



# Large sets of resolvable idempotent Latin squares<sup>☆</sup>

Junling Zhou<sup>a</sup>, Yanxun Chang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zihong Tian<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, China

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050016, China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 23 April 2010

Received in revised form 1 September 2010

Accepted 13 September 2010

Available online 2 October 2010

### Keywords:

Large set

Idempotent Latin square

Ordered design

Resolvable

$t$ -wise balanced design

Candelabra system

## ABSTRACT

An idempotent Latin square of order  $v$  is called resolvable and denoted by  $\text{RILS}(v)$  if the  $v(v-1)$  off-diagonal cells can be resolved into  $v-1$  disjoint transversals. A large set of resolvable idempotent Latin squares of order  $v$ , briefly  $\text{LRILS}(v)$ , is a collection of  $v-2$   $\text{RILS}(v)$ s pairwise agreeing on only the main diagonal. In this paper we display some recursive and direct constructions for  $\text{LRILS}$ s.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Preliminaries

A Latin square of order  $v$   $\text{LS}(v)$  is a  $v \times v$  array in which each cell contains a single symbol from a  $v$ -set  $X$ , such that each symbol occurs exactly once in each row and exactly once in each column. We usually index the rows, columns, and symbols of an  $\text{LS}(v)$  by the same  $v$ -set. An incomplete Latin square  $\text{LS}(v; h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)$  is a  $v \times v$  array  $L$  with entries from a  $v$ -set  $X$ , together with  $H_i \subset X$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  where  $|H_i| = h_i$  and  $H_i \cap H_j = \emptyset$  for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ . Moreover, (1) each cell of  $L$  is empty or contains an element of  $X$ ; (2) for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , the subarray indexed by  $H_i \times H_i$  is empty (each  $H_i$  is called a hole); and (3) the elements in row or column  $x$  are exactly those of  $X \setminus H_i$  if  $x \in H_i$ , and of  $X$  otherwise. If each  $|H_i| = h$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we denote the incomplete Latin square by  $\text{LS}(v; h^k)$ . An (incomplete) Latin square  $L = (a_{ij})$  is idempotent if  $a_{ii} = i$  for each  $i$  not in the holes. An  $\text{ILS}(v)$  denotes an idempotent  $\text{LS}(v)$ .

Let  $L$  be an (incomplete) Latin square of order  $v$  on a symbol set  $X$ . A transversal of  $L$  is a set of  $v$  cells, one from each row and column, containing each of the  $v$  symbols exactly once. If  $L$  is an  $\text{ILS}(v)$ , then the main diagonal cells form a transversal, which we call an idempotent transversal. If the  $v(v-1)$  off-diagonal cells of an  $\text{ILS}(v)$  can be resolved into  $v-1$  disjoint transversals  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{v-1}$ , then the  $\text{ILS}(v)$  is called resolvable and  $\Gamma = \{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{v-1}\}$  is called a resolution, where  $T_0$  is the idempotent transversal. A resolvable  $\text{ILS}(v)$  is denoted by  $\text{RILS}(v)$ .

Suppose that  $L = (a_{ij})$  and  $L' = (b_{ij})$  are  $\text{LS}(v)$ s on a set  $X$ .  $L$  and  $L'$  are orthogonal if every element of  $X \times X$  occurs exactly once among the  $v^2$  pairs  $(a_{ij}, b_{ij})$ ,  $i, j \in X$ . If an idempotent Latin square  $L = (a_{ij})$  on  $I_v$  is resolvable with  $v$  disjoint transversals  $T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{v-1}$ , then it has an orthogonal mate  $L' = (b_{ij})$ , where  $b_{ij} = k$  if  $(i, j) \in T_k$ . If  $T_0$  is the idempotent transversal of  $L$ , then the main diagonal entries of  $L'$  are all 0's. For an  $\text{RILS}$  we often use such an orthogonal mate to designate its resolution.

<sup>☆</sup> Supported by NSFC Grants 10771013, 10831002, 10971051 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [jlzhou@bjtu.edu.cn](mailto:jlzhou@bjtu.edu.cn) (J. Zhou), [yxchang@bjtu.edu.cn](mailto:yxchang@bjtu.edu.cn) (Y. Chang), [tianzh68@163.com](mailto:tianzh68@163.com) (Z. Tian).

Let  $L = (a_{ij})$  and  $L' = (b_{ij})$  be two idempotent (incomplete) Latin squares on a set  $X$ . They are said to be *disjoint* if  $a_{ij} \neq b_{ij}$  for any  $i, j \in X, i \neq j$  (and  $i, j$  not in the same hole). A set of  $v - 2$  pairwise disjoint idempotent Latin squares on a  $v$ -set  $X$  is called a *large set* and denoted by  $LILS(v)$ . By Chang [3,4,12], there exists an  $LILS(v)$  for every  $v \geq 3$  and  $v \neq 6$ . A large set of resolvable  $LILS(v)$ s, denoted by  $LRILS(v)$ , is an  $LILS(v)$  with each member  $LILS(v)$  resolvable.

Let  $X$  be a  $v$ -set. A *t-wise balanced design* ( $t$ -BD) of order  $v$  is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a family of subsets of  $X$  (called *blocks*) such that each  $t$ -subset of  $X$  is contained in exactly one block of  $\mathcal{A}$ . An  $S(t, K, v)$  denotes a  $t$ -BD of order  $v$  with block sizes from the set  $K$ . A *group divisible t-design* (or  $t$ -GDD) on a  $v$ -set  $X$  is a triple  $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$  satisfying the following properties: (1)  $(X, \mathcal{G})$  is a 1-BD (the elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  called *groups*); (2)  $\mathcal{B}$  is a family of subsets of  $X$  (called *blocks*) and each block intersects any given group in at most one point; (3) each  $t$ -subset from  $t$  distinct groups is contained in exactly one block. If the block sizes come from a set  $K$ , we denote the GDD by  $GDD(t, K, v)$ . The *type* of the GDD means the list  $\{|G| : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ . If the blocks of a  $GDD(t, K, v)$  on  $X$  can be partitioned into some *parallel classes*, each containing every element of  $X$  exactly once, then the GDD is called *resolvable* and abbreviated to  $RGDD$ . Whenever  $K = \{k\}$ , we often write an  $S(t, K, v)$  as  $S(t, k, v)$  and a  $GDD(t, K, v)$  as  $GDD(t, k, v)$ . A  $GDD(2, k, kt)$  of type  $t^k$  is called a *transversal design* and denoted by  $TD(k, t)$ . A resolvable  $TD(k, t)$  is denoted by  $RTD(k, t)$ .

An alternative representation of an idempotent Latin square can be useful. An *ordered design*  $OD(v)$  on a  $v$ -set  $X$  is a  $3 \times v(v - 1)$  array such that (1) each column has 3 distinct elements of  $X$ ; and (2) each two rows contains each ordered pair of distinct elements of  $X$  precisely once. An  $OD(v)$  on  $X$  is often displayed as a pair  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the collection of the column vectors (often expressed in their transposes). Let  $L = (l_{ij})$  be an  $LILS(v)$  defined on  $X$ . Taking  $(i, j, l_{ij}), i, j \in X$  and  $i \neq j$ , as the columns, then we represent the  $LILS(v)$  as an  $OD(v)$ . Conversely, by supplementing  $v$  idempotent columns, an  $OD(v)$  corresponds to an  $LILS(v)$ . Further if an  $LILS(v)$  is resolvable with a resolution  $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{v-1}\}$  where  $T_0$  is the idempotent transversal, then the corresponding  $OD(v)$  is also called resolvable (and denoted by  $ROD(v)$ ) with  $v - 1$  transversals  $S_k = \{(i, j, l_{ij}) : (i, j) \in T_k\}, 1 \leq k \leq v - 1$ . Likewise, an  $LRILS(v)$  corresponds to an  $LROD(v)$ , a large set of  $v - 2$  pairwise disjoint resolvable  $OD(v)$ s. Similarly, an idempotent incomplete Latin square  $LILS(v; h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)$  can be regarded as a partial ordered design and indicated by  $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$  where  $X$  is the  $v$ -set,  $\mathcal{G}$  is the set of the  $k$  holes, and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the collection of the column vectors.

We typically blur the distinctions between  $LILS(v)$  and  $OD(v)$ , and choose a convenient expression in the subsequent sections. The main purpose of this paper is to study the existence problem of  $LRILS$ , or equally  $LROD$ . Obviously there does not exist any  $LRILS(6)$  since no  $LILS(6)$  exists. It is worth to mention that some research on large sets of resolvable triple systems, including large sets of Kirkman triple systems (LKTSs) and large sets of resolvable Mendelsohn triple systems (LRMTSs), has been done. We would not dwell on the detailed explanation of LKTS and LRMTS, but rather mention an obvious fact that the existence of an LKTS( $v$ ) or an LRMTS( $v$ ) implies the existence of an  $LRILS(v)$ . So we can transfer the known results on LKTSs and LRMTSs to  $LRILS$ s.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists an  $LRILS(v)$  for any of the following orders:*

- (1)  $v = 7^k + 2, 13^k + 2, 25^k + 2, 2^{4k} + 2$  and  $2^{6k} + 2$  where  $k \geq 0$ ;
- (2)  $v = 3r$ , where  $r \in \{1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 20, 23, 25, 28, 32, 35, 36, 37, 40, 41, 43, 47, 53, 55, 57, 60, 61, 65, 67, 68, 71, 76, 77, 84, 91, 92, 93, 95, 97, 100, 103, 113, 121, 123\} \cup \{2^{2p+1}25^q + 1 : p, q \geq 0\} \cup \{\prod_{i=1}^s (2q_i^{n_i} + 1) \prod_{j=1}^t (4^{m_j} - 1) : s + t \geq 1, n_i, m_j \geq 1 (1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t), q_i \equiv 7 \pmod{12} \text{ and } q_i \text{ is a prime power}\}$ .

Furthermore, for any order  $v$  in (1) and (2) with  $v \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , there exists an  $LRILS(v3^a5^b \prod_{i=1}^s (2 \cdot 13^{n_i} + 1) \prod_{j=1}^t (2 \cdot 7^{m_j} + 1))$ , where  $n_i, m_j \geq 1 (1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t), a, b, s, t \geq 0$  and  $a + s + t \geq 1$ .

**Proof.** The parallel results to (1) and (2) for large sets of Kirkman triple systems or resolvable Mendelsohn triple systems can be found in [7,13]. Then we apply the product constructions in [15,16] to get more infinite classes, where we utilize the existence of LR-designs in [6].  $\square$

An  $LRILS(q)$  is easy to prove to exist if  $q \geq 3$  is a prime power.

**Lemma 1.2.** *There exists an  $LRILS(q)$  for any prime power  $q \geq 3$ .*

**Proof.** By [1], for any prime power  $q \geq 3$  there exist  $q - 1$  mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order  $q$  on  $I_q$ . By some permutations of rows and columns, we can form  $q - 1$  new mutually orthogonal Latin squares, say  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_{q-1}$ , in such a way that the main diagonal entries of  $L_{q-1}$  are all 0's. Accordingly, the main diagonal of each  $L_i (1 \leq i \leq q - 2)$  is a transversal. By renaming the symbols of  $L_i$ , we obtain  $q - 2$  mutually orthogonal idempotent Latin squares  $L'_i (1 \leq i \leq q - 2)$ . They are resolvable and pairwise agree only on the main diagonal and hence form an  $LRILS(q)$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 1.2, the smallest  $LRILS(v)$  in doubt is for  $v = 10$ . We illustrate an  $LRILS(10)$  in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.3.** *There exists an  $LRILS(10)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha$  be a primitive element of  $GF(8)$  such that  $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$  and  $GF(8) = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha + 1, \alpha^2, \alpha^2 + 1, \alpha^2 + \alpha, \alpha^2 + \alpha + 1\}$ . In short we denote the elements  $\alpha, \alpha + 1, \alpha^2, \alpha^2 + 1, \alpha^2 + \alpha$ , and  $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1$  by 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 respectively. An  $LILS(10)_{L_0}$  is constructed as follows on  $X = GF(8) \cup \{a, b\}$ , with the rows and columns indexed in the order of 0, 1, ..., 7,  $a, b$ . Its

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4648791>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4648791>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)