



# On Laplacian energy of graphs



Kinkar Ch. Das, Seyed Ahmad Mojallal

Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 440-746, Republic of Korea

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 9 August 2013

Received in revised form 18 February 2014

Accepted 20 February 2014

Available online 5 March 2014

### Keywords:

Graph

Laplacian matrix

Laplacian eigenvalues

Laplacian energy

Laplacian-energy-like invariant

## ABSTRACT

Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Also let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n-1}, \mu_n = 0$  be the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix of graph  $G$ . The Laplacian energy of the graph  $G$  is defined as

$$LE = LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right|.$$

In this paper, we present some lower and upper bounds for  $LE$  of graph  $G$  in terms of  $n$ , the number of edges  $m$  and the maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Also we give a Nordhaus–Gaddum-type result for Laplacian energy of graphs. Moreover, we obtain a relation between Laplacian energy and Laplacian-energy-like invariant of graphs.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple graph with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and edge set  $E(G)$ ,  $|E(G)| = m$ . Let  $d_i$  be the degree of the vertex  $v_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The maximum vertex degree is denoted by  $\Delta$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}(G)$  be the  $(0, 1)$ -adjacency matrix of  $G$  and  $\mathbf{D}(G)$  be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees. The Laplacian matrix of  $G$  is  $\mathbf{L}(G) = \mathbf{D}(G) - \mathbf{A}(G)$ . This matrix has nonnegative eigenvalues  $n \geq \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n = 0$ . Denote by  $\text{Spec}(G) = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n\}$  the spectrum of  $\mathbf{L}(G)$ , i.e., the Laplacian spectrum of  $G$ . When more than one graph is under consideration, then we write  $\mu_i(G)$  instead of  $\mu_i$ .

As well known [31],

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 2m. \quad (1)$$

The motivation for Laplacian energy comes from graph energy [11,12,21]. The Laplacian energy of the graph  $G$  is defined as [26]

$$LE = LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right|. \quad (2)$$

For its basic properties, including various lower and upper bounds, see [2,33,34,36–38,41,42]. Laplacian graph energy is a broad measure of graph complexity. Song et al. [35] have introduced component-wise Laplacian graph energy, as a complexity measure useful to filter image description hierarchies.

E-mail addresses: [kinkardas2003@googlemail.com](mailto:kinkardas2003@googlemail.com), [kinkar@lycos.com](mailto:kinkar@lycos.com) (K.C. Das), [ahmad\\_mojallal@yahoo.com](mailto:ahmad_mojallal@yahoo.com) (S.A. Mojallal).

Let  $\sigma$  ( $1 \leq \sigma \leq n - 1$ ) be the largest integer such that

$$\mu_\sigma \geq \frac{2m}{n}. \quad (3)$$

Then from [9,17,18], we have

$$\begin{aligned} LE(G) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right| \\ &= 2S_\sigma(G) - \frac{4m\sigma}{n}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$S_\sigma(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} \mu_i.$$

For a subset  $W$  of  $V(G)$ , let  $G - W$  be the subgraph of  $G$  obtained by deleting the vertices of  $W$  and the edges incident with them. If  $W = \{v_i\}$ , then the subgraph  $G - W$  will be written as  $G - v_i$  for short. For any two nonadjacent vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  in graph  $G$ , we use  $G + v_i v_j$  to denote the graph obtained from adding a new edge  $v_i v_j$  to graph  $G$ . As usual,  $K_n$  and  $K_{1, n-1}$ , denote, respectively, the complete graph and the star on  $n$  vertices. For other undefined notations and terminology from graph theory, the readers are referred to [1].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a list of some previously known results. In Section 3, we present some lower and upper bounds on Laplacian energy  $LE(G)$  of graph  $G$ . In Section 4, we give Nordhaus–Gaddum-type result for Laplacian energy of graphs. In Section 5, we obtain a relation between Laplacian energy and Laplacian-energy-like invariant of graphs.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we shall list some previously known results that will be needed in the next two sections.

**Lemma 2.1** ([15,19]). *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two real symmetric matrices of size  $n$ . Then for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(A+B) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(A) + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(B).$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([3]). *Let  $G$  be a graph on vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then*

$$\mu_1(G) \leq \max_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} |N_G(v_i) \cup N_G(v_j)|, \quad (5)$$

where  $N_G(v_i)$  is the neighbor set of vertex  $v_i \in V(G)$  and  $|X|$  is the cardinality of the set  $X$ . This upper bound for  $\mu_1(G)$  does not exceed  $n$ .

**Lemma 2.3** ([31]). *Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices which has at least one edge. Then*

$$\mu_1 \geq \Delta + 1. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, if  $G$  is connected, then the equality holds in (6) if and only if  $\Delta = n - 1$ .

**Lemma 2.4** ([28]). *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ . Then  $\mu_1(G) \leq n$  with equality holding if and only if  $\bar{G}$  is disconnected, where  $\bar{G}$  is the complement of the graph  $G$ .*

**Lemma 2.5** ([16]). *Let  $G(\cong K_n)$  be a graph of order  $n$ . Then  $\mu_{n-1}(G) \leq \delta$ , where  $\delta$  is the minimum degree in  $G$ .*

**Lemma 2.6** ([31]). *Let  $G$  be a graph with Laplacian spectrum  $\{0 = \mu_n, \mu_{n-1}, \dots, \mu_2, \mu_1\}$ . Then the Laplacian spectrum of  $\bar{G}$  is  $\{0, n - \mu_1, n - \mu_2, \dots, n - \mu_{n-2}, n - \mu_{n-1}\}$ , where  $\bar{G}$  is the complement of the graph  $G$ .*

**Lemma 2.7** ([6]). *Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $n \geq 3$  vertices. Then  $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \dots = \mu_{n-1}$  if and only if  $G \cong K_n$  or  $G \cong K_{1, n-1}$  or  $G \cong K_{\Delta, \Delta}$ .*

**Lemma 2.8** ([5]). *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with a vertex subset  $V' = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  having the same set of neighbors  $\{v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, \dots, v_{k+N}\}$ , where  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_k, \dots, v_{k+N}, \dots, v_n\}$ . Also let  $E^+ = E \cup E'$ , where  $E' \subseteq V' \times V'$ . If  $G' = (V', E')$*

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4647204>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4647204>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)