Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Discrete Mathematics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

# Relating the annihilation number and the 2-domination number of a tree



### Wyatt J. Desormeaux<sup>a,\*</sup>, Michael A. Henning<sup>a</sup>, Douglas F. Rall<sup>b</sup>, Anders Yeo<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park, 2006, South Africa

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Furman University, Greenville, SC 29613, USA

<sup>c</sup> Engineering Systems and Design, Singapore University of Technology and Design, 20 Dover Drive Singapore, 138682, Singapore

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 June 2012 Received in revised form 23 November 2013 Accepted 26 November 2013 Available online 7 December 2013

Keywords: 2-domination 2-domination number Annihilation number

#### ABSTRACT

A set *S* of vertices in a graph *G* is a 2-dominating set if every vertex of *G* not in *S* is adjacent to at least two vertices in *S*. The 2-domination number  $\gamma_2(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a 2-dominating set in *G*. The annihilation number a(G) is the largest integer *k* such that the sum of the first *k* terms of the nondecreasing degree sequence of *G* is at most the number of edges in *G*. The conjecture-generating computer program, Graffiti.pc, conjectured that  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$  holds for every connected graph *G*. It is known that this conjecture is true when the minimum degree is at least 3. The conjecture remains unresolved for minimum degree 1 or 2. In this paper, we prove that the conjecture is indeed true when *G* is a tree, and we characterize the trees that achieve equality in the bound. It is known that if *T* is a tree on *n* vertices with  $n_1$  vertices of degree 1, then  $\gamma_2(T) \le (n + n_1)/2$ . As a consequence of our characterization, we also characterize trees *T* that achieve equality in this bound.  $\mathbb{O}$  2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study upper bounds on the 2-domination numbers of trees in terms of their annihilation numbers. For  $k \ge 1$ , a *k*-dominating set of a graph *G* is a set *S* of vertices in *G* such that every vertex outside *S* is adjacent to at least *k* vertices in *S*. Every graph *G* has a *k*-dominating set, since V(G) is such a set. The *k*-domination number of *G*, denoted by  $\gamma_k(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a *k*-dominating set of *G*. In particular, a 1-dominating set is a dominating set, and the 1-domination number  $\gamma_1(G)$  is the domination number  $\gamma(G)$ . A *k*-dominating set of *G* of cardinality  $\gamma_k(G)$  is called a  $\gamma_k$ -set of *G*. The concept of a *k*-dominating set was first introduced by Fink and Jacobson in 1985 [6] and is now well-studied in the literature. We refer the reader to the two books on domination by Haynes, Hedetniemi, and Slater [9,10], as well as to the excellent survey on *k*-domination in graphs by Chellali, Favaron, Hansberg, and Volkmann [2].

As explained in [11], the *annihilation number* of a graph was first introduced by Pepper in [12]. Originally it was defined in terms of a reduction process on the degree sequence similar to the Havel–Hakimi process (see [7,13]). In [12], Pepper showed an equivalent way to define the annihilation number, this is the version we will use in this work. The *annihilation number* of a graph *G*, denoted a(G), is the largest integer *k* such that the sum of the first *k* terms of the degree sequence of *G* arranged in nondecreasing order is at most the number of edges. That is if  $d_1, \ldots, d_n$  is the degree sequence of a graph *G* with *m* edges, where  $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ , then the annihilation number of *G* is the largest integer *k* such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k d_i \leq m$  or, equivalently, the largest integer *k* such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k d_i \leq \sum_{i=k+1}^n d_i$ .

\* Corresponding author.



E-mail addresses: wjdesormeaux@gmail.com (W.J. Desormeaux), mahenning@uj.ac.za (M.A. Henning), doug.rall@furman.edu (D.F. Rall), andersyeo@gmail.com (A. Yeo).

<sup>0012-365</sup>X/\$ – see front matter 0 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2013.11.020

16

The conjecture-generating computer program, Graffiti.pc, made the following conjecture relating the 2-domination number of a graph and its annihilation number.

**Conjecture 1** ([3]). If *G* is a connected graph with at least 2 vertices, then  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$ .

It is known that Conjecture 1 is true when the minimum degree is at least 3. Conjecture 1 is still unresolved when the minimum degree of *G* is 1 or 2. Proving the conjecture for trees may be the most interesting case. Our aim in this paper is threefold: first to prove that Conjecture 1 is indeed true for trees. Secondly to characterize the extremal trees achieving equality in the upper bound of Conjecture 1. Thirdly to characterize trees with the largest possible 2-domination number.

#### 1.1. Notation

In this paper, the word "graph" is used to denote a "simple graph" with no loops or multiple edges. For notation and graph theory terminology not defined herein, we in general follow [9]. We write V(G) and E(G) for the vertex set and edge set of a graph G. Usually, we use *n* for the number of vertices and *m* for the number of edges. We write  $N_G(v)$  and  $d_G(v)$  for the neighborhood and degree of a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . We extend the notion of neighborhood to sets by letting  $N_G(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ for any  $S \subseteq V(G)$ . If the graph *G* is clear from the context, we simply write N(v), N(S), and d(v) rather than  $N_G(v)$ ,  $N_G(S)$ , and  $d_G(v)$ , respectively. The minimum degree among the vertices of *G* is denoted by  $\delta(G)$ . The matching number is the maximum size of a matching in *G* and is denoted by  $\alpha'(G)$ . A vertex of degree 1 is called a *leaf*, its neighbor is a *support vertex*, and its incident edge is a *pendant edge*. We denote the set of leaves of a tree *T* by L(T). A *star* is a tree with at most one non-leaf vertex. The *corona* of a graph *G*, denoted  $G \circ K_1$ , is formed from *G* by adding for each  $v \in V(G)$ , a new vertex v' and the pendant edge vv'.

For a set  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , we let G[S] denote the subgraph induced by S. The graph obtained from G by deleting the vertices in S and all edges incident with vertices in S is denoted by G - S. In the special case when  $S = \{v\}$ , we also denote G - S by G - v for simplicity. For a set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  and  $v \in V(G)$ , we denote by  $d_S(v)$  the number of all vertices in S that are adjacent to v. In particular, when S = V(G), we note  $d_S(v) = d(v)$ . For a subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , we define

$$\Sigma(S,G) = \sum_{v \in S} d_G(v).$$

For a graph *G* with *m* edges, we define an *a*-set of *G* to be a (not necessarily unique) set *S* of vertices in *G* such that |S| = a(G) and  $\sum_{v \in S} d_G(v) \le m$ . We define an  $a_{\min}$ -set of *G* to be an *a*-set *S* of *G*, such that  $\Sigma(S, G)$  is a minimum. Hence if *S* is an  $a_{\min}$ -set of *G*, then *S* is a set of (not necessarily unique) vertices corresponding to the first a(G) vertices in the nondecreasing degree sequence of *G*.

In order to prove Conjecture 1 for trees, we introduce a slight variation of the annihilation number of a graph. We define the *upper annihilation number* of a graph *G*, denoted  $a^*(G)$ , to be the largest integer *k* such that the sum of the first *k* terms of the degree sequence of *G* arranged in nondecreasing order is at most |E(G)| + 1. That is if  $d_1, \ldots, d_n$  is the degree sequence of a graph *G* with *m* edges, where  $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ , then the upper annihilation number of *G* is the largest integer *k* such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i \leq m + 1$ . We define an  $a_{\min}^*$ -set of *G* to be a (not necessarily unique) set  $S^*$  of vertices in *G* such that  $|S^*| = a^*(G)$  and  $S^*$  corresponds to the first  $a^*(G)$  vertices in the nondecreasing degree sequence of *G*.

#### 1.2. Known results and observations

In their introductory paper on *k*-domination, Fink and Jacobson [6] established the following lower bound on the *k*-domination number of a tree.

**Theorem 1** ([6]). For  $k \ge 1$ , if T is a tree with n vertices, then  $\gamma_k(T) \ge ((k-1)n+1)/k$ .

As a special case of Theorem 1, if *T* is a tree with *n* vertices, then  $\gamma_2(T) \ge (n + 1)/2$ . The following upper bound on the 2-domination number of a tree was observed in several papers.

**Theorem 2** ([5,8,14]). If T is a tree with n vertices and  $n_1$  leaves, then  $\gamma_2(T) \leq (n + n_1)/2$ .

Caro and Roditty [1] and Strake and Volkmann [15] established the following upper bound on the *k*-domination number of a graph.

**Theorem 3** ([1,15]). For every graph G with n vertices and every integer  $k \ge 1$ , if  $\delta(G) \ge 2k - 1$ , then  $\gamma_k(G) \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .

In the special case when k = 2, the result of Theorem 3 states that if *G* is a graph with *n* vertices and  $\delta(G) \ge 3$ , then  $\gamma_2(G) \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ . Since  $\alpha'(G) \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  for any graph *G* with *n* vertices, this result was improved in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4** ([4]). Let k be a positive integer. If G is any graph with  $\delta(G) \ge 2k - 1$ , then  $\gamma_k(G) \le \alpha'(G)$ .

We remark that both Theorems 2 and 3 follow from a more general result in Hansberg et al. [8].

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4647400

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4647400

Daneshyari.com