



Some spectral properties of cographs



A. Mohammadian^{a,*}, V. Trevisan^b

^a Departamento de Engenharia de Produção, Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica Celso Suckow da Fonseca (CEFET/RJ), CEP 20271-110, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

^b Instituto de Matemática, UFRGS, CEP 91509-900, Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 February 2015

Received in revised form 1 October 2015

Accepted 11 November 2015

Available online 17 December 2015

Keywords:

Cograph
Eigenvalue

ABSTRACT

A graph containing no path of length three as an induced subgraph is called a cograph. In this article, we give a recursive definition of cographs in terms of the vertex duplication and co-duplication operations. We then establish that no cographs have eigenvalues in the interval $(-1, 0)$, generalizing the same known result for threshold graphs. As a consequence, we present combinatorial descriptions for the multiplicities of 0 and -1 as eigenvalues of cographs. This provides a short proof of a known result.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Throughout this article, all graphs are assumed to be finite, undirected, and without loops or multiple edges. Let us first set some notation and terminology. Let G be a graph with the vertex set $\mathcal{V}(G)$ and the edge set $\mathcal{E}(G)$. The *adjacency matrix* of G , denoted by $\mathcal{A}(G)$, is a matrix whose entries are indexed by $\mathcal{V}(G) \times \mathcal{V}(G)$ and the (u, v) -entry is 1 if $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ and 0 otherwise. The eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ are considered as the eigenvalues of G . Since $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is a real symmetric matrix, all eigenvalues of G are real numbers. We denote the multiplicity of λ as an eigenvalue of G by $m(G; \lambda)$. For any vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}(G)$, let $\mathcal{N}_G(v) = \{x \mid \{v, x\} \in \mathcal{E}(G)\}$ and $\mathcal{N}_G[v] = \{v\} \cup \mathcal{N}_G(v)$. By *duplicating* (respectively, *co-duplicating*) a vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}(G)$, we mean adding to G a new vertex v' and joining v' to all vertices in $\mathcal{N}_G(v)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{N}_G[v]$). Two vertices $u, v \in \mathcal{V}(G)$ are called *twin* if $\mathcal{N}_G(u) = \mathcal{N}_G(v)$, and *co-twin* if $\mathcal{N}_G[u] = \mathcal{N}_G[v]$. A graph with no twin (respectively, co-twin) vertices is called *twin-free* (respectively, *co-twin-free*). We may define a relation \mathcal{R} (respectively, \mathcal{S}) on $\mathcal{V}(G)$ with $u \mathcal{R} v$ (respectively, $u \mathcal{S} v$) if and only if u and v are twin (respectively, co-twin) vertices. We may associate a graph $\mathcal{R}(G)$ to G whose vertex set consists of all equivalence classes of \mathcal{R} so that two vertices $[u]_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $[v]_{\mathcal{R}}$ of $\mathcal{R}(G)$ are adjacent if $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{E}(G)$. Similarly, we may also associate a graph $\mathcal{S}(G)$ to G whose vertex set consists of all equivalence classes of \mathcal{S} such that two vertices $[u]_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $[v]_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ are adjacent if $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{E}(G)$.

A graph without induced paths on four vertices is said to be a *cograph*. Cographs have arisen in many disparate areas of mathematics and have been independently rediscovered by various researchers. For two graphs G_1 and G_2 , the *disjoint union* of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 \cup G_2$, is the graph whose vertex set is a disjoint union of $\mathcal{V}(G_1)$ and $\mathcal{V}(G_2)$ and whose edge set is $\mathcal{E}(G_1) \cup \mathcal{E}(G_2)$, and, the *join* of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 \vee G_2$, is the graph whose vertex set is a disjoint union of $\mathcal{V}(G_1)$ and $\mathcal{V}(G_2)$ and whose edge set is $\mathcal{E}(G_1) \cup \mathcal{E}(G_2) \cup \{\{v_1, v_2\} \mid v_1 \in \mathcal{V}(G_1) \text{ and } v_2 \in \mathcal{V}(G_2)\}$. It is well known that the class of cographs can be defined recursively as follows:

- (i) The single-vertex graph is a cograph;
 - (ii) The disjoint union and the join of two cographs are cographs.
- (1)

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ali_m@ipm.ir (A. Mohammadian), trevisan@mat.ufrgs.br (V. Trevisan).

For this result and several other combinatorial characterizations of cographs, we refer the reader to see [5] and the references given therein.

In this article, we demonstrate that the class of cographs can also be defined recursively as follows: (i) the single-vertex graph is a cograph; (ii) the graphs resulting by duplicating and co-duplicating a vertex of a cograph are cographs. Using this definition, we establish for any cograph G that $m(G; 0) = |\mathcal{V}(G)| - |\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}(G))|$ if G has no isolated vertex, and $m(G; -1) = |\mathcal{V}(G)| - |\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{S}(G))|$. These results are established in [2,9] by employing rather complicated methods. The result about the multiplicity of 0 has been conjectured by Torsten Sillke and it is firstly proven in [7] by an algorithmic proof. Another variation of the result about the multiplicity of 0 can be found in [4].

It has been established in [6] that threshold graphs, which form a special subclass of cographs, have no eigenvalue in the interval $(-1, 0)$. We will extend this result to cographs by a simple manner. Note that any interval of the real line contains some eigenvalues of graphs, since, more generally, any root of a real-rooted monic polynomial with integer coefficients occurs as an eigenvalue of some tree [8]. It is finally noteworthy that 0 and -1 as the eigenvalues of graphs play critical roles in spectral graph theory. For example, it is known from [1] that the multiplicity of each real number, except 0 and -1 , in the eigenvalue spectrum of a connected graph G on $n \geq 5$ vertices is at most $n + \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{4}}$, while the upper bound for 0 and -1 are respectively $n - 2$ and $n - 1$.

2. The results

In our proof, we will apply the following theorem which is a consequence of Cauchy’s interlacing theorem [3, Corollary 2.5.2]. We label the eigenvalues of a graph G on n vertices in non-increasing order and denote them by $\lambda_1(G) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(G)$.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a graph and $v \in \mathcal{V}(G)$. Let $G - v$ be the graph resulting from G by removing v and all edges incident to v . Then $\lambda_{i+1}(G) \leq \lambda_i(G - v) \leq \lambda_i(G)$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, |\mathcal{V}(G)| - 1\}$.*

The following theorem, which is the main tool of our proofs, provides a new recursive definition of the class of cographs.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{C} be the class of graphs defined recursively as follows:*

- (i) *The single-vertex graph belongs to \mathcal{C} ;*
- (ii) *For any graph $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and any vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}(G)$, the graphs resulting from G by duplicating and co-duplicating v belong to \mathcal{C} .*

Then \mathcal{C} is precisely the class of cographs.

Proof. First, we prove that each cograph is contained in \mathcal{C} . By the definition of \mathcal{C} , the single-vertex graph belongs to \mathcal{C} . In view of the definition of cographs presented in (1), it is enough to show that \mathcal{C} is closed under the disjoint union and join operations. For simplicity, we denote the graph with the vertex set $\{v\}$ by \bullet^v . Let $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, there are two sequences of duplication or co-duplication operations $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r$ and $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_s$ such that $G_1 = \mathcal{A}_r \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{A}_1(\bullet^{v_1})$ and $G_2 = \mathcal{B}_s \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{B}_1(\bullet^{v_2})$. Hence, starting from \bullet^{v_1} and then duplicating and co-duplicating the vertex v_1 , we respectively get the graphs $\bullet^{v_1} \bullet^{v_2}$ and $\bullet^{v_1} \text{---} \bullet^{v_2}$. Letting $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{A}_r \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{B}_s \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{B}_1$, we have $G_1 \cup G_2 = \mathcal{T}(\bullet^{v_1} \bullet^{v_2})$ and $G_1 \vee G_2 = \mathcal{T}(\bullet^{v_1} \text{---} \bullet^{v_2})$. This shows that $G_1 \cup G_2, G_1 \vee G_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and it follows from (1) that \mathcal{C} must contain all cographs.

Next, we prove that each element of \mathcal{C} is a cograph. Suppose otherwise. Since the single-vertex graph is a cograph and in view of the definition of \mathcal{C} , there exists a cograph $H \in \mathcal{C}$ with a vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}(H)$ such that the graph G resulting from H by duplicating or co-duplicating v contains an induced path P with $|\mathcal{V}(P)| = 4$. Let $\{v'\} = \mathcal{V}(G) \setminus \mathcal{V}(H)$. Since H is cograph, we deduce that $v' \in \mathcal{V}(P)$. But then so does v , namely $v \in \mathcal{V}(P)$, since v is a duplicate or co-duplicate of v' . This is a contradiction, since P is an induced subgraph of G and P is both twin-free and co-twin-free. The proof of the theorem is now complete. \square

Example 3. The cograph depicted in Fig. 1 is obtained by the following steps: (1) Consider the single-vertex graph \bullet^{v_1} ; (2) Co-duplicate v_1 to get v_2 ; (3) Co-duplicate v_1 to get v_3 ; (4) Duplicate v_1 to get v_4 ; (5) Duplicate v_2 to get v_5 ; (6) Duplicate v_3 to get v_6 .

Lemma 4. *Let G be a graph and $v \in \mathcal{V}(G)$. Let G' and G'' be the graphs resulting from G by duplicating and co-duplicating v , respectively. Then $m(G'; 0) = m(G; 0) + 1$ and $m(G''; -1) = m(G; -1) + 1$.*

Proof. Let $\{v'\} = \mathcal{V}(G') \setminus \mathcal{V}(G)$ and $\{v''\} = \mathcal{V}(G'') \setminus \mathcal{V}(G)$. Since the rows and columns of $\mathcal{A}(G')$ corresponding to v and v' are the same, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{A}(G')) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{A}(G))$. Using this fact that the multiplicity of λ as an eigenvalue of an $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix \mathbf{M} is equal to $n - \text{rank}(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M})$, we conclude that $m(G'; 0) = m(G; 0) + 1$. Similarly, since the rows and columns of $\mathbf{I} + \mathcal{A}(G'')$ corresponding to v and v'' are the same, we find that $\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} + \mathcal{A}(G'')) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{I} + \mathcal{A}(G))$, implying $m(G''; -1) = m(G; -1) + 1$. \square

The next theorem states a result on the distribution of the eigenvalues of cographs.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4646864>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4646864>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)