Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Discrete Mathematics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

A cycle of length 5 with a *chordal*, i.e. an edge joining two non-adjacent vertices of the

cycle, is called a graph  $H_5$  or also an *House-graph*. In this paper, the spectrum of House-

systems nesting  $C_3$ -systems,  $C_4$ -systems,  $C_5$ -systems and together ( $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $C_5$ )-systems, of

all admissible indices are completely determined, without exceptions.

# Nesting *House*-designs

## Paola Bonacini, Mario Gionfriddo, Lucia Marino

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Catania, Italy

### ARTICLE INFO

## ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 11 December 2014 Received in revised form 17 November 2015 Accepted 18 November 2015 Available online 17 December 2015

Keywords: Graphs G-decomposizione Nestings

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\lambda K_v$  be the complete multigraph defined in a vertex-set X, |X| = v. Let G be a subgraph of  $\lambda K_v$ . A G-decomposition of  $\lambda K_v$ , of order v and index  $\lambda$ , is a pair  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a partition of the edge-set of  $\lambda K_v$  into subsets all of which yield subgraphs isomorphic to G. A G-decomposition of  $\lambda K_v$  is also called a G-design, of order v and index  $\lambda$ . The classes of the partition  $\mathcal{B}$  are said blocks. Important and interesting results about G-designs can be found in [5,10,12,13].

A cycle of length 5 with a *chordal*, i.e. an edge joining two not adjacent vertices of the cycle, will be called an *House-graph* and will be denoted by  $H_5$ . If  $H_5 = (X, E)$ , where  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  and  $E = \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{d, e\}, \{e, a\}, \{a, c\}\}$ , we will denote such a graph by [(a), b, (c), d, e].

Let  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  be  $H_5$ -design of order v and index  $\lambda$  or an  $H_5$ -decomposition of the complete multigraph  $\lambda K_v$ . When a graph  $H_5 = [(a), b, (c), d, e]$  is a block of  $\Sigma$  with *multiplicity n*, it will be indicated by  $[(a), b, (c), d, e]_{(n)}$ . Similar concepts and symbolism are given in [3].

We say that  $\Sigma$  is:

- (1)  $C_3$ -perfect if the family of all the  $C_3$ -cycles having edges  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\{b, c\}$ ,  $\{a, c\}$  generates a  $C_3$ -design  $\Sigma'$  of order v and index  $\mu$ ;

- (2)  $C_4$ -perfect, if the family of all the  $C_4$ -cycles having edges {a, c}, {c, d}, {d, e}, {e, a} generates a  $C_4$ -design  $\Sigma'$  of order v and index  $\sigma$ ;

- (3) *C*<sub>5</sub>-*perfect*, if the family of all the *C*<sub>5</sub>-cycles having edges {*a*, *b*}, {*b*, *c*}, {*c*, *d*} {*d*, *e*}, {*e*, *a*} generates a *C*<sub>5</sub>-design  $\Sigma'$  of order *v* and index  $\tau$ .

In the case (1), we say that  $\Sigma$  has indices ( $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ). Similarly, in (2) its indices are ( $\lambda$ ,  $\sigma$ ) and in (3) ( $\lambda$ ,  $\tau$ ). Similar definitions and symbolism is given in [1,2,6]. For *perfect G*-designs see also [8,11].

In every case, we say that  $\Sigma'$  is a system *nested* into  $\Sigma$ , and also that  $\Sigma$  is nesting  $\Sigma'$ .

We say that an  $H_5$ -design  $\Sigma$ , which is  $C_h$ -perfect, with indices  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , and  $C_k$ -perfect with indices  $(\lambda, \sigma)$ , for h, k = 3, 4, 5, has indices  $(\lambda, \mu, \sigma)$ , and we will say that it is a  $(C_h, C_k)$ -perfect. Similarly, if  $\Sigma$  of index  $\lambda$  is  $C_3$ -perfect of index  $\mu$ ,  $C_4$ -perfect of index  $\sigma$ , and also  $C_5$ -perfect of index  $\tau$ , we will say that  $\Sigma$  is  $(C_3, C_4, C_5)$ -perfect, of indices  $(\lambda, \mu, \sigma, \tau)$ .

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2015.11.014 0012-365X/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.







© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: bonacini@dmi.unict.it (P. Bonacini), gionfriddo@dmi.unict.it (M. Gionfriddo), lmarino@dmi.unict.it (L. Marino).

It is known [4] that:

**Theorem 1.1.** An  $H_5$ -design of order v exists if and only if  $v \equiv 0$ , or 1, or 4, or 9 (mod 12), v > 9, with the possible exception of v = 24.

Further, the spectrum of House-designs nesting  $C_4$ -systems, for every admissible indices, is determined in [3], where the authors proved that:

**Theorem 1.2.** There exists a C<sub>4</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of order v and indices (3, 2) if and only if  $v \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4),  $v \geq 5$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** There exists a  $C_4$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (6, 4) if and only if v > 5.

**Theorem 1.4.** There exists a  $C_4$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v, v > 5, and indices  $(\lambda, \mu)$  such that  $2\lambda = 3\mu$ .

In this paper we study the all possible nestings in House-systems, determining completely the spectrum in all the possible cases.

In what follows, to construct House-systems, we will use often the difference-method. This means that we fix as vertex-set  $X = Z_v$  and, defined a base-block [(a), b, (c), d, e], its translates will be all the blocks of type [(a+i), b+i, (c+i), d+i, e+i], for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ . For a given v, it will be  $D(v) = \{|x - y| : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_v, x \neq y\}$ .

#### 2. $C_3$ -perfect $H_5$ -designs of index (2, 1)

In this section, the spectrum of  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -designs of index (2, 1) is completely determined. We begin with the necessary conditions.

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , then:

(1)  $\lambda = 2\mu$ ;

(2)  $|\mathcal{B}| = \mu \frac{v(v-1)}{6};$ 

(3) for  $\mu = 1$ , it is  $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\Sigma = (X, B)$  be a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \mu)$ . If  $\Sigma' = (X, B')$  is the  $C_3$ -system nested in  $\Sigma$ , necessarily:  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$ . Since

 $|\mathcal{B}| = \lambda \frac{v(v-1)}{12}, |\mathcal{B}'| = \mu \frac{v(v-1)}{6}$ 

(1) and (2) follow easily. For (3), consider that  $\Sigma'$  is a Steiner triple system of index 1. 

Now we determine the spectrum of  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -designs of index (2, 1), examining at first the case v = 6h + 1 and after the case v = 6h + 3.

**Theorem 2.2.** For  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $\mu = 1$  and for every  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , v > 7, there exists a C<sub>3</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of order v and indices (2, 1).

**Proof.** Let  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , v > 7. We can consider the following cases:

(1)  $v \equiv 7 \pmod{18}$ ;

(2)  $v \equiv 13$ , (mod 18);

(3)  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{18}, v > 19$ .

(1) Let v = 7. It is:  $D(7) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Therefore, consider the block: B = [(0), 3, (1), 4, 6]. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of *B*, we can verify that  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_7, \mathcal{B})$  is an *H*<sub>5</sub>-design of order 7 and indices (2, 1). Further, since in *B* the differences  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  cover, exactly one time, the edges of the C<sub>3</sub>-cycle, it follows that  $\Sigma$  is C<sub>3</sub>-perfect.

Let v = 18k + 7, for  $k \ge 1$ . Since  $D = \{1, 2, \dots, 9k + 3\}$ , it is possible to define the following 3k + 1 base-blocks:

- $B_{1,h} = [(0), 8k + 2h + 4, (3h + 1), 3k + 2, 3h + 3], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k 1\}; \\B_{2,h} = [(0), 6k + h + 3, (3h + 2), 9k + 2, 3k + 3h + 2], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k 1\};$
- $B_{3,h} = [(0), 4k + 2h + 4, (3h + 3), 12k + 5, 6k + 3h + 4], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k-1\};$

 $B_4 = [(0), 7k + 3, (3k + 1), 9k + 3, 18k + 6].$ 

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of these base-blocks, we can verify that  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{18k+7}, \mathcal{B})$  is an  $H_5$ -design having indices (2, 1). Observe that, in the base-blocks, the differences  $1, 2, \ldots, 9k + 3$  cover, exactly one time, the edges of the  $C_3$ -cycles. Further, the number of base-blocks is 3k + 1 and every of them generates 18k + 7 translates. It follows that  $|\mathcal{B}| = (3k+1)(18k+7)$  and  $\Sigma$  is  $C_3$ -perfect.

(2) Let v = 13. It is:  $D = \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$ . Therefore, it is possible to define the two base-blocks:  $B_1 = [(0), 4, (1), 7, 3], B_2 = (1, 2)$ [(0), 7, (2), 4, 5]. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , we can verify that  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{13}, \mathcal{B})$  is an  $H_5$ -design having indices (2, 1). Further, since in  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  the differences {1, 3, 4} and {2, 5, 6} cover, exactly one time, respectively the edges of the two  $C_3$ -cycles, it follows that  $\Sigma$  is  $C_3$ -perfect.

Let v = 18k + 13, for  $k \ge 1$ . Since  $D = \{1, 2, \dots, 9k + 6\}$ , it is possible to define the following 3k + 2 base-blocks:  $B_{1,h} = [(0), 4k + 2h + 4, (3h + 1), 3k + 2, 3h + 3], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\};$  $B_{2,h} = [(0), 6k + h + 5, (3h + 2), 9k + 8, 3k + 3h + 5], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k-1\};$  $B_{3h} = [(0), 8k + 2h + 8, (3h + 3), 12k + 8, 6k + 3h + 7], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k-1\};$ 

1292

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4646868

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4646868

Daneshyari.com