza<sup>c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Koç University, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>b</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

<sup>c</sup> Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>d</sup> Department of Computer Science and Systems Technology, University of Pannonia, Veszprém, Hungary

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 28 October 2013

Accepted 19 May 2014

Available online 14 June 2014

### Keywords:

Resolvable graph decomposition

Uniform resolutions

Paths

3-stars

## ABSTRACT

Let  $K_v$  denote the complete graph of order  $v$  and  $K_v - I$  denote  $K_v$  minus a 1-factor. In this article we investigate uniformly resolvable decompositions of  $K_v$  and  $K_v - I$  into  $r$  classes containing only copies of 3-stars and  $s$  classes containing only copies of 3-cycles. We completely determine the spectrum in the case where the number of resolution classes of 3-stars is maximum.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Given a collection of graphs  $\mathcal{H}$ , an  $\mathcal{H}$ -decomposition of a graph  $G$  is a decomposition of the edges of  $G$  into isomorphic copies of graphs from  $\mathcal{H}$ , the copies of  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  in the decomposition are called *blocks*. Such a decomposition is called *resolvable* if it is possible to partition the blocks into *classes*  $\mathcal{P}_i$  such that every point of  $G$  appears exactly once in some block of each  $\mathcal{P}_i$ .

A resolvable  $\mathcal{H}$ -decomposition of  $G$  is sometimes also referred to as an  $\mathcal{H}$ -factorization of  $G$ , a class can be called a  $\mathcal{H}$ -factor of  $G$ . The case where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a single edge ( $K_2$ ) is known as a 1-factorization of  $G$  and it is well known to exist for  $G = K_v$  if and only if  $v$  is even. A single class of a 1-factorization, a pairing of all points, is also known as a 1-factor or a *perfect matching*.

In many cases we wish to impose further constraints on the classes of an  $\mathcal{H}$ -decomposition. For example, a class is called *uniform* if every block of the class is isomorphic to the same graph from  $\mathcal{H}$ . Of particular note is the result of Rees [11] which finds necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of uniform  $\{K_2, K_3\}$ -decompositions of  $K_v$ . Uniformly resolvable decompositions of  $K_v$  have also been studied in [3,6,7,9,10,13,14]. Moreover, recently Dinitz, Ling and Danziger [4] have solved the question of the existence of a uniformly resolvable decomposition of  $K_v$  into  $r$  classes of  $K_2$  and  $s$  classes of  $K_4$  in the case in which the number  $s$  of  $K_4$ -factors is maximum.

### 1.1. Definitions and notation

For any four vertices  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$ , let the 3-star,  $K_{1,3}$ , be the simple graph with the vertex set  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$  and the edge set  $\{\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_1, a_3\}, \{a_1, a_4\}\}$ . In what follows, we will denote it by  $(a_1; a_2, a_3, a_4)$ .

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [skucukcifci@ku.edu.tr](mailto:skucukcifci@ku.edu.tr) (S. Küçükçifçi), [milici@dm.unict.it](mailto:milici@dm.unict.it) (S. Milici), [tuza@dcs.uni-pannon.hu](mailto:tuza@dcs.uni-pannon.hu) (Z. Tuza).

Let  $K_{m(n)}$  denote the complete multipartite graph with  $m$  parts each of size  $n$ , that is,  $K_{m(n)}$  has the vertex set  $\{\bigcup_{i=1}^m X^i\}$  with  $|X^i| = n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $X^i \cap X^j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ , and the edge set  $\{\{u, v\} : u \in X^i, v \in X^j, 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}$ .

Let  $C_{m(n)}$  denote the graph with the vertex set  $\{\bigcup_{i=1}^m X^i\}$  with  $|X^i| = n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $X^i \cap X^j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ , and the edge set  $\{\{u, v\} : u \in X^i, v \in X^j, i - j \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \text{ or } j - i \equiv 1 \pmod{m}\}$ . For constructions below we shall also need the particular case  $|X^i| = 12$ . Then let  $X^i = \{x_h^i : h = 0, 1, \dots, 11\}$  and for each  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  let  $X_j^i = \{x_{3j}^i, x_{3j+1}^i, x_{3j+2}^i\}$ , so that  $X^i = \bigcup_{j=0}^3 X_j^i$ . Define, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $r, s \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , the following sets of 3-stars:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{r,s}^i &= \{X_r^i; X_s^{i+1}, X_{s+1}^{i+1}, X_{s+2}^{i+1}\} \\ &= \{\{x_{3r}^i; x_{3s}^{i+1}, x_{3s+1}^{i+1}, x_{3s+2}^{i+1}\}, \{x_{3r+1}^i; x_{3s+3}^{i+1}, x_{3s+4}^{i+1}, x_{3s+5}^{i+1}\}, \{x_{3r+2}^i; x_{3s+6}^{i+1}, x_{3s+7}^{i+1}, x_{3s+8}^{i+1}\}\} \end{aligned}$$

where superscript addition is meant modulo 12.

A resolvable  $\mathcal{H}$ -decomposition of  $K_{m(n)}$  is known as a *resolvable group divisible design  $\mathcal{H}$ -RGDD of type  $n^m$* , where the parts of size  $n$  are called the groups of the design. When  $\mathcal{H} = K_n$  we will call it an  $n$ -RGDD. We shall use the terms “point” and “vertex” as synonyms.

## 1.2. Our results

In this paper we study the existence of a uniformly resolvable decomposition of  $K_v$  and of  $K_v - I$ , having the following type:  
 $r$  classes containing only copies of 3-stars and  $s$  classes containing only copies of 3-cycles.

We will use the notation  $(K_{1,3}, K_3)$ -URD( $v; r, s$ ) for such a uniformly resolvable decomposition of  $K_v$  when  $v$  is odd, and for that of  $K_v - I$  when  $v$  is even. We will specify whether the system is a decomposition of  $K_v$  or of  $K_v - I$  only when it is not clear in the context whether  $v$  is odd or even. Further, we will use the notation  $(K_{1,3}, K_3)$ -URGDD( $r, s$ ) of  $K_{m(n)}(C_{m(n)})$  to denote a uniformly resolvable decomposition of  $K_{m(n)}(C_{m(n)})$  into  $r$  classes containing only copies of 3-stars and  $s$  classes containing only copies of 3-cycles. As  $r$  determines  $s$  if  $v$  is fixed, we will also use the simplified notation  $K_{1,3}$ -RGDD( $r$ ) for  $(K_{1,3}, K_3)$ -URGDD( $r, s$ ) when  $v$  is understood.

Determining the spectrum of triples  $(v, r, s)$  which admit a  $(K_{1,3}, K_3)$ -URD( $v; r, s$ ) appears to be a rather hard problem in general. Similar to the work in [4], here we concentrate on the extremal case in which the number of resolution classes of 3-stars is maximum. In particular, we will prove the following result in this paper:

**Main Theorem.** For each  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ , there exists a  $(K_{1,3}, K_3)$ -URD( $v; \frac{2(v-6)}{3}, 2$ ) of  $K_v - I$ .

## 2. Necessary conditions

In this section we will give necessary conditions for the existence of a uniformly resolvable decomposition of  $K_v$  and  $K_v - I$  into  $r$  classes of 3-stars and  $s$  classes of 3-cycles.

**Lemma 2.1.** A  $(K_{1,3}, K_3)$ -URD( $v; r, s$ ), with  $r > 0$  and  $s > 0$ , does not exist for any  $v \geq 4$  of  $K_v$ .

**Proof.** Assume that there exists a  $(K_{1,3}, K_3)$ -URD( $v; r, s$ )  $D$  of  $K_v$  with  $r > 0$  and  $s > 0$ . By resolvability it follows that  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ , say  $v = 12u$ . Counting the edges of  $K_v$  that appear in  $D$  we obtain

$$\frac{3rv}{4} + \frac{3sv}{3} = \frac{v(v-1)}{2}$$

and hence

$$3r + 4s = 2(v-1). \quad (1)$$

The equality (1) implies that  $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Let  $r = 2 + 4t$  and  $s = 1 + 3h$  with  $t, h \geq 0$ . Denote by  $B$  the set of the  $r$  parallel classes of 3-stars and by  $R$  the set of the  $s$  parallel classes of 3-cycles. Since the classes of  $R$  are regular of degree 2, we have that every vertex  $x$  of  $K_v$  is incident with  $2s$  edges in  $R$  and  $(12u-1) - (2+6h) = 12u-6h-3$  edges in  $B$ . Assume that the vertex  $x$  appears in  $a$  classes with degree 3 and in  $b$  classes with degree 1 in  $B$ . Since

$$a + b = 2 + 4t \quad \text{and} \quad 3a + b = 12u - 6h - 3,$$

it follows that

$$2a = 12u - 6h - 3 - 2 - 4t = 2(6u - 3h - 1 - 2t) - 3,$$

which is a contradiction, since  $2a$  cannot be odd.  $\square$

Given  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ , define

$$J(v) = \left\{ \left( 4x, \frac{v-2}{2} - 3x \right) : x = 1, \dots, \frac{v-6}{6} \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** If there exists a  $(K_{1,3}, K_3)$ -URD( $v; r, s$ ) of  $K_v - I$  with  $r > 0$  and  $s > 0$  then  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$  and  $(r, s) \in J(v)$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4646836>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4646836>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)