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#### Abstract

In 1976, Gyárfás and Lehel conjectured that any trees $T_{2}, \ldots, T_{n}$ with 2 through $n$ vertices pack into $K_{n}$, the complete graph on $n$ vertices, that is, the trees $T_{2}, \ldots, T_{n}$ appear as edgedisjoint subgraphs of $K_{n}$. This conjecture is still unresolved.

We examine an analogous conjecture for packing trees into complete bipartite graphs. Let $T_{a, a}$ denote a tree whose partite sets both have size $a$, which we call a balanced tree. We conjecture that any trees $T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{n, n}$ pack into $K_{n, n}$, the complete bipartite graph on $2 n$ vertices.

We begin by establishing that if $a$ and $n$ are integers with $n \geq 3$ and $a<\lfloor\sqrt{7 / 18} n\rfloor$, then any balanced trees $T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{a, a}$ pack into $K_{n, n}$.

We also show that if any degree sequence for the first partite set is specified for each tree, then there exist balanced trees $T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{n, n}$ with these vertex degrees that pack into $K_{n, n}$.


© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The graphs $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ pack into a graph $H$ if $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ appear as edge-disjoint subgraphs of $H$. In 1976, Gyárfás and Lehel [6] conjectured that any trees $T_{2}, \ldots, T_{n}$ with 2 through $n$ vertices pack into $K_{n}$, the complete graph on $n$ vertices. This conjecture is still unresolved.

A number of partial results related to this conjecture have been shown. For example, Bollobás [1] showed that $T_{2}, \ldots, T_{r}$ pack into $K_{n}$ if $r \leq n / \sqrt{2}$. Later, Hobbs et al. [2] showed that any three trees $T_{n}, T_{n-1}, T_{n-2}$ pack into $K_{n}$.

In addition, variations on the original conjecture have been examined, including packings into complete bipartite graphs: several such variations are summarized in [5]. In [2], Hobbs et al. conjectured that $T_{2}, \ldots, T_{n}$ pack into the complete bipartite graph $K_{n-1,\lceil n / 2\rceil}$.

For positive integers $a$ and $b$, let $T_{a, b}$ denote a tree with a bipartition into sets of sizes $a$ and $b$. If $a=b$, then the sets of the bipartition have equal size; in this case we say that the tree is balanced.

Observe that $T_{a, a}$ has $2 a-1$ edges. The observation that $\sum_{a=1}^{n}(2 a-1)=n^{2}$, exactly the number of edges in the complete bipartite graph $K_{n, n}$, leads to the following conjecture, an analogue for bipartite graphs of the Gyárfás-Lehel conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. Any balanced trees $T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{n, n}$ pack into $K_{n, n}$.
For small trees, the conjecture is straightforward: up to isomorphism, there are only three balanced trees on six vertices and eight balanced trees on eight vertices. It can be quickly verified that Conjecture 1.1 holds for $n \leq 4$.

In Section 2 we prove the bipartite analogue of the result of Bollobás [1] concerning lists of small trees.
Theorem 1.2. If $a$ and $n$ are integers with $n \geq 3$ and $a<\lfloor\sqrt{7 / 18} n\rfloor$, then any balanced trees $T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{a, a}$ pack into $K_{n, n}$.

[^0]In Section 3 we prove that if any degree sequence for the first partite set is specified for each tree, then there exist balanced trees $T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{n, n}$ with these vertex degrees that pack into $K_{n, n}$.

Theorem 1.3. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given, for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, positive integers $a_{1}^{k}, \ldots, a_{k}^{k}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}^{k}=2 k-1$, there exist balanced trees $T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{n, n}$ such that

1. for each $k$, the vertices in the first partite set of $T_{k, k}$ have degrees $a_{1}^{k}, \ldots, a_{k}^{k}$;
2. the trees $T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{n, n}$ pack into $K_{n, n}$.

## 2. A lemma of Yuster and packing largest and smallest trees

We recall the following lemma due to Yuster [7].
Lemma 2.1 (Yuster). Let $H$ be a bipartite graph with partite sets $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ of sizes $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$, respectively, with $h_{1} \leq h_{2}$. Let $T$ be a tree whose partite sets have sizes $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. If $k_{1} \leq h_{1}, k_{2} \leq h_{2}$ and $|E(H)| \geq k_{2} h_{1}+k_{1} h_{2}+k_{1}+k_{2}-h_{1}-h_{2}-k_{1} k_{2}$, then $H$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $T$.

In Yuster's proof of this result, the subgraph isomorphic to $T$ has $k_{1}$ vertices in the partite set of size $h_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ vertices in the partite set of size $h_{2}$.

Applying Yuster's lemma in the case where $T$ is a balanced tree on $2 k$ vertices, the restriction becomes

$$
|E(H)| \geq\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right)(k-1)+2 k-k^{2} .
$$

The following is an immediate consequence.
Corollary 2.2. Let $H$ be a subgraph of $K_{n, n}$, and let $k \leq n$. If $|E(H)| \geq 2 n(k-1)+2 k-k^{2}$, then $H$ contains every balanced tree on $2 k$ vertices.

This has consequences for packings of balanced trees, as we shall see in the next section.
We are interested in packing balanced trees $T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{n, n}$ into $K_{n, n}$. We first consider what happens if we just start at the end of the list and start packing trees. Observe that any two balanced trees $T_{n, n}$ and $T_{n-1, n-1}$ pack into $K_{n, n}$ : in the biadjacency matrix of $K_{n, n}$, the edges of the tree $T_{n, n}$ can be placed on or below the main diagonal, and the edges of $T_{n-1, n-1}$ can be placed above the main diagonal.

If we hope to pack in more trees, then we cannot arbitrarily place $T_{n, n}$ in the lower triangle and $T_{n-1, n-1}$ in the upper triangle. Consider the double-star, the balanced tree with $2 n$ vertices having two vertices of degree $n$ and $2 n-2$ vertices of degree 1 :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
5 & 4 & \cdot & \cdot & 4 \\
\cdot & 5 & 4 & \cdot & 4 \\
\cdot & \cdot & 5 & 4 & 4 \\
5 & 5 & \cdot & 5 & 4 \\
\cdot & 5 & 5 & \cdot & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

Here we have two balanced trees, one with 10 vertices and the other with 8 , packed into $K_{5,5}$ in such a way that the double-star on six vertices will not fit. The double-star requires an open position for its central edge that has 2 other open positions in its row and in its column.

Not surprisingly, we can achieve somewhat more success by beginning with the smallest trees, in a manner modeled after Yuster's results for non-balanced trees [7].

Theorem 1.2. If $a$ and $n$ are integers with $n \geq 3$ and $a<\lfloor\sqrt{7 / 18} n\rfloor$, then any balanced trees $T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{a, a}$ pack into $K_{n, n}$.
Proof. Certainly $K_{n, n}$ contains a copy of the largest tree $T_{a, a}$. Assume that $T_{a, a}, T_{a-1, a-1}, \ldots, T_{k+1, k+1}$ have already been packed into $K_{n, n}$ for some $k$ with $1<k<a$. Let $H$ be the spanning subgraph of $K_{n, n}$ that contains all the edges not yet used in the packing. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E(H)| & =n^{2}-((2 a-1)+(2 a-3)+\cdots+(2 k-1)) \\
& =n^{2}-a^{2}+(k-1)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Corollary 2.2 , $H$ contains the next tree $T_{k, k}$ if $n^{2}-a^{2}+(k-1)^{2}>2 k-2 n+2 k n-k^{2}$; that is, if $n^{2}-a^{2}+2 k^{2}-4 k+$ $2 n-2 k n+1>0$. The function $f(k)=n^{2}-a^{2}+2 k^{2}-4 k+2 n-2 k n+1$ is minimized when $2 k=2+n$, at which point $f(k)=n^{2}-2 a^{2}-2$. Now, if $a<\lfloor\sqrt{7 / 18} n\rfloor$, then

$$
n^{2}-2 a^{2}-2>n^{2}-2(7 / 18) n^{2}-2=(2 / 9) n^{2}-2 .
$$

Since $n \geq 3$, we have $(2 / 9) n^{2}-2 \geq 0$, so $f(k)>0$, as required.
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