



Overlarge sets of resolvable idempotent quasigroups[☆]

Yanxun Chang^a, Giovanni Lo Faro^b, Antoinette Tripodi^b, Junling Zhou^{a,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, PR China

^b Department of Mathematics, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 September 2011

Received in revised form 5 January 2012

Accepted 9 January 2012

Available online 2 February 2012

Keywords:

Pairwise balanced design

Overlarge set

Idempotent

Quasigroup

Orthogonal

Transversal

ABSTRACT

An idempotent quasigroup (X, \circ) of order v is called resolvable (denoted by $\text{RIQ}(v)$) if the set of $v(v-1)$ non-idempotent 3-vectors $\{(a, b, a \circ b) : a, b \in X, a \neq b\}$ can be partitioned into $v-1$ disjoint transversals. An overlarge set of idempotent quasigroups of order v , briefly by $\text{OLIQ}(v)$, is a collection of $v+1$ $\text{IQ}(v)$ s, with all the non-idempotent 3-vectors partitioning all those on a $(v+1)$ -set. An $\text{OLRIQ}(v)$ is an $\text{OLIQ}(v)$ with each member $\text{IQ}(v)$ being resolvable. In this paper, it is established that there exists an $\text{OLRIQ}(v)$ for any positive integer $v \geq 3$, except for $v = 6$, and except possibly for $v \in \{10, 11, 14, 18, 19, 23, 26, 30, 51\}$. An $\text{OLIQ}^\circ(v)$ is another type of restricted $\text{OLIQ}(v)$ in which each member $\text{IQ}(v)$ has an idempotent orthogonal mate. It is shown that an $\text{OLIQ}^\circ(v)$ exists for any positive integer $v \geq 4$, except for $v = 6$, and except possibly for $v \in \{14, 15, 19, 23, 26, 27, 30\}$.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let X be a v -set on which a binary operation \circ is defined. The pair (X, \circ) is a *quasigroup of order v* if, for any two elements, $a, b \in X$, the two equations $a \circ x = b$ and $x \circ a = b$ have exactly one solution x each in X . A quasigroup (X, \circ) is *idempotent*, denoted by $\text{IQ}(v)$, if it satisfies $a \circ a = a$ for any $a \in X$.

Let P be a set of ordered pairs of X . P is called a *transversal* of a quasigroup (X, \circ) if $\{a : (a, b) \in P\} = \{b : (a, b) \in P\} = \{a \circ b : (a, b) \in P\} = X$. We also refer the transversal to $T = \{(a, b, a \circ b) : (a, b) \in P\}$. Two quasigroups (X, \circ) and (X, \cdot) are *orthogonal* if $\{(a \circ b, a \cdot b) : a, b \in X\} = X \times X$. Obviously a quasigroup of order v has an orthogonal mate if and only if it has a resolution of v disjoint transversals. For an $\text{IQ}(v)$, the diagonal cells form a transversal, which we call the *idempotent transversal*. If an $\text{IQ}(v)$ has a resolution $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{v-1}\}$, where T_0 is the idempotent transversal, then we say that the $\text{IQ}(v)$ is *resolvable* and denote it by $\text{RIQ}(v)$. There are other possible resolutions for an IQ . For instance, an $\text{IQ}(v)$ may have an idempotent orthogonal mate. To put it in other words, if the $\text{IQ}(v)$ is defined on $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{v-1}\}$, then it has a resolution $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{v-1}\}$ such that $(x_i, x_i, x_i) \in T_i$, $0 \leq i \leq v-1$. For convenience we denote such an $\text{IQ}(v)$ by $\text{IQ}^\circ(v)$.

Let (X, \circ) be an $\text{IQ}(v)$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{(a, b, a \circ b) : a, b \in X, a \neq b\}$. Then we represent the $\text{IQ}(v)$ as an *ordered design* of order v ($\text{OD}(v)$) (X, \mathcal{A}) . That is, we obtain a $v(v-1) \times 3$ array such that (1) each row has 3 distinct elements of X , and (2) each two columns contains each ordered pair of distinct elements of X precisely once. Conversely, an $\text{OD}(v)$ can be enlarged to an $\text{IQ}(v)$ by supplementing v idempotent rows.

[☆] Supported in part by NSFC grant Nos. 61071221, 11101026 (Y. Chang and J. Zhou) and by PRIN, PRA and INDAM (GNSAGA) (G. Lo Faro and A. Tripodi).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: yxchang@bjtu.edu.cn (Y. Chang), lofaro@unime.it (G. Lo Faro), atripodi@unime.it (A. Tripodi), jlzhou@bjtu.edu.cn (J. Zhou).

Two $\text{OD}(v)$ s defined on the same set are *disjoint* if they have no common 3-vectors. Let X be a set of $v + 1$ elements. If all the $(v + 1)v(v - 1)$ 3-vectors of distinct elements of X can be partitioned into $v + 1$ pairwise disjoint $\text{OD}(v)$ s $(X \setminus \{x\}, \mathcal{A}_x)$, $x \in X$, then the collection of $\{(X \setminus \{x\}, \mathcal{A}_x) : x \in X\}$ is called an *overlarge set* of ordered designs of order v . By supplementing v idempotent rows to each $\text{OD}(v)$ in the overlarge set, we obtain an overlarge set of idempotent quasigroups of order v , simply denoted by $\text{OLIQ}(v)$. The problem of OLIQ s was studied in [2,9,11] and an $\text{OLIQ}(v)$ exists if and only if $v \geq 3$ and $v \neq 6$. With the complete determination of the existence of an $\text{OLIQ}(v)$, it is worthwhile to consider OLIQ s with some restricted conditions. An overlarge set of resolvable $\text{IQ}(v)$ s, denoted by $\text{OLRIQ}(v)$, is an $\text{OLIQ}(v)$ with each member $\text{IQ}(v)$ resolvable. On the other hand, if each member in an $\text{OLIQ}(v)$ is an $\text{IQ}^\circ(v)$, then we denote the $\text{OLIQ}(v)$ by $\text{OLIQ}^\circ(v)$.

Let X be a v -set. A *pairwise balanced design* (PBD) of order v is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} is a family of subsets of X (called *blocks*) such that each unordered pair of X is contained in exactly one block of \mathcal{B} . A $\text{PBD}(v, K)$ denotes a PBD of order v with block sizes from the set K . A $\text{PBD}(v, \{3\})$ is a *Steiner triple system* of order v , denoted by $\text{STS}(v)$. Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be an $\text{STS}(v)$. If there exists a partition $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{(v-1)/2}\}$ of \mathcal{B} such that each part P_i forms a *parallel class*, i.e., a partition of X , then the $\text{STS}(v)$ is resolvable. A resolvable $\text{STS}(v)$ is usually called a *Kirkman triple system* of order v (briefly $\text{KTS}(v)$). It is well known that a $\text{KTS}(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ (see [8]).

A *large set* of $\text{STS}(v)$ s, denoted by $\text{LSTS}(v)$, is a partition of all triples on v points into $v - 2$ disjoint $\text{STS}(v)$ s. An overlarge set of $\text{STS}(v)$ s, denoted by $\text{OLSTS}(v)$, is a partition of all triples on $v + 1$ points into $v + 1$ disjoint $\text{STS}(v)$ s. A large (or overlarge) set of Kirkman triple systems of order v , denoted by $\text{LKTS}(v)$ (or $\text{OLKTS}(v)$), is an $\text{LSTS}(v)$ (or $\text{OLSTS}(v)$) where each member $\text{STS}(v)$ is a $\text{KTS}(v)$. An $\text{OLSTS}(v)$ exists for any $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ as the collection of $v + 1$ derived designs of a Steiner quadruple system of order $v + 1$ (for more details, see [4]). The existence problem of LSTS s was also completely solved, mainly by Lu [6,7] but also by Teirlinck [10]. However, the research on LKTS s and OLKTS s progressed at a slow pace; see for instance [12,13] for the latest progress. Subsequently, some research focuses on a few generalized analogues to LKTS s and OLKTS s; see [5] for large sets and overlarge sets of resolvable (oriented) triple systems, [14,16] for large sets of resolvable idempotent quasigroups. In this paper we investigate OLRIQ s and display an almost solution to the existence problem of OLRIQ s, for which there is little literature to the best of our knowledge. But observe that an $\text{OLKTS}(v)$ implies an $\text{OLRIQ}(v)$, which can be used to produce some preliminary results on OLRIQ s. OLIQ° s were investigated in [15], as an example of P3BD-closed sets, and then shown to exist for $v \geq 4$, $v \neq 6$, with a handful of possible exceptions. We also improve this result in the present paper. We only record the following result for later use.

Lemma 1.1 ([5,12]). *There exists an $\text{OLKTS}(v)$ and hence an $\text{OLRIQ}(v)$ for $v \in \{3, 9, 15, 27\}$.*

2. A PBD construction

This section exhibits a PBD construction for OLRIQ s.

We will use a restricted OLIQ with each member being an RIQ or IQ° . Let $|B| = k$, $\infty \notin B$, and $\{((B \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \{x\}, \mathcal{A}_x) : x \in B \cup \{\infty\}\}$ be an $\text{OLIQ}(k)$. If each \mathcal{A}_x , $x \in B$, is an $\text{RIQ}(k)$ and \mathcal{A}_∞ is an $\text{IQ}^\circ(k)$, then we denote the OLIQ by $\text{OLIQ}^\dagger(k)$.

Construction 2.1. Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be a $\text{PBD}(v, K)$ with $2, 3, 6 \notin K$ and $\infty \notin X$. For any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, if there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that, over $B \cup \{\infty\}$, an $\text{OLRIQ}(|B|)$ exists if $x_0 \in B$ and an $\text{OLIQ}^\dagger(|B|)$ exists if $x_0 \notin B$, then there exists an $\text{OLRIQ}(v)$ over $X \cup \{\infty\}$.

Proof. In the assumed $\text{PBD}(v, K)(X, \mathcal{B})$, let ab denote the unique block of \mathcal{B} containing both a and b , where a and b are two distinct elements of X . For any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, let $\{((B \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \{x\}, \mathcal{B}_B^x) : x \in B \cup \{\infty\}\}$ be an $\text{OLRIQ}(|B|)$ if $x_0 \in B$, or an $\text{OLIQ}^\dagger(|B|)$ if $x_0 \notin B$. So each $\text{IQ}(|B|) = ((B \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \{x\}, \mathcal{B}_B^x)$ has an orthogonal mate $((B \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \{x\}, \mathcal{A}_B^x)$. Furthermore, for any $x \in B$ and $a \in (B \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \{x\}$, $(a, a, \infty) \in \mathcal{A}_B^x$; and for any $a \in B$, $(a, a, x_0) \in \mathcal{A}_B^\infty$ if $x_0 \in B$, or $(a, a, a) \in \mathcal{A}_B^\infty$ if $x_0 \notin B$.

For any $x \in X$, define

$$\mathcal{B}_x = \left(\bigcup_{x \in B, B \in \mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{B}_B^x \setminus \{(\infty, \infty, \infty)\}) \right) \cup \{(\infty, \infty, \infty)\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}'_x = \left(\bigcup_{x \in B, B \in \mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{A}_B^x \setminus \{(\infty, \infty, \infty)\}) \right) \cup \{(\infty, \infty, \infty)\}.$$

For any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, since $|B| \geq 4$ and $|B| \neq 6$, there is a pair of orthogonal $\text{IQ}(|B|)$ s (B, \circ_B) and (B, \cdot_B) . Define for $x \in X$

$$\mathcal{C}_x = \{(a, b, c) : a \circ_{ab} b = c \circ_{cx} x, \{a, b, c\} \not\subseteq B \text{ for any } B \in \mathcal{B}\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}'_x = \{(a, b, c) : a \cdot_{ab} b = c \cdot_{cx} x, \{a, b, c\} \not\subseteq B \text{ for any } B \in \mathcal{B}\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_x = \mathcal{B}_x \cup \mathcal{C}_x, \quad \mathcal{D}'_x = \mathcal{B}'_x \cup \mathcal{C}'_x.$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4647817>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4647817>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)