



Posets associated with subspaces in a d -bounded distance-regular graph

Jun Guo^a, Kaishun Wang^{b,*}

^a Math. and Inf. College, Langfang Teachers' College, Langfang 065000, China

^b Sch. Math. Sci. & Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 February 2009

Received in revised form 18 June 2009

Accepted 31 August 2009

Available online 17 September 2009

Keywords:

Distance-regular graph

Subspace

Poset

Characteristic polynomial

ABSTRACT

Let $\Gamma = (X, R)$ denote a d -bounded distance-regular graph with diameter $d \geq 3$. A regular strongly closed subgraph of Γ is said to be a subspace of Γ . For $x \in X$, let $P(x)$ be the set of all subspaces of Γ containing x . For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, d-1$, let Δ_0 be a fixed subspace with diameter $d-i$ in $P(x)$, and let

$$\mathcal{L}(d, i) = \{\Delta \in P(x) \mid \Delta + \Delta_0 = \Gamma, d(\Delta) = d(\Delta \cap \Delta_0) + i\} \cup \{\emptyset\}.$$

If we define the partial order on $\mathcal{L}(d, i)$ by ordinary inclusion (resp. reverse inclusion), then $\mathcal{L}(d, i)$ is a finite poset, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_0(d, i)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_R(d, i)$). In the present paper we show that both $\mathcal{L}_0(d, i)$ and $\mathcal{L}_R(d, i)$ are atomic, and compute their characteristic polynomials.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this section we recall some terminologies and definitions about posets [1,2] and d -bounded distance-regular graphs.

Let P be a poset. For $a, b \in P$, we say a covers b , denoted by $b < a$, if $b < a$ and there exists no $c \in P$ such that $b < c < a$. Let P be a finite poset with the minimum element, denoted by 0. By a *rank function* on P , we mean a function r from P to the set of all the integers such that $r(0) = 0$ and $r(a) = r(b) + 1$ whenever $b < a$. Observe the rank function is unique if it exists. P is said to be *ranked* whenever P has a rank function. Let P be a finite poset with 0. By an *atom* we mean an element of P covering 0. We say P is *atomic* if each element in $P \setminus \{0\}$ is the join of atoms in P .

Let P be a ranked poset with 0 and the maximum element, denoted by 1. The polynomial

$$\chi(P, y) = \sum_{a \in P} \mu(0, a) y^{r(1) - r(a)}$$

is called the *characteristic polynomial* of P , where μ is the Möbius function on P and r is the rank function of P .

Let P and P' be two posets. If there exists a bijection σ from P to P' such that, for all $a, b \in P$, $a < b$ if and only if $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$, then σ is said to be an isomorphism from P to P' . In this case, we call P is isomorphic to P' . It is well known that two isomorphic posets have the same Möbius function.

Let $\Gamma = (X, R)$ be a connected regular graph. For vertices u and v of Γ , $\partial(u, v)$ denotes the *distance* between u and v . The maximum value of the distance function in Γ is called the *diameter* of Γ , denoted by $d(\Gamma)$. For vertices u and v at distance i , define

$$C(u, v) = C_i(u, v) = \{w \mid \partial(u, w) = i-1, \partial(w, v) = 1\},$$

$$A(u, v) = A_i(u, v) = \{w \mid \partial(u, w) = i, \partial(w, v) = 1\}.$$

For the cardinalities of these sets we use lower case letters $c_i(u, v)$ and $a_i(u, v)$.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: wangks@bnu.edu.cn (K. Wang).

A connected regular graph Γ with diameter d is said to be *distance-regular* if $c_i(u, v)$ and $a_i(u, v)$ depend only on i for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. The reader is referred to [3] for general theory of distance-regular graphs.

Recall that a subgraph induced on a subset Δ of X is said to be *strongly closed* if $C(u, v) \cup A(u, v) \subseteq \Delta$ for every pair of vertices $u, v \in \Delta$. Suzuki [18] determined all the types of strongly closed subgraphs of a distance-regular graph.

A distance-regular graph Γ with diameter d is said to be *d-bounded*, if every strongly closed subgraph of Γ is regular, and any two vertices x and y are contained in a common strongly closed subgraph with diameter $\partial(x, y)$.

Weng [23,24] used the term *weak-geodetically closed subgraphs* for strongly closed subgraphs, obtained the basic properties and characterized when a distance-regular graph is *d-bounded*. A regular strongly closed subgraph of Γ is said to be a *subspace* of Γ . For any two subspaces Δ_1 and Δ_2 of Γ , $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2$ denotes the minimum subspace containing Δ_1 and Δ_2 .

The lattices generated by orbits of subspaces under finite classical groups were discussed in Huo, Liu and Wan [13–15], Huo and Wan [16], Gao and You [6,7], Wang and Feng [19], Wang and Guo [20,21], Guo and Nan [12,17], Guo [10], Guo, Li and Wang [11], Wang and Li [22]. The subspaces of a *d-bounded* distance-regular graph have similar properties to those of a vector space, as a generalization of the above results, some lattices were constructed by subspaces in a *d-bounded* distance-regular graph, see [4,8,9].

Let $\Gamma = (X, R)$ denote a *d-bounded* distance-regular graph with diameter $d \geq 3$. For $x \in X$, let $P(x)$ be the set of all subspaces containing x in Γ . For $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, let Δ_0 denote a fixed subspace with diameter $d-i$ in $P(x)$, and let

$$\mathcal{L}(d, i) = \{\Delta \in P(x) \mid \Delta + \Delta_0 = \Gamma, d(\Delta) = d(\Delta \cap \Delta_0) + i\} \cup \{\emptyset\}.$$

If we define the partial order on $\mathcal{L}(d, i)$ by ordinary inclusion (resp. reverse inclusion), then $\mathcal{L}(d, i)$ is a finite poset, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_0(d, i)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_R(d, i)$). In the present paper we show that both $\mathcal{L}_0(d, i)$ and $\mathcal{L}_R(d, i)$ are atomic, and compute their characteristic polynomials.

2. Some results on *d-bounded* distance-regular graphs

In this section we first recall some results on *d-bounded* distance-regular graphs, and then introduce two useful lemmas.

Proposition 2.1 ([23, Lemma 2.6]). Let Γ be a *d-bounded* distance-regular graph. Then we have $b_i > b_{i+1}$ where $0 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Proposition 2.2 ([24, Lemmas 4.2, 4.5]). Let Γ be a *d-bounded* distance-regular graph. Then the following hold:

- (i) Let Δ be a subspace of Γ and $0 \leq i \leq d(\Delta)$. Then Δ is distance-regular with intersection numbers $c_i(\Delta) = c_i$, $a_i(\Delta) = a_i$, $b_i(\Delta) = b_i - b_{d(\Delta)}$.
- (ii) For any vertices x and y , the subspace with diameter $\partial(x, y)$ containing x, y is unique.

Proposition 2.3 ([4, Lemma 2.1]). Let Γ be a *d-bounded* distance-regular graph with diameter $d \geq 2$. For $1 \leq i+1 \leq i+s \leq i+s+t \leq d$, suppose that Δ and Δ' are two subspaces satisfying $\Delta \subseteq \Delta'$, $d(\Delta) = i$ and $d(\Delta') = i+s+t$. Then the number of the subspaces with diameter $i+s$ containing Δ and contained in Δ' , denoted by $N(i, i+s, i+s+t)$, is

$$\frac{(b_i - b_{i+s+t})(b_{i+1} - b_{i+s+t}) \cdots (b_{i+s-1} - b_{i+s+t})}{(b_i - b_{i+s})(b_{i+1} - b_{i+s}) \cdots (b_{i+s-1} - b_{i+s})}.$$

Proposition 2.4 ([4, Lemma 2.8]). Let Γ be a *d-bounded* distance-regular graph. Suppose that Δ and Δ' are two subspaces. If $d(\Delta \cap \Delta') \neq \emptyset$, then $d(\Delta) + d(\Delta') \geq d(\Delta \cap \Delta') + d(\Delta + \Delta')$.

Proposition 2.5 ([5, Lemma 2.8]). Let Γ be a *d-bounded* distance-regular graph with diameter $d \geq 2$. Let Δ and Δ' be two subspaces in Γ such that $d(\Delta) + d(\Delta') = d(\Delta \cap \Delta') + d(\Delta + \Delta')$. Then for all subspaces Δ_1 containing $\Delta \cap \Delta'$ in Δ , and for all subspaces Δ_2 containing $\Delta \cap \Delta'$ in Δ' , we have $d(\Delta_1) + d(\Delta_2) = d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) + d(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)$.

Proposition 2.6 ([5, Theorem 1.1]). Let Γ be a *d-bounded* distance-regular graph with diameter $d \geq 3$. Suppose that $0 \leq t \leq i+t, j+t \leq i+j+t \leq d_1 \leq d$, and suppose that Δ and Δ^* are subspaces with diameter $i+t$ and diameter d_1 in $P(x)$, respectively. Suppose $\Delta \subseteq \Delta^*$. Then the number of subspaces Δ' with diameter $j+t$ and $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta^*$ in $P(x)$ such that $d(\Delta \cap \Delta') = t$ and $d(\Delta + \Delta') = i+j+t$, denoted by $M(t, i+t, j+t; d_1)$, is

$$\frac{(b_0 - b_{i+t})(b_1 - b_{i+t}) \cdots (b_{t-1} - b_{i+t})(b_{i+t} - b_{d_1})(b_{i+t+1} - b_{d_1}) \cdots (b_{i+j+t-1} - b_{d_1})}{(b_0 - b_t)(b_1 - b_t) \cdots (b_{t-1} - b_t)(b_t - b_{j+t})(b_{t+1} - b_{j+t}) \cdots (b_{j+t-1} - b_{j+t})}.$$

In the rest of the paper, we always assume that $M(l, d-i, i+l; d)$ is given by Proposition 2.6. Now we prove two basic results on $\mathcal{L}(d, i)$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(d, i) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and $\Delta \supseteq \Delta_1 \in P(x)$. Then $\Delta_1 \in \mathcal{L}(d, i)$ if and only if $(\Delta \cap \Delta_0) + \Delta_1 = \Delta$ and $d(\Delta_1) = d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_0) + i$.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4648706>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4648706>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)